LORD LYTTELTON'S HISTORY

OF

KING HENRY II.

VOL. III.

E'MOFMETTY LE GEOR

HISTORKY



H I S T O R Y

KING HENRY THE SECOND,

AND OF THE AGE IN WHICH HE LIVED,

IN FIVE BOOKS:

TO WHICH IS PREFIXED,

A History of the Revolutions of England

From the Death of EDWARD the Confessor

To the Birth of HENRY the Second:

BY GEORGE LORD LYTTELTON.
THE THIRD EDITION.
VOL. III.



LONDON,
Printed for J. DODSLEY, in Pall-Mall.
MDCCLXIX.



HISTORY

OF THE

L I F E

county in which the outile cretic creek

King HENRY the Second.

N the spring of the year eleven hundred BOOK II. and fixty two, Pope Alexander landed on the coast of Provence. He had been driven out of Rome by the faction of Victor, and had taken refuge in Campania, under the protection of William king of Sicily: but, all the Act. Alexand roads to that province being infested by the fol- ap. Baron. sub diers of the opposite party, his friends and ad- PagiT.iv.sub herents could have no access to him; which eodem anno. made him resolve to depart from thence, and Hugo Pictav. go into France, where he might act as supreme T. iv. p. 424. pontiff without molestation. Indeed that king- & feq. Epist. Freder. dom had been long the ordinary refuge of popes Imperatoris in diffres; the policy of the French nation in- 50,52,53,54, clining their princes to abet all the enemies of ap. Duchesne imperial power. As the passage was not safe Epist Victoris for Alexander by land, he went by sea, and adLudov.56. ibidem. touching at Genoa and fome other places, arrived at Montpellier, where he proposed to re-VOL, III. fide,

BOOK II. fide, foon after Easter. But a great change had been made in the dispositions of Louis with relation to him, by the arts of the queen of France and the earl of Champagne. related to Victor, and friends to the emperor, they were defirous, if possible, to draw the king off from the part he had taken with Alexander; and they fo far prevailed, that he was perfuaded to receive an agent from Victor, with an epiftle, in which that pontiff, on the encouragement they had given, ventured to express very confident hopes of his favor. This letter is dated in February; and before Easter Louis fent the earl of Champagne his embassador extraordinary to the imperial court, upon a proposal made to him, from the emperor Frederick, by the mouth of this earl, that, in order to restore the peace of the church, they fhould hold another council in the town of Avignon; where, after impartially rehearing the cause, both popes being present, they fhould either agree to acknowledge one of them, and thereby end the schism, or depose them both, and elect another. I do not beheve, that in making this offer to Louis, the emperor really intended to give up, or bring in question, the election of Victor: but it was a lure by which he tried to induce the king of France to call a new council; hoping that Alexander would refuse to appear before this affembly, with the fame contumacy, as he had before rejected the citation to the council of Pavia; and that Louis would thereupon

be irritated against him, and more favour- BOOK II. ably disposed to listen to the arguments in fayour of Victor. The scheme was well laid and very skillfully managed by the earl of Champagne. He represented so pathetically, and with fuch an air of pious zeal, the manifold evils which attended this schism, and how meritorious it would be to restore peace and union to the catholick church, that with the help of his fifter, whose charms very powerfully aided his eloquence, he obtained from Louis a commission to go to the emperor and treat on this Alexander, at his landing, received intelligence from his friends of these transactions, and heard that the earl was fet out on his embaffy. His furprize and indignation at fo fudden a change, which was likely to prove of fuch ill confequence to him, were equally After the councils of Beauvais and Toulouse, he had never entertained the least apprehensions, that his right to the papacy would again be controverted in France, or that he should be in danger of finding an enemy V. auctores, where he expected a protector. While he was citat. ut sup. full of uneafiness and disgust, two ecclesiasticks, of whom the highest in dignity was only an abbot, were fent from Louis, to compliment him, in the name of that prince, upon his arrival in France. He received them very coldly: at which the king was fo offended, that, in the heat of his anger, he immediately difpatched the bishop of Orleans, to carry a letter to the earl of Champagne, in which he faid,

HISTORY OF THE LIFE

BOOK II. that he repented his having unadvifedly acknowledged Pope Alexander and rejected Victor. He likewise impowered that minister to confent in his name to call a new council, as Frederick had proposed, and gave him entire liberty to fettle all points relating thereunto, with a general affurance of ftanding to every thing that he should advise. Nothing could be more welcome to the earl than this letter. Having fuch ample discretionary powers, and fo convincing a proof, under the hand of the king, of his beginning to incline to the party of Victor, he foon agreed with the emperor, who then was at Pavia, that he and Louis should meet on the borders of Burgundy, at the town of St. Jean de Laone, between Dijon and Dole, as more convenient to both than Avignon, and should bring with them to that meeting, the princes, the nobles, and chief ecclefiafticks of the empire and France, to reexamine the merits of the cause between Alexander and Victor, who should both be present there and plead for themselves. This affembly was to be held on the banks of the Saone, near

the abovementioned town, in the year eleven hundred and fifty-two. A certain number of the most approved knights and ecclesiasticks were to be chosen out of both parties, to judge of the election; and if they gave sentence in favor of Alexander, the emperor promised to throw himself at his seet; but, if in favor of

Victor, the French monarch was bound, by the promise of his minister, to pay the same

mark

mark of veneration to him. And, in case of BOOK II. a refufal from the king to stand to that promife, the earl of Champagne pledged himfelf, by an oath to the emperor, that he would transfer his feudal homage from Louis to him, and hold of him all the fiefs which he then held of that prince. This kind of guarrantee was very frequently given, by the feudatories of those days, to the treaties of sovereigns. But it is observable, that, in this agreement, there was no mention made of deposing both the popes, and electing a third, which undoubtedly had been thrown out with no other intention than to induce the king of France to hold the council. The earl now affured himself, that, as the inclinations of that prince were averted from Alexander, he should easily, by his influence over most of the knights, who were to be affociated in the judgment of this cause with the ecclefiafficks, procure a fentence for Victor. And in fome letters which the em- V. Epistol. 53, peror wrote on this subject, he exprest a great 54, ut supra. confidence, that this council would end in the reception of Victor: nay, in one he affirmed, that Louis had, by his minister, engaged to receive him. There is also an epistle from that V. Epistol. 50. pontiff himself, dated the eighth of the kalends of July, by which it appears, that two agents were fent by him to Louis, in the character of nuncios, or legates, about this time. Alex- V.Epistol.55. ander had therefore more reason to be alarmed than ever before, and found it necessary to use his utmost endeavours to footh that king, and B 3 regain

BOOK II. regain his favor. He had still in the French court some powerful friends, particularly one of the brothers of Louis, who had lately been translated from the bishoprick of Beauvais to

the metropolitan fee of Reims: yet he could not prevail, by any mediation, to hinder Louis from keeping the promise he had made to a prince of fuch dignity and power as the emperor, who, he knew, would not bear to be tri-

fled with in a manner injurious to his honor,

V. Hugon He therefore set out, to go to the place ap-Duchesne; et pointed for their meeting. Alexander who Act Alexand, had removed in June from Montpellier to ap. Baronium Clermont in Auvergne, went to meet him on

V. etiam Pagi his road, at the priory of Souvigny, in the province of Bourbon. There they conferred, and Louis vehemently preffed him to go to

the council. He pleaded apprehensions of danger to his person from the power of the empe-

ror: nor would he be fatisfied with any fecu-

rities offered by the king; who, at last growing angry, faid it was very furprizing, that

none, who was conscious of the justice of his cause, should avoid to be present at hearing

the testimonies of his own innocence. Nevertheless Alexander continued inflexible. " Be-

" cause (says Baronius) it seemed an indigni-

ty, and contrary to the decrees of the fathers

of the church, that the most holy pontiff,

" and the supreme see, should submit to be

" judged by any human authority."

The earl of Champagne had foreseen, and counted upon this, in the plan which he had

formed

formed for the service of Victor. After a con-BOOK II. ference of two days, Alexander would yield to nothing more, than to fend some of his cardinals with Louis to the council, not to plead his cause, but only to declare his unquestionable right in the face of the world. There was much dignity in this conduct: but he run a great risk, and might have been ruined by it, if fortune and the king of England had not been his friends. The latter was used very ill by the king of France in this business. He had agreed with that prince in acknowledging Alexander; whose right had been solemnly judged, and unanimously approved of, in a council held by them both: nor does it appear that the defign of rejudging it now, before another council, had been either concerted with Henry, or communicated to him, except by a general notice, given to all the vaffals of France, that fuch a council was fummoned. For these reafons he neither intended to go himself thither, nor did he fend to it any of his barons or bishops. Alexander knew this, and it greatly encouraged him not to comply with the defire of Louis. When that king arrived at Dijon, the earl of Champagne met him there, and informed him distinctly of what was stipulated in the treaty with the emperor. He exprest great resentment at the earl's having engaged him fo far to that prince, denying that he had given him any authority for it. The earl appealed to the bishop of Orleans, who not daring to make a positive answer, he then produced to Louis his own

BOOK II. own letter. Against the strength of this evidence the king, it feems, had nothing to reply: but, being diffressed by the obstinacy of Alexander, and yet unwilling to renounce him, (for the discourses of that pontiff had made no little impression upon him) he would have been glad to free himself by disavowing his minister. This necessarily occasioned a good deal of heat and ill temper on either fide, which turned very much to Alxander's advantage: for, in proportion as Louis was displeased with the earl, he grew more averse from Victor. When the day which had been fixed for the conference came, the emperor and that pontiff appeared upon the bridge of St. Jean de Laone, which was the boundary that separated the Imperial from the French dominions; but finding neither Louis nor Alexander there, and understanding that the latter had refolved not to come, they presently returned to the emperor's camp, with bitter complaints that the king had broken his faith. After their departure Louis came, and proposed to some deputies, left to confer with him, a prolongation of the time affigned in the convention for holding the council? because the terms of the agreement made in his V. auctores name had not been properly explained to him citat, ut fup. till the preceding day; and it would be indecent to conclude fo haftily an affair of fuch moment. The deputies had no power to grant this prolongation; but, the next moring, in the palace of the duke of Burgundy, where he lodged, the earl of Champagne declared to him, that,

been fulfilled, he thought himself bound, by the oath which he had taken, to transfer his homage, and all the fiefs he held in France, to that prince: but, he had obtained from his Imperial Majesty a delay of three weeks on these conditions, that the king should engage, and give hostages to the emperor, that he would come on the day appointed, and bring with him Alexander; and moreover, that he would hear the cause of both parties, and acquiesce in the judgement of those good men of the empire and of France, to whom the decision of it should be referred, or else deliver himself up at Besan-

con a prisoner to the emperor.

These were hard terms: but Louis was not in circumstances to refuse or dispute them. For, besides the damage he would have brought on himself and his kingdom, by losing the homage and feudal territories of the earl of Champagne, he was afraid that the emperor should declare war against him, and break into Burgundy, by a fudden attack, which he was very ill able at that time to refift. For he had brought with him many bishops, but few barons or knights: whereas, all the nobility of the empire had attended the emperor's fummons, and, under the name of a council, composed in reality a most formidable army. The king therefore was conftrained to yield to all the conditions which Frederick had preferibed, and gave for his hoftages the duke of Burgundy, the earl of Flanders, and the earl of

Nevers.

BOOK II. Nevers. He now feemed necessitated, either to concur with the council in acknowledging Victor, if they should decide for that pontiff, which appeared hardly doubtful, or expose his realm to fuch calamities as might even intimidate the zeal of a bigot. But, before the time came for his meeting the emperor and holding the council, a letter from Alexander revived his spirits. That pontiff, whom he had informed of the treaty he had made, and the obligation he was under of bringing him to the council at the end of three weeks, immediately applied to the archbishop of York and the two Norman bishops of Lisieux and Evreux, whom Henry had fent to attend him. V. Duchesne and besought them to employ all their credit

tom. iv. epift. 50.

with that prince, in his behalf, at this crifis. No peace being yet made, and the behavior of Louis having been for fome months very unfriendly towards him, Henry had not difbanded his army. Alexander implored him to advance with that army, as fast as he could, towards Dijon, and by a timely affiftance deliver his liege lord, and the pope he had acknowledged, from being opprest by the force of the emperor. Instead of listening to the voice of refentment, which might have perfuaded him to leave the king of France under the difficulties he had brought on himself, by acting separately from him, and against his opinion, he gladly embraced the occasion of ferving that monarch and recovering his affection, while, at the fame time, he laid the highest

of

highest obligation imaginable upon Alexander, BOOK II. whose cause he had espoused. Accordingly he marched with the utmost expedition, taking his road through Berry, where Alexander then refided. When he was come within the distance of two or three days from Dijon, he fent forwards some of his servants, to notify his approach, and defired that pontiff to dispatch them to Louis, with the strongest assurances of his readinessto expose himself to all dangers, for the honor and service of that monarch. Alexander immediately fent them to Dijon, with a letter to Louis, exhorting him to receive them v. epist. 50. as their message deserved, to thank their master ut supra. for so seasonable and so affectionate an offer, and, without delay, to accept it. They found him disposed to follow this advice with most entire fatisfaction. The harsh and offensive usage he had received from the emperor made him confider that prince as an enemy, who meant injuriously to obtrude a false pope upon him, by force of arms. He therefore pressed the king of England, who alone could preferve him from the terror of that force, to haften to his fuccour. While this negociation was on foot, there began to be a famine in the emperor's camp; the country about it not fur- v. auctores nishing provisions sufficient for such a number citat. at sup. of persons during so long a time, and no magazines having been formed to supply them, as he did not expect that the business, upon which he brought them thither, would have been fo delayed. This, together with the intelligence

BOOK II. of Henry's approach, made him take a refolution to return into Germany without meeting the king of France, or holding the council. We are told that, in order to vindicate his in-V. Act. Alex. tended departure, he fent his chancellor, the

apBaronium. archbishop of Cologne, to say for him to Louis,

that it belonged to no prelates, but those of

V. Hug. Duchefne.

the holy Roman empire, to judge of the election of a bishop of Rome; and consequently the king and clergy of France had only a right to be present and hear their decision. Or (as another contemporay author relates it) the arch-Pietav. apud bishop denied, that the emperor had ever obliged himself to admit any partners, in judging a cause which concerned the church of Rome; that fee being wholly under his own jurisdiction. But whatever claim, either the emperor, or the prelates of the empire, might have to an exclusive authority in this matter, Frederick himfelf had given it up, by propofing this council. For he and the empire had before decided the question in favor of Victor; nor was there any occasion to defire the king and prelates of France to affemble a council upon the same dispute, if they had properly no cognisance of it. Even in the letters that the emperor wrote, to invite foreign bishops to the council of Pavia, he had exprest his intention, that it should be declared in his presence, by their just judgment, which of the two popes had a right to the government of the universal church. If therefore he now claimed an exclusive prerogative to judge for himself, or by the prelates

V. Epift. Fred. ap. Radevic.

lates of the empire alone, upon the election of BOOK II. a bishop of Rome, he acted in contradiction to all his former conduct, as well as to the engagements he had taken with Louis, through the intervention of his friend, the earl of Champagne. And one can hardly believe that fo wife a prince would have chosen to incur the reproach of fuch inconfistency, when he had so good a reason to excuse his sudden departure, as the famine in his camp. There is a strong probability that he quitted the neighbourhood of St. Jean de Laone before the day appointed for holding the council; for, otherwise, he would have had a ftill better plea, namely, the absence of Alexander, who remained in the monastery of Bourgdieu in Berry, notwithstanding the affurances which Louis had given, that he would bring him to appear before the council: and in that case the king, not the emperor, would have broken the articles of the compact between them; nor could the former have recovered his hoftages, without yielding up his own person in their stead, or joining with the emperor to condemn and depose Alexander, on account of his non-appearance. Perhaps indeed that pontiff might have ventured to come under the guard of King Henry: but as it was contrary to his former declarations, it is much more probable that he would have perfisted, in not submitting himself to the judicature of this affembly. Certain it is, that the retreat of Frederick and his army extricated both his holiness and the king of France from such difficul-

BOOK II. difficulties, as they could hardly have furmounted; and that retreat was no less owing to the king of England's approach, than to the want of provisions in the emperor's camp. Henry, finding that the first news of his being on his march had effectually answered his purpose, advanced no further than Bourgdieu, where Alexander, on whose head he had fixed the triple crown, received him with the acknowledgements due to afervice of fuch mighty importance. Nor was Louis less sensible of his own obligation to him in this affair. He felt it fo ftrongly, that it effaced from his mind all the impressions which had been made against that prince by the intrigues of his enemies. They both had foon afterwards a meeting with Alexander at Touci upon the Loire, where the two kings walking afoot on each fide of his horse held the reins of his bridle, and led him d'Allemagne to a pavilion which was prepared to receive them; A spectacle (fays Baronius) to God, angels, and men, such as had not yet been seen in the world! It was indeed aftonishing: but the emperor himself, by the bigotry of the times, had been compelled to fubmit to a like humiliation. For at the ceremony of the first reception in Rome, he held the stirrup of Adrian the Fourth, much against his own will, after a long and very warm dispute with that pontiff. It is faid, that having held it on the wrong fide of the horfe, and being admonished of his error by the pope, he made answer, that his ignorance must be excused, as he had never before

Chron. Norm. . 997. Baronii Annal. fub ann. 1162. Histoire tom. v. fub eodem anno.

before done the office of a groom. When the BOOK II. veneration for the papacy was carried fo high, and fuch a kind of idolatry was paid to the perfons of the bishops of Rome, even by the greatest princes, a story, which Baronius has related in his annals, under this year, will not Ecclesialt. feem incredible. He fays, that when Alex-fub. ann. ander made his first entrance into Montpellier, 1162. p. 465. among the Christian nobility, that attended 466. him on his way, in a folemn procession, there was a Saracen prince or emir, who reverently came up to him, and kiffed his feet, he being on horseback; then knelt down before him, and bowing his head adored him as THE HOLY AND GOOD GOD OF THE CHRISTIANS. He does not tell us that Alexander in any manner reproved him for his blasphemous error; but, on the contrary, takes notice, that he shewed him extraordinary kindness; and adds, that all who faw it were filled with great admiration, and applied to the pope the words of the prophet David, All the kings of the earth shall worship him, and all nations shall serve him. Thus, in that age of ignorance and credulity, did fuperstition even deify the bishop of Rome! but it is still a more shocking impiety, that a learned cardinal, who lived in the feventeenth century, should relate such a fact without expressing the least disapprobation of it; nay, rather with an air of complacency and applause.

During this conference, Alexander acted as Chron. mediator between Louis and Henry, and ob- Norm.

BOOK II. tained a peace for the latter, without the reftitution of the forts on the river Epte, or any other facrifices made by him to Louis. Gratitude and good humour had entirely expelled from the mind of this monarch all those fentiments of refentment, or political jealoufy, which had engaged him, with more heat, than reason or discretion, in the late war. He now faw the king of England in no other light, than as the deliverer of him and the church from a flate of captivity: nor was he able to refift the intercessions of one, who stood, as he imagined, in the place of St. Peter. It was also a great advantage to Henry's affairs in France, that, by means of the late transactions, the earl of Champagne had loft his credit with Louis. And probably Henry might have gained a greater ascendant than ever, over the counsels of that king, if he had never quarrelled with the church and Becket. But it will appear by the fequel of this hiftory, that no fense of obligation, nor ties of friendship, could restrain or mitigate the fury of religious zeal in a bigot fo warm as Louis, who was tranfported, by the hatred arising from thence, even to acts of hostility the most repugnant to morality and natural justice.

Chron. Norm. ut supra. About this time, Henry received an extraordinary embassy from the Mahometan king of Valencia and Murcia, with a most splendid present of gold, silk, horses, camels, and other valuable commodities, the produce of Africk or the East. I find in some of the Spanish histo-

rians,

rians, that Raymond, earl of Barcelona, and BOOK II. regent of Arragon, affifted this prince against the Miramolin, or chief of the Moors named Almohades, whose arms he had drawn upon himself by refusing to pay him the obedience, to which the other Mahometans in Spain had It was the interest of the Christians to support these lesser princes against that great potentate; and therefore Raymond acted wifely in making this league. As his dominions were contiguous to the dutchy of Aquitaine, the king of Valencia might hope to obtain some advantage, by connecting himself also in friendthip with Henry, whose alliance, together with that of the Arragonese and the Catalans, would add much to his strength in the very difficult war he had to fustain. This, I prefume, was the real motive of this expensive embassy; to which the English monarch made a proper and becoming return, by fending him prefents of still a greater value, with affurances of a reciprocal regard and efteem: but we are not informed that he gave him either money or troops; nor, indeed, that the embaffadors applied to him directly for any fuch affiftance; the intention of their mafter being only to lay a foundation of amity, on which he might afterwards ground a request of that nature. It is not unlikely, that, in confequence of this intercourse, a trade might be fettled, beween the Moors of Valencia and Murcia, and Henry's French fubjects, especially those of Aquitaine: for the VOL. III. wifdom

BOOK II wisdom of that prince would naturally teach him, that a treaty of commerce, which might open to his people any new fource of wealth, was equivalent to a conquest. He gained at least this benefit, from the advances made to him by the king of Valencia, that it added to the veneration his subjects had for him, to see the prince of a remote and infidel nation thus follicit his friendship. Nothing more affects the minds of the people than a novelty of this kind: and whatever raifes the reputation of a king encreases his power.

During the course of these various affairs in Gerv Chron. France, Henry had loft a very affectionate friend

subann. 1151 and servant in England. Theobald, the old archbishop of Canterbury, died in April, eleven hundred and fixty one. We have a letter, which he fent the year before to that monarch, and wherein he most pathetically exhorts and implores him to return to his kingdom, which wanted and earnestly desired his presence. " May it please your majesty (fays the good "prelate) to return to your own peculiar people;" by which expression he intended to infinuate to him, that the people of England, who had no other fovereign, were better entitled to his affection and care, than the Normans or any of his fubjects in France. And, after having laid before him other reasons of importance, which might induce him not to flay any longer

abroad, he mentions his own defire to fee him again before he died. The expressions he makes

ule

V. Johan. Sarifb. epift. 63.

use of are very affecting. " My flesh (fays he) BOOK II. " is confumed, and my foul is on the point of " departing from my body; but it still lingers " in hope and defire of your coming. It re-" fuses to hear the call of nature, nor will it fus-" fer mine eyes to close, till they have had the " fatisfaction of beholding your face. " Henry had a heart most tenderly sensible to the kindness of his friends, and did not think it beneath the dignity of a king to love a faithful fervant. Nor was he ever unmindful of the duty he owed to his fubjects in England: but his new quarrel with Louis, and the incidents that arose with relation to the schism between Alexander and Victor, confined him in France against his will; fo that, unhappily, the good archbishop died without having seen him.

The fee of Canterbuty being thus vacant, it was a point of the utmost consequence for the king to confider, whom he should raise to that dignity? as he had now a purpose of restraining the licentiousness of his clergy, and bringing them under the coercion of the civil authority, from which the weakness of government and the encroachments of the papacy, during the reign of his predeceffor, had fet them free. To render this arduous work less difficult to him, he wanted a primate, upon whose principles and affection he might depend; who was no bigot; who perfectly understood the rights of the state, and would dare to support him in afferting them against the immoderate pretenfions

f

d

h

er

n

es

le

BOOK II. fions of Rome. He thought, that in Becket he faw all these qualities, and, perhaps, only in him: it being no eafy matter to find fuch a person among his clergy. Him therefore he refoved to advance to that dignity, at this cri-V. Epift. S.

next book.

Heribertus in vità Becket. Joan. Sarisber. in vitâ Becket. et in Quadrilogo.

tical time. Becket himself much defired it, if we may believe Gilbert Foliot, bishop of London, who in a letter, which he wrote to him afterwards, on another occasion, affirms, that Thom.inCo "his eyes were watchfully fixed upon the Clanding B. archbishoprick before Theobald died, and See the Ap- " that he did all he could to fecure it to himpendix to the " felf on that event." As this prelate then possessed the confidence of the king, he might be affured of this fact from the mouth of that prince; and without fuch information, or other very ftrong evidence, it is not probable that he would have ventured to charge Becket with it, in fuch positive terms. Some friends of the latter, in their accounts of his life, affert indeed, that, when Henry first acquainted him with his intention to make him archbishop, he give that monarch a fair warning, " that it would certainly produce a quarrel between "them; because his conscience would not al-" low him to fuffer many things, which he " knew the king would require, and even al-" ready prefumed to do, in ecclefiaftical mat-" ters." They add, that, as he forefaw, that, by accepting this offer, he should lose the favor, either of God, or of the king, he would fain have refused it, and was with great difficulty prevailed upon to accept it by the pope's fions

pope's legate. But that any part of this apolo-BOOK II. gy for him is true I greatly doubt; as it stands contradicted by the affirmation of Foliot, which, in this particular is an evidence of far greater credit than the word of Becket himself; and as it ill agrees with the methods which were undeniably taken to procure his election; methods he must have known to be very inconsistent with the canons of the church and what was then called its freedom. Nay, even these biographers themselves acknowledge, that one V. Johan. in reason, which induced Henry to promote him Quadrilogo. to Canterbury, was, because he hoped, that, by vita S. T. his means, he should manage ecclesiastical, as præfix. epist. well as secular affairs, to his own satisfaction. Indeed no other rational motive can be found. For, why should not that prince, who always confidered propriety and decency in bestowing preferments, have chosen one of his bishops to be placed at the head of the English church, rather than a man not yet in priest's orders, a courtier, and a foldier? Nothing could incline him to make fo extraordinary and fo exceptionable a choice, which he might be fure would give offence to the body of the clergy, and scandalize many even of the laity in his kingdom, but a firm confidence, that he should be most usefully affisted by Becket, in the important reformation he meant to undertake. Nor is it credible that he should not have revealed his intentions, concerning that affair, to a favorite minister, whom he was accustomed to truft, without referve, in his most fecret counfels.

BOOK II. counsels. But if such a declaration had been made by that minister, as the abovementioned historians would have us believe, can we fuppose that aking so prudent as Henry, would have forced him into a station, in which he certainly would do him no fervice, but might have it in his power to be exceedingly troublesome to him? It was; undoubtedly, by quite a different language, that the usual fagacity of this Nor indeed could the prince was deceived. most jealous and penetrating eye have discovered in Becket, before he was elected archbishop of Canterbury, any marks of an enthufiaftick or bigotted zeal: but several indications of a contrary temper, and different principles, had appeared in his conduct. I shall mention only two, which are very remarkable. In the third year of this reign a cause had been tried before the king, concerning the exemption of Battle-abbey in Suffex from the jurisdiction of the bishop of Chichester; upon which occasion that prelate, to invalidate the charter of William the Conqueror, whereby the exemption in question had been granted, afferted that no layman, not even a king, had power to give any ecclefiaftical dignities or privileges to a church; and that none, conferred in fuch a manner, could ever be valid, without the allowance and confirmation of the pope. Henry reprimanded him, with a great deal of spirit, for advancing this doctrine, faying, that " out " of regard to the papal authority, which was to derived from the mere concessions of men, he " argued

V. Concil. Magnæ Brit. t. ii. p. 431. fub ann. 1157.

" argued against the royal authority, which BOOK II.
" was granted by God, in violation of his

" oath of allegiance; for which offence he (the king) expected and required him to be ready

" to answer according to law; and called on " the whole assembly to do justice against him,

" as one who endeavoured to deprive his fove-" reign of the ancient dignities and rights of

"his crown." This speech, which it well became an English monarch to make, but which contained propositions that Rome would have condemned as damnable heresies, Becket seconded and supported: whereupon the bishop of Chichester was forced to recant and ask pardon of the king. In the conclusion, the royal prerogative, and the exemption grounded upon it, were confirmed by the whole council, with Becket's concurrence.

Another strong instance, how little of the churchman had appeared in the chancellor, is the offence that he gave to the whole clergy of England, in the business of the scutage for the war of Toulouse. We are informed, by the abovementioned letter of the bishop of London, that they complained much of that burthen, and imputed its having been laid fo heavy upon them to Becket's advice. Not that, in reality, they had cause to complain: but the doctrines of Rome had taught them to regard all fecular fervices as inconfiftent with their spiritual functions, and they wanted an exemption from all publick charges, especially those of a military nature, not only for themselves, but for their

V. Epistol. prædict.

V. Joan. Sarib, Epift.

BOOK II. their tenants and vaffals. These pretensions had gained ground during the reign of king Stephen, and every zealot for ecclefiaftical liberty continued to maintain them. Even the best of them (for fuch we may reckon the bishop of London) spoke of this imposition as a wound to the vitals of the church. Yet Becket did not scruple to give that wound, however careful he was afterwards of her fafety. It is very objervable, that even his friend, the archbishop of Canterbury, in one of the letters he wrote to Henry a little before he died, declares to that prince, " that, being fenfible " his end was now approaching, he had vow-" ed to God, among other things, to prohibit, under pain of excommunication, the " exaction of the second aid which his brother " the archdeacon had imposed on the church." This fecond aid, I prefume, was only a fecond payment of the scutage affested on the clergy for the war of Toulouse. The archdeacon who imposed it was no other than Becket; and it would have been an extraordinary circumstance in the history of that prelate, if he had been excommunicated on this account, and afterwards fainted for having opposed the constitutions of Clarendon. But the old archbishop died, and no regard was paid to his opinion of this matter, either by the king or the chancellor. Perhaps indeed the letter was never fent; for it has neither date, nor fuperscription, except the word Cantuariensis: yet it evidently shews the fense which the English

English clergy had of this imposition, and BOOK II. alfo, that it was laid upon them by Becket's advice. After fuch testimonies of his zeal to maintain the royal prerogatives, against the exorbitant claims of Rome and the church, it is no wonder that Henry should believe him no bigot. And that opinion was, unqueftionably, the principal cause of this unhappy choice, which proved the fource of great difquiet to that monarch and his kingdom. He had lately given a new, and very high mark Heribertusin of his esteem to Becket, by entrusting him vità Becket. with the education of the young prince his eldest fon; and he intended that he should ftill retain this charge, and the great office of chancellor, together with the archbishoprick: fo that all power, civil and ecclefiaftical, present and future, seemed to be put into the hands of one man. This necessarily drew upon him a heavy load of envy, which, with the unfuitableness of his general character, and manner of living, to fuch an eminent ecclefiaffical dignity, threw difficulties in his way, that nothing but the force of the royal authority could remove. It appears v. Epift. from an epiftle fent to him afterwards by all S. Thom. the bishops and clergy of England, that, as Edit. far as they durft, they fignified, at this time, Bruxellis their disapprobation of the king's defire to Cod. Vatic. Epist. 126.1.i. promote him to Canterbury; and that, in fpite of the popularity which he had so much affected, the whole nation cried out against it. We are also affured by the same evidence,

which

BOOK II which can hardly be rejected, that Matilda did her utmost to diffuade her son from it. But, though upon other occasions, Henry paid her the greatest respect, he determined to act in this matter by his own judgement; and having taken his part, as he believed, on good reasons, his passions were heated by the opposition he met with, and his affection for his favorite concurred with the pride of royal dignity, to make him adhere to his purpose. Nor was Becket himself less eager than his mafter in the affair, if we may believe the testimony of the bishop of London, who fays, in the letter I have quoted before, that, as foon as the death of archbishop Theobald was known to that minister, he hastened to England, in order to procure the vacant see for himself. Yet he found such an unwillingness in the electors, that, notwithflanding all his power, and the address he always shewed in the conduct of business, he Cordex. Cot- was not elected till above a twelvemonth after tonian. epist. his predecessor's disease. Henry at last grow-162 .ut fupra. ing impatient of fo long a delay fent over from Normandy his justiciary, Richard de Lucy, to bear his royal mandate to all the monks of Canterbury and fuffragan bishops, that, without further deliberation, they should immediately elect his chancellor Becket to be their archbishop. So great a minister who brought fuch an order from a king, whom no person in his realm had ever difobeyed, except the Lord Mortimer, whose rebellion

Gervase sub ann. 1161.

bellion had ended fo difgracefully to himfelf, BOOK IL could hardly be refifted by ecclefiafticks. Yet the bishop of London had the courage to refift him; and (if we may believe what he himself avers in his letter to Becket) did not give way, till banishment and proscription had been denounced against him and all his relations, by the justiciary of the kingdom. The same threats, he tells us, were used to the other electors. All were made to understand, that, if they refused to comply, they would be deemed the king's enemies, and treated, as fuch, with the utmost rigour. "The fword " of the king (fays the abovementioned pre-" late to Becket) was in your hand, ready to " turn it's edge against any upon whom you " should frown; that fword which you hadbe-" fore plunged into the bowels of your holy mother. " the church." He explains these last words to mean the wound which had been given to the privileges of the church, by the imposition which the chancellor had laid on the clergy for the war of Toulouse; and concludes the fevere remonstrances upon the irregularity of his election with the following words, That if (as he himself had afferted in a letter, to which this was an answer) the liberty of the church was the life of the church, he then had left her lifeless. It was indeed a more violent and arbitrary proceeding, than any that had hitherto been known in this reign. For though Henry, ever fince his accession to the grown, had maintained the indifputable prerogative

drilego.

BOOK II. rogative of it, not to let any archbishop or bishop be chosen without his recommendation. which the chapters and others concerned had always obeyed; yet still some appearance of a free election was kept: the electors were influenced rather than compelled; or at leaft, the compulsion, which they were really under, was decently hidden. But in this instance all the terrors of power were employed without difguife, and even beyond the bounds of justice. How very defirous Henry was to carry this point appears most Joan. inQua- strongly from his words to Richard de Lucy, before he fent him to England. He faid to him. "Richard, If I were now lying dead, " would you not endeavour to raife my eldest " fon to the throne?" And upon his answering that he would, to the utmost of his power, the king replied: Endeavour equally to raise my chancellor Becket to the see of Canterbury. Every objection to his promotion being thus overcome, the prior and monks of

Canterbury, with the fuffragan bishops, in presence of the young prince, Henry, of

the forty-fourth of Becket's age, elected that minister into the see of Canterbury: nor did any man dare to oppose it, or express any diflike of what had been done, except Gilbert Foliot, then bishop of Hereford, and presently afterwards translated to London, who ven-

Gerv. & Diceto fub ann. 1162.

Richard de Lucy, and many of the nobles affembled at Westminster, on the third of June, in the year eleven hundred and fixty two,

tured

tured to fay, when the ceremony was over, BOOK IL that the king had worked a miracle, in having, that day, turned a layman and a foldier into Fitz-Stephen an archbishop. After the election, the prince, in vitaBecket by a commission from his father, gave the Heribert in royal affent to it; and then Becket removed vita Becket. from London to Canterbury, where he was confecrated by the Bishop of Winchester; the fee of London, to which properly that office belonged, being vacant. Not only the prelates and clergy of the province, but most of the nobility, and the young prince himself, attended the ceremony, paying these honors to the favorite as much as to the primate. It is remarkable, that he had taken prieft's orders only one day before his confecration.

Prince Henry had been fent to England by his father, that the barons of the realm might do homage to him, as heir apparent. They performed that ceremony before the election of Chron. Becket, who was the first that swore fealty to Norm. him, faving the faith which he owed to the king P. 999. his father. hift, fub ann,

About the end of January, in the year eleven 1162. p. 533hundred and fixty three, that monarch, difengaged from his affairs on the continent, returned into England. The peace of South-Wales had been greatly diffurbed in his absence, by the diffatisfaction and courage of Rhees ap SeeD. Power's Gryffyth. After that prince had submitted WelshChron. and laid down his arms, in the year eleven from p. 208, hundred and fifty feven, he was much displeased that the territories, which had been affign-

ed

BOOK II. ed to him by Henry, did not lie all together, as he had been promited that they should, in the country round about Dynevowr and Carmarthen: but were in different districts, and intermingled with the lands of other lords. The giving them in that manner would have been, doubtless, good policy, if it coud be so in a king to break his word. But Henry. having thus violated the treaty he had made for the pacification of Wales, did in effect rekindle that flame of war, which he had defired to extinguish by prudent concessions. Rhees ap Gryffyth had never been a friend to the English: but this rendered him more their enemy, than if they had continued an open war against him. Yet he suppressed his refentment till he received a further provocation. Walter de Clifford, who had the government of a caftle in Cardiganshire under Roger de Clare earl of Pembroke, having, on some pretence, made incursions into his lands in that county, he fent a complaint to the king, who returned him only fair words, without redrefs: at which lofing all patience he boldly took up arms, and, with the affiftance of his nephew Eneon, a young man of great valour, demolished all the castles of the English in Cardiganshire, which had lately been rebuilt by Roger de Clare, and fubdued the whole province, before any fufficient force could be brought to oppose him. This was an act most offensive to the king, who had confirmed to the earl of Pembroke the grant of this

coun-

country, which the father of that lord had BOOK IL. obtained from Henry the First, and which having been loft in the reign of Stephen, was, by the late peace, restored to the family : yet, as he then was engaged abroad in affairs of great moment, and could not be infenfible that Rhees had cause to complain of ill usage, he permitted him to enjoy the county of Cardigan, as a compensation for what he had an equitable right to in the province of Carmarthen. But either that prince was apprehenfive, that this indulgence was no more than a temporary favor, which he should be deprived of, when the king had leifure to chaftise him; or his ambition was not satisfied with fo small a part of the kingdom that had belonged to his ancestors. For, while Henry was taken up in the war of Toulouse, he led his forces into Pembrokeshire; destroyed all the castles lately fortified there by the English, and then laid siege to the royal town of Carmarthen. But Reginald earl of Cornwall, who in the Welsh chronicle is called earl of Bristol, Roger earl of Pembroke, and some other English lords, affisted by the sons of Owen Gwyneth, and by his brother Cadwallader, came against him with a great army of English and Welsh; at whose approach he was obliged to raife the fiege, and retire to the mountains of Brecknock. They did not purfue him thither, but contented themfelves with building a castle on the borders, to ftop his incursions, repairing most of those

BOOK II. those which he had demolished in Pembrokeshire, and restoring to the earl of Pem-

broke the province of Cardigan.

About the beginning of the following year, eleven hundred and fixty, died Madoc ap Meredyth, prince of Powis-land. The Welsh chronicle fays of him; "that he had been " ever a friend to the king of England, and " was one that feared God and relieved the " poor." Henry indeed had great cause to lament his death: for, by his faithful and loyal fervices, he not only had fecured the marches of England, but had been very instrumental in bringing the other Welsh princes to fubmit to that power, which he, who was defcended from the ancient monarchs, of

V.Chr.Gerv. Wales, was not ashamed to obey. Gervase fubann. 1159 of Canterbury, a contemporary author, fays that Henry, in the war against the earl of Toulouse, was served by one of the kings of Wales. If any of them did attend him there it certainly was this prince; to whom that historian might still continue the title which his ancestors had enjoyed. After his death Powis-land, which he had held almost entire, was fplit into feveral portions by the Welsh gavelkind, and never again was united under one prince. His immediate heirs were two fons, the iffue of his marriage with a daughter of Gryffyth ap Conan, and three illegitimate, who shared equally with the former in the division of the whole paternal inheritance. But his nephew Owen, the fon of Gryffyth ap Mere-

Meredyth, stiled in the Welsh chronicle BOOK Is Owen Cyveliock, had a diffrict called by that name, which contained near one half of Powis-land, and had been held, during his infancy, by Madoc, as his guardian. fovereignty of England was acknowledged by all these princes; and therefore, when the king returned from France, he did not think it necessary to visit those parts; but gave all his attention to the affairs of South-Wales, and the war made against him there by Rhees ap Gryffyth, who remaining unfubdued in the mountains of Brecknock, continually infested the neighbouring countries. That prince had been much encouraged, or had artfully V. Deceto contrived to encourage his people, by pro- ann. 11636 phecies published in Wales and England, pretending to foretell that Henry would never return to his kingdom. His arrival indeed put an end to that delufion, but not to the obstinacy of their revolt, till he raised a great WelshChron. army, and advanced with it himself to Pen-subann. 11634 cadyr near Brecknock, where Rhees, being unsupported by the other Welsh princes, and finding himself unable to refift so formidable a power, came to him and made his fubmiffions; upon which he was pardoned, and, renewing his homage, received the whole Cantreff Mawr, a large part of Carmarthenshire, in which was Dynevowr, the royal leat of his ancestors, kings of South-Wales, agreeably to the articles of the peace he had made in the year eleven hundred and fifty feven. VOL. III.

1163.

BOOK II. feven. But all Cardiganshire was left in the hands of the earl of Pembroke. Henry, having thus restored the tranquility of South-Wales, without any bloodshed, returned from thence into England, and held his court in great pomp at his favorite palace of Woodstock; where Malcolm king of Scotland, Diceto imag. Owen Gwyneth, and Rhees ap Gryffyth, hift. sub. ann. Owen Gwyneth, and Rhees ap Gryffyth, with all the other inferior princes and chief lords of Wales, attended his fummons, and paid

their homage, both to him, and his eldest son, as heir to his kingdom.

Some monarchs, great in war, or while they are flruggling with the ftorms of adverfity, fink, in tranquility, into an effeminate and negligent indolence, which feems to unnerve all the vigour of their minds. But Henry Plantagenet was not one of these. Peace did not lay his virtues afleep: it only gave them a different exercise. His courage and magnanimity were then exerted in correcting the abuses of government, and bringing the state of the whole kingdom as near to perfection as the times would permit. How far he had gone, before, in this arduous undertaking, the reader has feen. But a wife prince will never think of endeavouring to reform all evils at once; much less fuch as are covered under respectable names. Where he has not only faction, but prejudice, to contend with, he will proceed with great caution, wait for proper seasons, and be fure, by other trials, that his authority is too strong to be easily baffled.

Nay,

Nay, he will be patient till he has brought the BOOK It. voice of the publick to declare itself loudly in favor of the reformation he meditates. Henry did thus, with regard to the independency on the civil power, which in Stephen's reign, the English clergy had arrogated to themselves, and still continued to claim. But, before I enter upon this subject, I think it will be proper to give some account of him in those parts of his character, which make us acquainted with the man as well as the king. I shall also delineate a short sketch of the cuftoms and manners of the nation, and endeayour to supply whatsoever is wanting for the information of the reader, in the civil and political state of the kingdom.

The person of Henry was masculine and ro- V. Petri Bles buft, excelling rather in strength of limbs and fensis epist. dignity of aspect, than in delicate or exact opera ejus, proportions of beauty. Yet his features were et in Appengood; and, when his mind was ferene, there was in his eyes a great fweetness; but, when he was angry, they feemed to sparkle with fire, and dart out flashes of lightening, says Peter of Blois, in a description he gives of him to the archbishop of Palermo. This passionate temper, which shewed itself in his countenance by fuch visible marks, was his greatest imperfection: for, upon any fudden provocation, he could not command the first motions of his rage, though at other times he possessed an extraordinary degree of prudence and judgment. Nevertheless this infirmity never be-

D .2

72

trayed

BOOK II. traved him into furious or cruel actions; but only broke out into words or gestures: nor did his anger last long; and, when he was cool, his disposition and behaviour were gentle and humane. He was tenderly compaffionate to all persons in distrefs; and his good occonomy feemed to be chiefly employed in providing an ample fund for his charity and bounty. Besides what he laid out in acts of munificence occasionally done, some of which were the greatest we read of in our history, he affigned the tenth part of the provisions of his houshold, to be constantly given in daily alms to the poor. His treasures were ever open to all men of merit; but he was particularly liberal in his prefents to strangers, who came to visit his court; as many did from all the nations in Europe, drawn by his fame, which was every where high and illustrious. Gi-V. G. Camb. raldus Cambrensis, a writer of considerable Hibern. ex- note in those days, speaks of him with some degree of censure on this account; as if his having been so lavish to foreigners was a detriment to his fervants and domestick attendants, who were better entitled to his gifts. But very little regard is due to that author in what he fays against Henry, towards whom he was fowered, not only by his prejudices as an ecclefiaffick, but by having been difappointed in his hopes of promotion to the fee of St. David's, which I shall have occasion to

> fay more of hereafter. His malignity appears very strong in this instance : for surely that

> > prince

pugnat. c.45.

prince deserved no blame, but rather much BOOK II. commendation, for this part of his conduct. A generous hospitality is not the least of royal virtues. It does honor to a nation, and is attended with many political benefits: for guests, who have been obliged by favors conferred upon them in a foreign court, return home the partizans and friends of that court, and often serve it more usefully than its ministers. Nor can there be a more shameful weakness in a king, than the allowing his courtiers to confider his wealth as a part of their property. Henry was too wife to encourage fuch a notion. He did not fuffer those about him to confine to themselves either his purse or his ear. As his own judgment directed the course of his bounty, so his affability extended itself even to the meanest of his subjects: insomuch that his ministers must have found it a very difficult matter to conceal from him any truth, which it was useful for him to know. But though his ears were always open to information or complaint, his heart was thut against calumny: nor did any good fervant, through the whole course of his long reign, fuffer any loss of favor or credit, by the fecret whispers of malice, or the vain and groundless clamour of popular rumours. He was fo conftant in his friendships, and chose his ministers with such discretion, that not one of those whom he principally trusted was ever difgraced; except only Becket, who rather quitted, than loft, the place he had gained

BOOK II. in his heart. The persons who are most steady in their attachments are generally most apt to retain their aversions: and I find it observed epift.ut supra in the character of this prince, that whom he once hated he could hardly be perfuaded to admit any more to a share of his favor: but it does not appear that he ever hated any man without a fufficient cause. With what a generous clemency he pardoned rebellions, and other offences committed against himself, some remarkable inflances have already been given, and more will occur in the latter parts of this history: but there is one which it is proper to take notice of here, as it will not fall in with the feries of events in the following books.

V. G. Camb. partii.p.427. in Anglià facrâ.

Some gentlemen of his court being accused, in his presence, of having, at the suggestion of the bishop of Worcester, talked of him indecently and to his dishonor, they did not deny the words which were laid to their charge, but alledged that they were spoken when their minds were heated and difordered with wine. On this apology, he difmiffed them all without any punishment, and retained no unkindness towards them or the bishop: an admirable proof of true magnanimity, and fuch as is found in few princes! for even the best are fometimes more angry at any liberty taken with their persons, than at an act of high treafon against their crown. But Henry's good nature got the better of his pride; and he was fo wife as to know, that his character would gain

gain more by this moderation, than it could BOOK II. fuffer by any injurious afpersions. Nor would he encourage the baseness and malignity of informers, who endeavour to recommend themselves to the favor of a prince, by bringing to his ear the unweighed expressions of men in their hours of freedom: a practice as pernicious to the quiet of the sovereign, as to the security of the subject. Henry's behaviour on this occasion effectually delivered his court from that pest, and rendered the air of it pure

and healthful to liberty.

Of the piety of this prince we have a remarkable testimony from William Fitz-Stephen, a contemporary writer of Becket's life. He tells us, that the king would fometimes watch with the monks of Merton-abbey three nights before Easter: and that, after the evening fervice on Good Friday, he was accustomed to fpend the remainder of the night, till the hour of nine, when the service of Easter eve begins, in walking on foot, and muffled up in a cowl, with only one companion, to vifit all the poor churches in the neighbourhood, and perform his devotions in them. The ferious fense of religion, which these practices feem to indicate, however tinctured with a degree of innocent superstition, deferves great praise; and more especially in a monarch, who with fo much spirit opposed the encroachments of the church on the temporal rights of the state. No

BOOK II.

epist. ut fupra.

No gentleman of that age excelled him in politeness, or had a more becoming and agree-V.G. Camb. able manner of converfing with all who ap-& Petri Blef. proached him. His wit was very lively, but neither petulant, nor ill-natured: fo that it made him no enemies, nor ever let down the dignity of his character. He had also the advantage of a wonderful memory, and a great flow of natural eloquence; which happy endowments he improved by a continual application to learning. For he was not content (as princes usually are) with the rudiments acquired in his childhood; but constantly employed a great part of his leifure in fecret ftudy, or in affemblies of churchmen, with whom he delighted to reason and to hear their opinions, on points of literature and science. His daily school (says Peter of Blois) was the conversation of the most learned men, and a kind

V. P. Blefen. ut fupra,

of academical discussion of questions.

most gracious and easy familiarity, particularly with Becket, to whose house and table phen in vità he would frequently come uninvited and unex-S.T. Cantuar. pected. After they had finished their serious affairs, they played together (fays a writer of Becket's life) like two boys of the same age. The king's good humour feems indeed to have been sometimes too playful, in the eye of the publick. But the notions of decorum were not in those times so high and rigid as now;

With his intimate friends he lived in the

nor could the military life, then led by our monarchs,

monarchs, be rendered confistent with all that BOOK II. pride of royal state, which the forms of a fettled court are thought to require. Indeed any king may fafely and amiably divest himself of his majesty, in hours of recreation, if he knows how to keep it up, on proper occasions; and if those companions, whom he chuses to unbend himself with, are neither so mean, nor fo vicious, as by their intimacy to dishonor and leffen his character. Henry had sported with his chancellor, and with the nobility of his court: but it does not appear that he ever contaminated himself with the low society of buffoons, or any of those who find access to the leifure hours of princes, by ministring to their vices, or foothing their follies.

His favorite diversion was hunting; which he followed the cuftoms of his ancestors. and more especially of the Normans, who took a pride in this exercise, as indicating a manly temper of mind, and forming the body to the toils and hardships of war. We are told by his fecretary, Peter of Blois, that when v. Epiftol. he was not reading or at council, he had al- ut supra. ways in his hands a fword, or a hunting spear, or a bow and arrows. The hunting spear was used against wild boars, which were then in our forests, and adding greatly to the danger added also to the honor of this recreation. Henry rose by break of day, pursued the chace till evening with unabaited ardour, and when he came home, though all his fervants were tired with following him, he would not fit

down;

P

te

0

d

li

1

12

y

tl

tl

S

tl

ti

n

2

y

C

b

u

h

tl

b

t

BOOK II. down; but was always on his feet, except at his meals, which he usually made very short. Even while he was confulting on bufiness with his ministers, he stood, or walked. Thus he kept down a disposition to corpulency which would have otherwise incommoded him, and preserved the alacrity of youth to old age. From the continual habit of exercise he was so indefatigable, that he would perform in one day (if occasion required it) a journey of three or four to an ordinary traveller; by which expedition he often came unexpectedly upon his enemies, disconcerted the measures that were taken against him, and crushed the first motions to rebellion or fedition, even in the most distant parts of all the several states that were under his government. The frequent progrefies he made about England have already been mentioned. They were very beneficial to his people; the execution of the laws, the good order of cities, the improvement of agriculture, manufactures, and trade, being thus under his own immediate inspection. He was the foul of his kingdom, pervading every part of it, and animating the whole with his active vivacity. Nor were his cares for the publick interrupted by luxury, or the powers of his mind difordered and enfeebled by excefs. was confrantly fober and often abstemious both in eating and drinking. His table was frugal, his diet plain, and in his dress he affected the utmost fimplicity, disliking all ornaments, which might incumber him and hinder his exercise,

exercise, or shew an effeminate regard to his BOOK II, person. Yet this did not proceed from inattention to women. He was but too sensible of the power of their attractions, and too defirous to please them, even to the end of his life.

His first mistress was Rosamond, daughter of Walter de Clifford, a baron of Herefordshire, and the most celebrated beauty in England. Their intrigue must have begun in the year eleven hundred and forty nine, during the short stay he made in the western parts of that kingdom, before he went to join the Scotch at Carlifle, and when he was very little more than fixteen years old: for after that time he never was in England till the beginning of the year eleven hundred and fifty three; and from good authority it appears, that his younger fon by this lady was almost twenty V. G. Cambyears old, when he was elected bishop of Lin-de vita Galcoln, in the year eleven hundred and feventy c.i. in Anglia three. The eldest must therefore have been facen, t. ii. born in the year eleven hundred and fifty; Diceto imag. unless we suppose that his mother followed ann. 1173. her lover into France, of which there is not the least intimation in any ancient author. At Henry's return into England, in January eleven hundred and fifty three, he renewed his amour with her, and she must have brought him Geoffry her fecond fon, during the course of that year. As he was then married, he might, probably, be afraid of Eleanor's jealoufy, and follicitous to hide his intrigue

fridi archiep.

r

1

V

b

b

1

0

a

(

I

t

t

f

C

7

e

C

1

ried

BOOK II. trigue from her knowledge; which he might think still more necessary, when she was with him in England, after he came to the crown. And this may have given rife to the romantick tradition, mentioned by Brompton, of his having made a kind of labyrinth, in his palace of Woodstock, to conceal his mistress from the fight and vengeance of his queen. But the tale of her having been poisoned in that palace by Eleanor has no foundation. Before her death she retired to the nunnery of Godstow near Oxford; and there she died, in what year I cannot find; but it appears that it was during dale's Baron- the life of her father. Henry bestowed large revenues on the convert; in return for which he required, that lamps should be kept perpetually burning about the remains of this lady, which were placed near the high altar, in a tomb covered with filk: but, under the reign of his fucceffor, Hugh bishop of Lincoln commanded them to be taken away from thence, as being unworthy of fo holy a place: upon which they were removed to the chapter-house of the nunnery, and there interred.

> It may be questioned, whether mere piety and zeal against vice excited the bishop to this act,

> or a defire of making his court to Eleanor, who then governed the kingdom. That Rofamond, after her retreat from the world and her lover, lived the life of a penitent, and died in the communion of the church, I fee no room to doubt; but, if the tender respect, which Henry paid to her memory, was car-

See Dugage under Clifford.

Hoveden, pars poster. Ricard I. 1. 405. fect. 20.

ıt

h

1.

1-

IS

ce

10

ne

ce

er

W

ar

ıg

ge

ch

r-

118

ır,

he

n-

m

e:

p-

d.

nd

ct,

or,

.0-

nd

ed

no

et,

ar-

ed

ried too far, it was (to fay the worst of it) the BOOK II. amiable extravagance of a good heart. One should suppose, that, so long as their connection continued, he had no other mistress. Yet we are told by a writer of Becket's life, that, before the promotion of that prelate to Canter- V. Wilhelm. bury, there was at Stafford a very handsome in Quadrilog. girl, with whom Henry was faid to cohabit. Poffibly Rofamond might be dead before this intrigue began. Fitz-Stephen, in his account of the beginning of the quarrel between Henry and Becket, mentions a fifter of the earl of Clare and Pembroke, as the greatest beauty in England, and one for whom the king had entertained a passion: but that she did not yield to his defires may be inferred from the expreffion made use of by that author. There was one Morgan, provost of Beverley, who was faid to be his fon by the wife of Sir Ralph Blewit, or (as others write it) Blower; and was fo proud of his birth, that, rather than deny it in the presence of the pope, he renounced his election to the bishoprick of Durham: but I do not find that he was ever acknowledged by his supposed father: and some authors say, that his mother was not the wife, but the daughter of Sir Ralph; which is the more probable account, as he was called, not Blewit, but Morgan. It appears from records, that Inter brevia Henry had a natural daughter, named Matil-regis apud TurrimLond. da, whom he made abbess of Berking, after de ann. 19. the death of Becket's fifter, and whose mo- Edw. II. ther's name was Joanna: but when the was born,

BOOK II. born, or of what family her mother was, is uncertain. I shall have occasion hereafter to mention other instances of his incontinence, and some that produced the most unhappy effects: but in his love for Rofamond, or any other of the abovementioned ladies, there was no other weakness than what is inseparable from the paffion itself, irregularly indulged. He never facrificed to them one hour of bufiness, or fuffered them to meddle in the government of his kingdom. Nor was he lavish in bestowing either honors or riches on their relations or dependants. No worthless man ever rose to power by their favor; no worthy man ever incurred a difgrace at court by their malice. Henry was indeed too frequently a lover; but he was always a king.

V. Malmfb. £. 57. 1. iii. de W. I.

Some curfory observations have already been made on the manners of the nation, as they were in those days, but not so particularly as the fubject requires. There is a remarkable paffage in William of Malmfbury upon the different characters of the English and Normans. He fays, that, before the latter had obtained possession of England, learning and religion were brought to fo low a state in that kingdom, that most of the clergy could hardly read divine fervice; and, if, happily, any one of them understood grammar, he was admired and wondered at by the reft as a prodigy. The English nobility were very deficient in the external duties of piety; it being customary among them, even for those who were married

T

V

n

15 e

ne

-

d d

at

1-

y 1-

7. in

y

r-

ed

tied, to hear matins and mals faid to them in BOOK II. their bed-chambers, before they were up, and as fast as the priests could possibly hurry them over; instead of attending divine service, with proper folemnity, in churches or chapels. Many of them were guilty of the unnatural inhumanity of felling their female flaves, whom they had kept as their concubines, when they were big with child by them, either to publick proftitution, or to perpetual flavery in foreign lands. They were also universally addicted to drunkenness, and continued over their cups whole days and nights, keeping open house, and spending all the income of their estates in riotous feasts, where they eat and drank to excefs, without any elegant or magnificent luxury. Their houses were ge- Idem, f. 56. nerally small and mean, their garments plain, l. iii. fect. 20. and fuccinct: they cut their hair short, and shaved their faces, except the upper lip; wearing no ornament, but heavy bracelets of gold on their arms, and painted figures, that were burnt into the skin, on some parts of their bodies. The Normans on the contrary (as the fame author informs us) affected great finery and pomp in their cloaths; and were delicate in their food, but without any excess. They ipent little in house-keeping, but were very expensive and magnificent in their buildings, making that their chief pride, and introducing a new and better mode of architecture into this island. Nor did they only display this

mag-

BOOK II. magnificence in their own private houses; but embellished all the kingdom with churches and convents more splendid and elegant than those of the English. They are also commended, by the abovementioned historian, for establishing here a more decent and more regular form of religion: but yet it is certain, that, by admitting new doctrines of popery, to which V. Usher An- the Anglo-Saxon church had never affented,

tiquit. eccles. Britan.

ut fupra.

they further corrupted the purity of the Chriftian faith in this island. He adds, that they V. Malmib. were faithful to their liege lords, if they were not ill used; but that, on occasion of the lightest offence given to them, they broke their allegiance; that being accustomed to a military life, and hardly knowing how to live without war, they made it with ardour; but, if they could not fucceed by open force, they underflood equally well how to employ both fraud and bribery; whereas the English had only a rash and impetuous valour. He likewise tells us, that the Normans were apt to fell justice; that they were full of emulation, ambition, and envy; that they frequently themselves oppressed their vassals, but bravely defended them against all others; willingly intermixed with the people they had conquered, and of all nations in the world were the kindeft to foreigners, putting them upon an equal foot with themselves, if they came to settle among them.

Such is the picture drawn by William of Malmfbury of the English and Normans com-

pared

pared and contrasted together: and no writer BOOK II. of those times was better qualified than he to v. Malmib. form a true judgement of their good and ill Prologum qualities, or more impartial between them; for 1.iii. de gestis he had very good fense, with much knowledge reg. Anglor. of the world, and was equally related in blood to both nations. Nevertheless the diversity, which he has observed in their manners, did not remain till the times in which he wrote. He tells us himfelf, that the English foon accommodated themselves to those of the Normans, after they had been forced to fubmit to their government, except in one article, namely, their temperance in eating and drinking; but, instead of learning that, they communicated to them their own habits, of drunkenness and immoderate feafting, which coetinued for many ages the national vices of their common posterity.

In weighing the merits of each people, as here described, it will be found that the Normans were greatly superior to the English in politeness and knowledge; and it may therefore be thought, that, by a mixture with them, the latter received fuch improvements, as were a fufficient compensation for the many evils brought upon them in other respects. It must also be confessed, that, so long as the Anglo-Saxons were masters of England, that kingdom was of no account in the system of Europe; but grew to have weight and authority on the continent under the government of the Normans, both from the dominions which the princes of that Vol. III.

race

BOOK II. race possessed in France, and from their active ambition, which, feconded by the enterprifing and warlike disposition of all their nobility, rendered the English name respected and illustrious abroad. But whether this honor was not purchased too dear, by the loss of that peace, which the situation of England, especially if united with Scotland and Wales, might have fecured to it under the government and island-policy of the Saxons, may well be disputed. Besides the constant expence of blood and treasure, one great mischief occasioned by it, was the taking off the attention of many of our kings from the important objects of agriculture, manufactures, and commerce. Yet, on the other hand, it is certain that foreign wars, by exercifing the valour, encrease the ftrength of a nation, which, remaining long unemployed, is very apt to decay, and fink into an infirm and effeminate foftness; particularly where the people are much addicted to commerce, the mercantile spirit prevailing over the military more than is confistent with the fafety or virtue of a state. To keep up the energy of both these spirits in a proper degree, and without prejudice to each other, it is a very important and very difficult work of political wisdom, which has been performed in few governments either ancient or modern.

> The military art, during the times of which I write, was in many particulars the fame with that of the ancient Romans. We are informed by a contemporary German historian,

> > that,

battle

that, in the method of encamping, and of be-BOOK II. fieging towns or castles, the Emperor Frederick Barbarossa followed their rules. And y. R. devic. the histories of the holy war, written within l. ii. c. 2. the same age, describe the sieges made in c. 58. Afia, by the English and French, agreeably to those carried on under the discipline of that nation. We have one composed by an Englishman, Geoffry de Vinesauf, that gives a particular relation of the fiege of Acre, or Ptolemais, to which he accompanied king V. Galf. Richard the First. It appears from thence, Angl. that the besiegers, among other machines Ricard. reg. which had been used by the Romans, had iter Hierosol. moveable towers, built of wood, and of fuch a height, that the tops of them overlooked the battlements of the city. They were covered with raw hides, to prevent their being burnt; and had also a network of ropes which hung before them, and was intended to deaden the violence of the stones, that were thrown against them from the engines of the befieged. Those engines were called by this author petrariæ, but were the balistæ of the V. P. Danie ancients; and, according to his account of Hist.delamithem, their force was prodigious: they c. i. p. 62. threw stones of a vast weight, and were em- V. G. Vineployed by the besiegers to batter the walls, as fauf, ut sup. by the besieged to defend them. He likewise mentions the cross-bow among the weapons made use of in that siege. It had been intro- V.G. Picav. duced into England by William the Conque-Guff.G.Duc. ror, who greatly availed himself of it, at the Nor. p. 201,

1-

0

23

10

ne

e,

ry

cal

W

ch

me

are

an,

de la milice Francoife. l. vi. p. 424. L v.

Juniani V

.lolorsili isi

P. Danie · mara obuste

i p. 62.

V. G. Vince

: tor . q . to !?

olio senna

BOOK II. battle of Hastings: but the second Lateran council having forbidden it in wars between P. Daniel hist Christian nations, it was laid afide in this country, during the reigns of King Stephen and of Henry the Second. Nevertheless Richard the First, at his return out of Pale-G. la. Breton stine, brought it again into France, very fatally for himself, as he was killed soon afterwards by an arrow shot out of that engine.

The manner of fortifying towns and castles, as well as the methods both of attack and defence, were still much the same as had been used by the Romans; but the armies differed Ricard, Peg. much from those of that people; for their principal strength was in the cavalry; whereas, among the Romans, it was in the legions, which were chiefly composed of infantry. And this variation produced others, in the manner of fighting, and of ranging the troops. Yet, upon many occasions, the horsemen dismounted to fight on foot; and this feems to have been done by the English more frequently than by most other nations. The infantry, for the most part, were archers and slingers; nor were there any in the world more excellent at that time than those belonging to this island, the Normans having communilast, ut fupi cated their skill to the Saxons, and the Welsh being famous for strength, and dexterity in drawing the bow. The offensive arms of the cavalry were lances and fwords: but they al-C.A.G.Doc. fo used battle-axes, and maces of different forts; and some fought with ponderous mal-

lets

lets or clubs of iron. I cannot better describe BOOK II. their defensive armour, than by translating the words of a contemporary historian, who has given an account of the manner in which the order of knighthood was conferred on the father of King Henry the Second. "They V. Monach. " put him on (fays that author) an incom- hift. Gaufrid. " parable habergeon, composed of double Duc. See " plates or scollops of steel, which no arrow also Selden's Titles of or lance could penetrate. They gave him Honor. " cuishes, or boots of iron, made equally And Pere Dan. hist. de " strong. They put gilt spurs on his feet, la milice " and hung on his neck a shield, or buckler, Francoife, " on which lions of gold were painted. On 1. vi. p. 385. " his head they placed a helmet, which glit-" tered all over with precious stones, and

" was fo well forged, that no fword could

This armour, it may be prefumed, was richer than that of ordinary knights, and of more excellent workmanship in the temper of the freel; but in other respects much the fame. The habergeons, or coats of mail, were different from the cuiraffes used in later times, being formed of double plates of iron, V. Gul. Briand covering the arms and shoulders of the ton, p. 263. knights, as well as their bodies. Under these Daniel, hist. they wore other coats, of leather, or of taf- de la milice fety, quilted with wool. The feveral parts of Francoife, 1. vi, p. 384. the outward armour were fo artfully joined, that the whole man was defended by it from head to foot, and rendered almost invulnerable, except by contusions, or by the point of a lance E 3

P. 854.

BOOK II. or fword running into his eye, through the holes that were left for fight in the vizor of the helmet: but if it happened that the horse was killed or thrown down, or that the rider was dismounted, he could make but little refistance, and was either taken prisoner, or slain on the ground with fhort daggers, which were ufually worn by the horsemen for that purpofe. It being customary for all who were taken in war to ranfom themselves with sums of money, which were generally paid to those who took them in proportion to the rank of the captives, good quarter was given.

V. Ord. Vital, l. xii. p. 854.

in salit

Dan bid. da

188 g.

There is a remarkable paffage relating to this fubject, in Ordericus Vitalis, a writer contemporary with King Henry the First. He tells us, that in a battle between Louis le Gros and that prince, of which an account has been given in a former part of this work, nine hundred knights were engaged, and only two of them killed: " because (fays the historian) " they were cloathed all over with iron, and " from their fear of God, and the acquain-" tance they had contracted by living toge-" ther, they spared one another, and rather " defired to take than kill those who fled." Some battles in Italy, which Machiavel has described, as fought by the mercenary bands of that country, in the fourteenth and fifteenth . centuries, were of the fame kind. But it must be observed, that one of the reasons here given by Ordericus Vitalis, why fo few of the knights, or men at arms, were flain in this action.

V. Histoire Florentine 1. vii. p. 288.

action, viz. that they spared one another, out BOOK II. of regard to the acquaintance they had contracted by living together, did not hold in engagements between different nations, that were not so connected as the French and Normans; nor in civil wars, where the animofity is encreased, not diminished, by the knowledge which the adverse parties have of each other: and therefore in these we do not find that the battles were fo harmless: yet the greatest slaughter was generally made of the foot, who were neither fo well armed for defence as the knights, nor able to pay fo high a price for their ranfoms.

Roger de Hoveden speaks of horses covered V. Hoveden, with armour, in the reign of Richard the First: feet. 59. but I find no mention thereof in the times of which I write; and that they were not usually so armed in the reign of Henry the First, may be proved from an action before related, between Odo de Borleng, and the barons of Normandy, who had revolted against that prince, in which all the horses of the rebels were killed by the arrows of the English, though not one of the riders was wounded.

In the above-recited passage, concerning the arms that were given to Geoffry Plantagenet, when he received the order of knighthood, it is faid, "they brought him a lance of ash " armed with the steel of Poitou, and a sword " from the royal treasure, where it had been " laid up from old times, being the workman-E 4

BOOK II. " ship of Galan, the most excellent of all " fword-fmiths, who had exerted in forging " it his utmost art and labour." A skilful fwordsmith was then so necessary to a warrior, that it is no wonder the name of one who excelled in his profession should be thus recorded in hiftory, and a fword of his making depofited in the treasury of a king. It must be obferved, that, in those days, a superior degree of bodily strength gave a double advantage; for the strongest knight could wear the heaviest armour; whereby he was better secured than others against the weapons of an enemy; and at the same time he could wield the most ponderous weapons, which the armour of others was unable to refift. This advantage was still encreased, if his fword was finely tempered, and his defensive arms were rendered more impenetrable by the skill of the armorer in preparing the fleel. Thus some extraordinary acts of personal valour, which are related in our ancient histories, and feem to us quite incredible, may indeed be true. A fingle man, in a narrow pass, may have defended it against a great number of affailants; and the fuccess of a battle may have sometimes been decided by the particular prowefs of a few knights, or men at arms. Geoffry de Vinefauf, in his account of the crufade against Saladin, makes the officers of the Turkish forces fay to that prince, in excuse of their having been beaten in an engagement with

C. 22.

the English, that they could not hurt the ene- BOOK IT. my, who were not armed as they were, but with impenetrable armour, which yielded to no weapons; fo that in affaulting them they seemed to firike against flints. The same author defcribes the Turks in another part of his book, as being armed very flightly, but bearing a quiver full of arrows, a club fet thick with sharp spikes, a sword, a light javelin, and a short dagger or knife. Yet it appears, from his own relations of feveral battles, that with these weapons they often killed a great number of the Christians: and therefore we must understand the passage before cited with some allowance for a degree of exaggeration. We also find that the armour of the knights in those days was not always proof against arrows from Welsh or English bows. And such violent strokes were given with maces and clubs of iron, as no helmets could refift. Befides the heavy cavalry, there was a fort of lighthorfe, that only wore an habergeon and skullcap of that metal. Some of the infantry had also scull-caps and jaquettes of mail, with tar- v. Gul. le gets of wood, or light breast-plates. It was Breton. customary for knights to bear their coats of Philip. arms painted, either upon the rims, or in the et P. Daniel middle of their shields; and their helmets were hist. de la adorned with different crefts, which, together coife, p. 392. with the arms, remained to their families. Some good authors have ascribed the origin of this custom, from whence the modern science of heraldry was derived, to the institution of tilts and tournaments,

BOOK II. tournaments, in the tenth century; but others date it from the crusade under Godfrey of Bouillon, when the confusion arising from so great a number of noblemen of different nations ferving together made them invent these distinctions. A

V.Essai sur la late ingenious French writer has very justly Ville deParis. observed, that wearing such ensigns on their shields, and appropriating them to distinguish particular families, could not have been the general practice in Europe, till after the death of William the Conqueror: for, if it had, his fon Robert must have known him by his armour, and could not have ignorantly thrown him to the ground, as hath been related in the book perfixed to this history.

V. Selden de Duello.

Tilts and tournaments, we are told, were first introduced into Germany by the emperor Henry, furnamed the Fowler, who died in the year nine hundred and thirty fix; and who, among other ordinances relating to those sports, forbad the admitting of any person to jouft, who could not prove a nobility of four descents. Soon afterwards they were brought into England by King Edgar; and, in the following century, were established all over France. Geoffry de Preuilly, a baron of Anjou, is mentioned, in some of the histories or chronicles of that age, as the first who introduced them into that kingdom: but Father Daniel rather thinks, that he only drew up a code of laws, by which they were regulated; and that those regulations had been fettled

Hift. de la milice Francoise, l. vi. by the king and the nobility in their affem-BOOK II.

These entertainments are justly called, by fome of our ancient historians, military exercifes and preludes of war. For they were of v. Neubrig. very great use to instruct the nobility in all et Hoveden. the methods of fighting which prevailed at that time, but especially in the dexterous manage-They also ment of their horses and lances. kept up a martial disposition, and an eager emulation for military glory, in time of peaces But, as they were frequently attended with accidents fatal to the lives of the combatants, Pope Innocent the Second and Eugenius the Third made canons against them, by which all who should die in them were denied Christian bu-Yet, notwithstanding the severity of this prohibition, they continued in France; and a few of them were held under King Stephen in England; but Henry the fecond, from the humanity of his nature; or, perhaps, to shew his respect for the authority of the church, where the interest of the state did not absolutely oppose it, most strictly forbad them. His fons revived the practice of them, especially his fucceffor, Richard; whose ardour for them was violent; because no person excelled in them more than himself: nor did they entirely cease in England till the latter end of the fixteenth century: for, in the year fifteen hundred and feventy two, among other pomps for the entertainment of the duke of Anjou, Queen Elizabeth held a tournament

See the P. Sidney and Preface.

See Fitz-Stephen's acto his Life of Becket.

BOOK II. in the tilt-yard at London, where Sir Philip Sidney won the prize: and caroufals, another mode of them, but not fo dangerous, con-Works of Sir tinued in use under James and Charles the First. It must be likewise remarked, that, although tournaments were prohibited by King Henry the Second, the exercises practifed there, and the emulation excited by them, were not intermitted during the course of his reign. A contemporary writer informs us, in giving an account of the city of London, count of Lon- that, on every Sunday in Lent, the fons of don perfixed the citizens fallied forth in troops from the gates, mounted on war-horfes, and armed with shields and lances, or, instead of lances, with javelins, the iron of which was taken off, in order to exercise themselves in a representation and image of war, by mock-fights, and other acts of military contention. He adds too, that many courtiers, from the neighbouring palace, and young gentlemen of noble families, who had not yet been knighted, came to combat with them, on these occasions, It cannot be doubted, that those noblemen, who had been honored with knighthood, had proper places of exercife, for keeping up their skill in horsemanship, and the dexterity they had acquired in the management of their arms. The abovementioned author fays further, that on every holiday, throughout the whole fummer, it was usual for the young citizens to go out into the fields, and practife archery, wrestling, throwing of stones and missile weapons,

with other fuch martial sports. And, during BOOK II. the festival of Easter, they represented a kind

of naval fight on the river Thames.

The most particular and authentick account I have met with of the navies in those days, and also of the manner of fighting at fea, is in the before-cited history of Geoffry de Vinefauf. From his description it appears, that the ships of war were all Gallies; but he fays, that in his time they had generally no more than two rows of oars; and he adds, that the veffel, which the Romans called Liburna, was then named a galley; being long, narrow, and low-built. To the prow was affixed a piece of wood, commonly then called a spur, but by the ancients, a rostrum; which was defigned to strike and pierce the ships of the enemy; but there were also leffer gallies, with only one tier of oars: which being shorter, and therefore moved with greater facility, were fitter for throwing wild-fire, and made use of to that purpose. The same writer has related all the circumstances of a sea-fight, which the Christians, who were going to the fiege of Ptolemais, had with the Turks, on that coast. He tells us, that when the fleets were advancing to engage, that of the Christians was drawn up, not in a strait line of battle, but in a crescent or half-moon; to the intent, that, if the enemy should attempt to break in, they might be inclosed in that curve, and confequently overpowered. In the front of the half-moon (that is, at b ad rainyr .or

BOOK II. the two ends of the curve) the Christians; placed their strongest galleys, that they might attack with more alacrity, and better repel the attacks of the enemy. On the upper deck of each galley the foldiers belonging to it were drawn up in a circle, with their bucklers closely joined; and on the lower deck the rowers fat all together, fo that those who were to fight, and were placed above for that purpose, might have the more room. The action began, on both fides, with a discharge of their miffile weapons: then the Christians rowed forwards, as fwiftly as they could, and shocked the enemy's galleys with the spurs or beaks of theirs: after which they came to close fighting; the opposite oars were mixed and entangled together; they fixed the gallies to each other by grappling irons thrown out on both fides; and fired the planks with a kind of burning oil, commonly called Greek wild-fire. The account which the same historian gives of that wildfire is worth transcribing. His words are these: "With a pernicious stench and livid stames it

" confumes even flint and iron: nor can it be " extinguished by water: but by sprinkling sand

" upon it the violence of it may be abated; " and vinegar poured upon it will put it out."

We know of none fuch at prefent. composition was first discovered by Callinicus, an architect, who came from Syria to Constantinople; and the Greek emperors, for some quieu, Causes time, kept the secret to themselves. Constandelagrandeur time, kept the tectet to themetves. Comtanded et decad. de tine Porphyrogenitus, in his treatife on the Pemp. Rom. administration of the empire, which he dedi-

V. Montes.

cated

cated to his fon, advises that prince to answer BOOK II. the barbarians, who should defire him to give them any of the Greek fire, that he was not allowed to part with it, because an angel who gave it to Constantine the Great, commanded him to refuse it to all other nations. While this advice was adhered to, the wild-fire proved of great use to the defence of the empire; feveral fleets, which came to invade Conftantinople, having been burnt and destroyed by it; but it appears by the passage abovequoted, that in the twelfth century the fecret was known to many other nations, and even to the Mahometans. I find also that it was used in the attack and defence of towns and caftles.

The Saxon chronicle tells us, that King Chron. Alfred, to oppose the invasions of the Danes, saxon fub ann. 879. ordered a number of ships or rather galleys, to be built upon a new model, different from those which were used by that nation, or by the Frifons; being higher than any of theirs, and almost twice as long; better failors, more fleady, and more proper for war. Of these fome had fixty oars, and others more. Experience shewed that they were superior to any of those ships, with which the northern corfairs had infested the coasts of England, till this admirable prince, whose genius and application to whatever might conduce to the benefit of the publick instructed his subjects in all kinds of useful knowledge, made this improvement in the naval architecture of the Anglo-

BOOK II. Anglo-Saxons. His fon, and grandfons, after the wife example he had fet them, kept up very strong fleets, which not only protected but enlarged their dominions. And, (if we

Hoveden, fub ann. 937

V.Flor. Wig. may believe the accounts of fome ancient hiffubann. 938. torians) his great grandfon Edgar raifed the maritime force of England to fuch a degree, as cannot be paralleled in the history of any other nation. They tell us, that this monarch had three feveral fleets, each of twelve hundred fail, and all fout ships, which were stationed to guard the different coasts of his kingdom; and that every year he cruised in each of these fquadrons, fo as to make, within that time, the whole tour of the island. If these ships had heen built upon the fame model as Alfred's, the number of rowers aboard of them, allowing but one to each oar, would have exceeded two hundred thousand, besides the mariners that were necessary to manage the fails, and foldiers for battle. But supposing that three in four of them were of a much smaller fize, and carried no more than four and twenty men each, which was the lowest compliment of any that we read of in those days, the number is still greater than England, not united either with Scotland or Wales, could possibly furnish, to be kept, as it is said these were, in constant employment. I am therefore furprized that Mr. Selden, in one of his most important and elaborate works, should feem to have given credit to this account, which certainly is exaggerated very far beyond truth: though

V. Mare Clauf. c. 10.

-Olpafi

though it is probable that King Edgar had a BOOK II. much stronger fleet, and more constantly maintained on all the coasts of his kingdom, than most of his predecessors; because we find that he enjoyed a fettled peace, through the whole course of his reign, unmolested by any of the people of the North, or other foreign states. Yet he had not been dead above fix or Chron: Sax: feven years, when the naval power of the Flor. Wigron et Malmib. English was so strangely reduced, or so ill See also Sir managed, that a Danish squadron of seven G. Crooke's ships was able to infult some parts of their the case of coasts, and to plunder their town of South- the ship-moampton. Nor did the loss and dishonor which ney, State-Trials, vol. i, the nation had fustained by this descent, excite them to restore, or better regulate, their maritime force. For, ten years afterwards, Ethelred, or rather those who had the direction of publick business, during the tender years of that prince, could find no means of delivering the kingdom from these invaders, but by giving them money; for the raifing of which a new tax, called danegeld, was imposed on the people.

The natural effect of this timid measure was to draw on other invafions. They accordingly happened; and more compositions of the same nature were exacted, each new payment being higher than the foregoing: fo that from ten thousand they came to eight and forty thousand pounds; a great fum in those days! One vigorous effort was indeed made by Ethelred, Chron. Sax. in the year one thousand and eight, to free fub ann, 1008 himself and his people from this infamous VOL. HI. tribute,

BOOK II. tribute, by a general tax on all the land of the kingdom, for fitting out of a fleet, which might effectually guard it against the Danes. Every three hundred and ten hides of land was charged to furnish a galley of three rows of oars, and every eight hides to provide a coat of mail and a helmet; which armour was for the foldiers, defigned to be employed as marines, aboard of the fleet. This was done with the advice and confent of the parliament, or witena gemote: and the Saxon chronicle tells us, that Chron. Sax. the number of thips built and equipt the next fub ann. 1009 year, by means of this imposition, was greater

V. Mare clauf.c. II.

than any, that the English nation had ever furnished under any former king. Selden observes, that, according to a computation made in Camden's Britannia from rolls of that age, the number of hides of land in England did not exceed two hundred and forty three thousand fix hundred; which makes the number of ships obtained by this hidage feven hundred and eighty five. This apparently was a fleet fufficient to have maintained the fovereignty of our feas against any other nation. Yet, by violent tempefts and wicked treachery, it was foon destroyed; and the wretched expedient of compounding with the Danes was again taken up; which at last proceeded fo far, that, in the year one thousand

Chron. Sax. and twelve, the English nobility, after paying sub ann. 1012 the tribute (though too late to prevent the enemy from over-running and fubduing a great

> part of the kingdom) hired a fquadron of Danish

Danish ships to guard their coasts against the BOOK II. attacks of other corfairs. All England being foon afterwards subjected to Canute, that Chron. Sax. prince, in the year one thousand eighteen, dif- fub ann. 1018 missed all his Danish sleet, except forty ships, which he retained to fecure his new acquired dominions: but, in the year one thousand and twenty eight, he carried with him to Norway Ibidem, fub fifty five ships of war, which his English ann. 1028. Thanes provided for him, and by which he was enabled to conquer that kingdom. fon and fucceffor, Harold Harefoot, who Ibidem, fub reigned only four years, laid a tax upon the En- ann. 1039. glish to maintain constantly in his service fixteen ships of war, allowing eight marks to each rower, according to the establishment settled by Canute. His brother, Hardicanute encreased that number to fixty two, with the same allowance to each rower; for the defraying of which there was paid, in the fecond year of that king, twenty one thousand and ninety nine pounds: but presently afterwards he re-Chron. Sax. duced the number of ships to thirty two, and fub ann. 1040 the charge to eleven thousand and forty eight pounds. In truth, it was not necessary that these Danish princes should keep any great naval forces for the defence of this island; as they themselves had the dominion of those northern countries, from whence the former invalions and descents had been made: and as no other power, then existing, could pretend to dispute with them the empire of the ocean.

d

e

ne

)-

id

ıg

ne

at

of fh

BOOK II. V. Malmib. Angl. 1. ii. c.12.et alios

Historians relate that earl Godwin, to appeafe the anger of his fovereign, Hardicanute, degestis Reg. for the share he had in the death of Alfred, that prince's brother, prefented him with a ship, the beak of which was of gold, and which carried eighty foldiers, of whom every one had on each arm a golden bracelet, that weighed fixteen ounces; on his head an iron helmet, gilt with gold, as were also the other parts of his armour; on his left shoulder a Danish battleaxe, and in his hand a javelin: which circumftances I here mention, not fo much on account of the richness of the gift, as to fhew the number of foldiers, that, in those days ferved aboard of ships of war, and how they were armed. For it may reasonably be supposed, that this galley was equipt in much the same manner as others were at that time, except the peculiar magnificence of the gold in the beak and in the ornaments of the foldiers.

See Domefday Book and Herming. Chartul. vol. i.

V. Ingulph. p. 65. fub ann. 1051. edit. Gale.

It appears from records, that danegeld was levied in the reign of Edward the Confessor, not to be paid to the Danes, but to oppose their invasions; and it feems to have been continued during the first eight years of that king, as a constant fund for his navy. We are told that he took it off, in the year of our Lord one thoufand and fifty one, because he saw the devil dance on a heap of the money collected by that tax: but Ingulphus, who mentions this ridiculous tale, only as a popular rumour, gives us a very good reason why the lands of the kingdom were then discharged of this burthen,

namely,

namely, there being a great famine that year, BOOK II. which moved the king to remit it, out of charity to the poor. Yet it must be observed, that this temporary evil was no proper cause for abolishing a tax, which at other times might be necessary, to all perpetuity: and therefore I much doubt the historian's exactness in faying it was so abolished. Edward's successor, Ha-V. Pictav. rold, drew together a fleet of seven hundred fect.2.p. 201. ships of war; and yet we do not find that any danegeld, or other fimilar imposition, was levied by that prince. This expence therefore must have greatly exhausted his treasury, and, together with the charge of his mercenary troops, will account for his having been so tenacious of the fpoils he took from the Norwegians.

In the year one thousand and eighty three Chron. Sax. (or, as others fay, eighty four) William the Hoveden, Conqueror, apprehending a great invasion of fub ann.1084 England from Denmark and Flanders, revived danegeld, and advanced it to fix shillings a hide; but as it appears that many lands, which, under the Anglo-Saxon kings had been charged with this tax, were exempted from it by him (on the fubject of which exemption I shall fay more hereafter) it is probable, that, notwithstanding the augmentation of the charge, the produce was little more than had been obtained from former danegelds. We are told by the author of the dialogue de Scaccario, which was 1. i. c. 1 written in the reign of Henry the Second, " that William the Conqueror would not re-" vive this tax (which, at first, had been ex-" acted

BOOK II, " acted upon urgent necessity, in time of war)

" as an annual supply; nor yet would he en-" tirely give it up; but referved it to answer

" extraordinary and unforeseen occasions: for

" which reason it was rarely taken by him or

" his fucceffors, and only when actual wars with

" foreign nations, or the fear thereof, came upon

p. 156. fub ann. 1040.

" them." It is not certain that danegeld, or, Chron: Sax. as the Saxon chronicle terms it militare tributum, was ever exacted by William Rufus. He imposed indeed a hidage of four shillings a hide on all the land of the kingdom: but it was not gathered, like the former danegelds, for the augmentation or support of the royal navy, or for the defence of the coasts, or any alarm of invafion, but to enable him to acquire the dutchy of Normandy, in mortgage from his brother. I therefore confider this exaction as of a quite different nature, tho' being levied from the lands of England, as danegeld had been, it was, inaccurately, fo called. The aid to Henry the First for the marriage of his daughter, which he claimed as a feudal right, is faid by fome writers to have been raifed by a land tax, after the rate of three shillings on every hide of land. See Hift, of But nothing can be more improper than to call this a danegeld, though Mr. Madox has an old P. 475, c. 17. Which it is fo denomi-1, vii, f. 217. nated. Henry of Huntington mentions it, but without that appellation. Nevertheless it appears by the great roll, commonly called the fifth of King Stephen, but which Mr. Madox has demonstrated to belong to the reign of

Henry

the Excheq.

Henry the First, that it was collected fix years BOOK II. together by that king, and accounted for in the fame words that were wont to be used in ac- V. Differtat. counting for the fettled yearly revenue. Of Epistol. de Stephen's reign we have no rolls; but notice is Scaccarii at taken, in some histories, of his levying of dane- the end of geld, which he had a good pretence to do, as Madox's Hihe was in perpetual fear of invasions from Nor- Exchequer. mandy, or other parts of France, in favor of See Hift. of Matilda or her fon. We find by the rolls c. 17. that it was paid in the first, second, twentieth, and twenty first years of Henry the Second. The low state in which he found the fleet of England might make it necessary for that prince to continue this imposition till the third year of his reign: and the danger of an invasion from France or Flanders might naturally induce him to revive it in the twentieth.

What was the ordinary strength of the royal navy, from the times of William the Conqueror to those of Henry the Second inclusively, or to what number of this it was encreased upon extraordinary exigences, we are not well informed. But it appears from a passage in the V. Libr. Ru-Red book of Exchequer that, the Cinque Ports, brum Scacduring those times, were obliged by their See also the tenures, to provide fifty two ships, and twenty argument of Sir F. Weston four men in each ship, for fifteen days, at their in the case of own charges, to defend the coafts, when re- ship-money quired. And not only these, but other mari- See Mr. St. time, and even fome inland towns, held by the day's argufame kind of fervice. This feems to have ment for Mr. been the constant support of the navy: but Hampden.

upon

BOOK II upon extraordinary occasions danegeld was levied: and, altho' at the end of that century the name was loft, a like provision was often SeeSpelman's made, in every age, by our parliaments for Gloff.Dane- the defence of the British seas and security of GELD. the kingdom.

Chron. p. 195. fub ann 1089. 1. vii. f. 213. fect. 10.

fect. 50.

See also Sax. It has been mentioned in a former part of this work, that the English fleet in the channel did William Rufus good service against & H. Hunt. his brother; a great number of Normans, who were coming over to support the pretensions of the latter, having been destroyed in their passage, by the ships that guarded the coast of Suffex; which fo intimidated Robert, that he durst not attempt another embarkation. A fufficient fleet was likewife fent by Henry the V. H. Hunt. First, at the beginning of his reign, to oppose 1. vii. f. 216. that prince in his paffage between Normandy and England: but a part of it joined him; which enabled him to land without difficulty; and a peace being foon concluded between the two brothers, this island remained exempt from the invafions of foreigners, or any alarm of that nature, till the war excited against Henry by the fon of Duke Robert obliged him again

> During the reign of Stephen the English navy declined much in its strength, and we cannot wonder that it did: for the long inteftine war, which defolated the kingdom, ruined its commerce: without which it is impoffible for any prince to maintain a naval power.

> to provide for the defence of his realm, by a

proper exertion of its maritime power.

This

This was reftored, and probably, augmented, BOOK II. by Henry the Second: yet it feems, that, till' the latter part of his reign, he made no efforts to fit out any powerful fleets; because, being mafter of almost all the French coast, and in close alliance with the earls of Flanders and Boulogne, he feared no invasion. For the kings of Denmark had given up all intentions of renewing their claim to England; nor did their fubjects, or any other of the northern nations, continue those piratical expeditions, which had been fo troublesome to the English in former times. It feemed therefore unneceffary for Henry the Second to guard his coasts by great fleets; and, being busied upon the continent, he chiefly turned his thoughts to the encreasing and strengthening of his landforces, which he might better make use of, either to defend or enlarge his territories in France. Geoffry de Vinesauf tells us, that C. 35. after King Richard the First had made himself mafter of Cyprus, when all his galleys were arrived in one of the ports of that island, the number of them, including five which he had taken from the Cypriots and added to his own, amounted to a hundred; whereof fixty were fuperior to the common armed galleys. in another place he fays, that a fleet so fine, C. 12. and fo well provided, had never been feen be- V. Hoveden, fore. Befides the galleys, Richard had with pars.II.f.393 him, when he failed from the harbour of Meffina in Sicily, a hundred and fifty great ships, which he used as transports. These, we are told,

BOOK II. told, he had felected from all the shipping in the ports of England, Normandy, Poitou, and other maritime territores. That most of the galleys were built before the death of his father I think very probable; for they could not otherwise have been ready to put to sea in V. Spelman's fo short a time after. A manuscript chronicle. Gloff. Bussa of the age of Henry the Third, cited by Spelman in his Gloffary, fays that fifty of these were triremes, viz. galleys of three rows of oars; and that, among the other ships, thirteen distinguished there by the name of busses, carried, each of them, three masts. Upon the whole I prefume, that, the more numerous fleets, mentioned before in the English history, confifted of veffels much smaller than this of

V. Apendix from Wilkin's Sax. Leg. Judicia Civitatis cil. and Remains.

Richard.

There is a very remarkable law of King Athelstan, which fays, that any merchant, who has made three voyages, upon his own account, beyond the British channel, or narrow See also Spel- feas, shall be entitled to the privilege of a man's Coun- Thane. This was a great encouragement given to commerce, and fuch, indeed, as it is very furprifing to meet with in the hiftory of fo rude an age. Warlike nations, though infinitely more refined than the English were in those days, are apt to confider all trade as rather dishonoring, than ennobling, those who carry it on: it is therefore no fmall indication of the good fense of King Athelstan and his witena gemote, or parliament, that they broke through this prejudice, and made nobility the reward reward of mercantile merit. It does not ap-BOOK II. pear that the Norman kings adopted the fame policy: but that under some of those princes, whose reigns are treated of in this work, England continued to enjoy a flourishing commerce, may be well inferred from the great quantity of money and plate contained in the treafury of William the Conqueror, of Henry the First, and of Henry the Second, at the time of their death. It has already been faid, that, exclusive of the plate, the treasure left by William the Conqueror, in his palace at Winchefter, amounted to fixty thousand pounds weight of filver in coined money; and that left by his fon Henry to a hundred thousand. v. Benedict. A contemporary writer likewise informs us, Abbat. de that, foon after the decease of King Henry the vita Ric. I. Second, Richard the First ordered an exact ac- 1182. count to be taken, both in number and weight, t. ii. p. 553. of all his father's treasures, and found them amount to above ninety thousand pounds in filver and gold. Another fays, that he caused all the treasures of his father, in filver and gold, V. Hoveden to be weighed, and found that it greatly ex-Annal. ceeded the value of a hundred thousand marks. f. 374. It has been shewn, before, that, in those days. one pound of filver contained about as much of see the Notes that metal as three pounds do now, and that a to the History mark was two thirds of the value of that pound. lutions of The wealth of the prelates and chief nobles of England at the realm was proportionably great; and they the end of the first vohad also much plate and other rich ornaments, lume on the in their houses and wardrobes: nor did the value of mopiety ney.

BOOKII

See Dugdale's Monasticon and Baronage.

Madox's History of the Exchequer. c. 10. P. 231.

See Hale's Primitive Original of Mankind, p, 161. piety of the times omit to decorate, in a most fumptuous manner, the cathedral churches, and those belonging to several convents, with crucifixes, fhrines, and veffels of gold and filver. These precious metals being rare at that time in Europe, fo much of them could not possibly have come into a country, where the earth produced none, and which drew no fupplies of them from the spoils or the tribute of any other nation, without a confiderable Whether we had balance of trade in its favor. any exportation of woollen manufactures, during the times that I write of, I cannot abfolutely affirm. But it appears undeniably, by the annual payments which they made to the crown, that there were many gilds of weavers in different parts of the kingdom. For example, in the fifth year of Henry the Second, the weavers of London flood charged in the Exchequer rolls with four marks of gold, on the farm of their gild for two years. other years of the faid king they paid twelve pence per annum. And there are records of like payments from the weavers of Oxford, York, Nottingham, Huntingdon, Lincoln, and Winchester, in that and the following reign. On which I find this observation, in a treatife written by the learned Sir Matthew Hale, "that, in the time of Henry the Second " and Richard the First, this kingdom greatly " flourished in the art of manufacturing woollen " cloth: but by the troublesome wars in the " time of King John and Henry the Third, 66 and

" and also of Edward the First and Edward BOOK II.

" the Second, this manufacture was wholly loft,

" and all our trade ran out in wool, woolfels,

" and leather, carried out in specie." It is also observed by Mr. Madox, in his history of Hist, of the the Exchequer, that the cities of Worcester, Exchequer, Glocester, Nottingham, Norwich, Bedford, c. 13. p. 354. and many other towns, paid fines to king John, that they might buy and fell dyed cleth, as they were accustomed to do in the time of King Henry the Second. This shews that both the cloathing and dying trades had then flourished, and had been free from fome oppressions with which they were afterwards loaded. It is reafonable to suppose, that the Flemish colony, of which much has been faid in this book, when they were difperfed over England, at their first coming into Flanders, in the reign of William the Conqueror, not only exercised the art of weaving, which before their emigration they excelled in, but instructed the English, and improved their manufacture. One may also prefume, that when they were afterwards fettled in South Wales, upon the feacoast, they addicted themselves to foreign trafick, and carried it on with the woollen cloths which they continued to make. Indeed this may be naturally inferred from the words of Vide Itinera-Giraldus Cambrenfis, who, defcribing them as rium Cambria, 1. i. they were in the time of Henry the Second, c. 11. p. 848. calls them a people most versed in woollen manu- de Haverford factures and merchandise, who, with any labour et Ross. or danger would feek for gain by lea or land.

Perhaps

BOOK II. Perhaps it was to encourage these manufactures, that the exportation of wool unwrought was loaded with a duty of half a mark on each fack.

V. Radevic. de rebus geilis Fred. 1. i. c. 7.

There is preserved to us, in a contemporary Frisingensem German historian, a letter from Henry the Second to the emperor Frederick Barbaroffa, Imperatoris, which he fent in the year eleven hundred and fifty seven, with magnificent presents, in return to an embaffy and prefents of at least an equal value, which he had received from that prince, who defired to make with him a league of friendship and alliance. The king expresses therein his grateful acceptance of those overtures from the emperor, and, among other benefits which would arife from that league, particularly mentions the fafety and freedom of commerce betwixt their respective kingdoms.

> A northern trade feems to have been a favorite object of the royal attention and care of Alfred the Great: there being inserted into the preface of a translation of Orofius, made by that monarch, an account delivered to him by two navigators, a Norwegian, and an Englishman, employed by his orders; wherein they describe, very fensibly, the coasts, the inhabitants, and the fisheries of the North, as far as the utmost bounds of Norway and Finland. It is one of the most curious and valuable remains of Saxon antiquities. Doubtless Alfred made advantage of the discoveries he had taken fuch pains to procure, by carrying on a very profitable trade with those countries. But one

may reasonably presume that the English com-BOOK II. merce to the North was further encreased in the reign of Canute the Great, to whom Denmark and Norway were subject. In the twenty seventh year of King Henry the Second, a licence was given to export corn, from Norfolk Exchequer, and Sussolk, to Norway. And in the reign c. 13. p. 323. of King John, a Danish merchant was allowed 324. to have free traffick throughout the realm, on the easy condition of giving a hawk to that prince, as often as he came into England.

After the Normans had established themfelves in this island, its trade to France became naturally more extensive than before; especially when Henry the Second, who held fo great and fo commercial a part of that kingdom, had gained a quiet possession of the throne of England. A principal branch of the im- Ibid. c. 18. ports, in the times of which I write, was fo- P. 527. reign wines, which were chiefly brought from France. It appears by the rolls, that in the fourteenth year of King John duties were paid to that prince for wines of Anjou, Auxerre, and Gascony, besides others there called by the general name of French. I also find, in that account, mention made of the wines of Saxony, which probably came into fashion among the English, in the reign of Henry the Second, after the duke of Saxony had married his daughter. But it must be observed, that the limits of that dutchy were then extended to the Rhine; and therefore these wines might be Rhenish. William of Malmsbury tells us,

" that

De gestis pontif. 1. ii. f. 133. sect. "

"that the city of London, in his time, was illustrious and eminent for the wealth of its citizens; crouded with merchants and factors from every land, but chiefly from Germany; and a storehouse for the whole nation, in case of a dearth of corn and other

"provisions." The same author says, "that "the port of Bristol was full of ships from Ire"land, Norway, and every part of Europe;
"which brought thither a great commerce.

"which brought thither a great commerce, and much foreign wealth." He likewise speaks of Exeter as a place of great traffick, to which resorted a great concourse of merchants and foreigners, at the time when he wrote.

Sir H. Spelman, in his code of the ancient statute laws of the kingdom of England, cites a paffage from the chronicle of Battle-Abbey, which fays, that, by the ancient law or custom of the English, when a ship was wrecked on the coast, if those who escaped from it did not repair to it within a limited time, the ship, and all belonging to it, that was driven ashore, became the right and property of the lord of the But that King Henry the First, abhorring this custom, made a law, to be observed throughout all his dominions, that if but one man had escaped alive out of the wreck, the ship and its whole cargo should be given Yet the chronicle adds, that this statute remained in force only during the life of the king who enacted it; for, under his fucceffor, the nobles of the kingdom, paying no regard to it, restored the ancient custom, to their own benefit:

Ibidem, 1. iv. f. 161. fect.

benefit; of which the writer gives an inftance BOOK II. in a shipwreck that happened upon one of the estates of the abbey. It feems that Henry the Second revived the law of his grandfather, and enforced it with fevere penalties against offenders. For William of Newbury fays, that out of his excellent goodness, at the very beginning of his reign, he corrected a barbarous cuftom, which before had prevailed in his kingdom, with regard to wrecks on the coast; and, commanding the proper offices of humanity to be paid to all shipwrecked persons, ordained grievous punishments against those who should dare to do them any injury, or take from them any of their merchandise or effects. I am very forry to observe, that, notwithstanding this law, made fo many ages ago, and other statutes enacted fince, with a view to restrain this most inhuman barbarity, it still remains a foul reproach and difgrace to our nation.

By the statute of the 27th of Henry the Second, which is called the assign of arms, and of which I shall speak more particularly hereaster, the itinerant judges were commanded to publish, in their several circuits, an injunction forbidding, under the highest penalties to the buyer and seller, the selling to foreigners any English ship, or drawing away any seaman into soreign service; from which it is evident, that the king, when that statute was made, attended very carefully to the naval strength of his

kingdom.

Vol. III.

G

Having

HISTORY OF THE LIFE

BOOK II. Having thus shewn, as far as we have any authentic information, the state of the English marine from the days of Alfred to those of Henry the Second, inclusively, I shall proceed to give likewife an account of the nature of the land-forces in England, during that courfe of time.

V. Concil. Eash. c. 22, 23. fub ann. 1009. Spelman's Rec. 8.

It was a fundamental law of the Anglo-Saxons, that all the lands of the kingdom, Concil. Brit. even those which were held by ecclefiafticks and women, were fubject to three publick duties; the building or repairing of forts and mains, Feuds castles; the building or repairing of bridges, and Tenures, and military fervice for the defence of the realm, called, in the Latin translation of the Saxon laws, expeditio.

> We are told by Sir H. Spelman, "that " the whole land was divided, either by Al-" fred the Great, or fome other preceding " king, into two hundred forty three thou-

> " fand fix hundred hides, or plough-lands: " and, according to this division, were the

" military or other charges of the kingdom " imposed." A hide of land is defined, by V. Huntind. H. of Huntington, and the annals of Waver-1008. Annal. ley, to be as much as a fingle plough could Waverleien. till in a year: but, according to others, it was as much as would be fufficient to support a gentleman's family for that time, and therefore could not confift of any determined number of acres, but must have varied in proportion to the nature of the foil. One of the laws

fub ann. fub ann. 1023.

of King Athelstan orders every plough, that BOOK II. is, every hide of land, to furnish two horsemen: an immense army according to the com- Vid. Leges putation above given of the number of hides Athelstani, Wilkins, in England! But if so many were at any time c. 16. actually raised, (which I am apt to doubt of) it is certain that the constant militia of the Saxons did not amount to that number; and, except in the case of beneficiary tenants, the fervice they owed appears to have been restrained to the defence of the realm.

After the Normans came in, a different kind of military policy was established. The lands of England, (as Ordericus Vitalis informs us) v. Ord. were fo diffributed by William the First, that Vital. 1. iv. the kingdom had always fixty thousand knights P. 523. ready to serve, at the command of the king, as occasion should require. It must be observed, that, in this paffage, and feveral others in the books and records of those times, the word knights must be understood to fignify persons who held knight's-fees, not persons who had obtained the order of knighthood, concerning whom I shall have occasion to treat hereafter. Other ancient evidences make the knights-fees, V. Selden's during the times that I write of, fixty thousand nor, part II. two hundred and fifteen; of which number c. 17. p. 720. twenty eight thousand one hundred and fifteen, 721, 722. were possessed by the church. A knight's-fee Gloff. Feufeems to have been usually composed in those DUM, p. 218. days of two hides of land, or of two hides and SeeSpelman's treatife on a half. Sir H. Spelman fays, that a meine Feuds & Tetenant, who had more than a fingle knight's-nures, c. 27.

Spelman's

P. 400, 401. See Spelman's Remains. Discourse 59.

BOOK II fee, was called a vavafor, which he thinks was Madox's Hi- a degree above knights; yet we generally find flory of the that name applied to any vaffal, who held a Exheq. c.15. military fief of a tenant in chief of the crown. Those who held of a vavasor were called valvafini, and each of these might, in like manner, enfeoff another, to hold of him by knight'supon Parlia- service; tho', I believe, that the instances of ments, p. 58, fo many gradations in this species of tenure were not common in the days of King Henry the Second. But it was still more unufual for a fief to be held of the crown without any fubinfudation, In the Red book of the Exchequer there is a remarkable answer to a writ, which was fent by Henry the Second to one of his tenants in chief, requiring him to certify, how many held under him by military tenures. The words are these: "Know, that I hold of " you a very poor fee of one knight; nor have I " enfeoffed any other therein, because it is " hardly sufficient for me alone; and my father " held it in the same manner." Two other knights of the fame county, who held in chief of the king, appear, by this record, to have had none who held of them by fubinfeudation: but these instances were extraordinary; the far greater number of the military tenants in chief having many fubvaffals.

See Brady's Animadverfions upon Jan. Anglor. Fac. Nov. p. 187.

> " Barony, fays Mr. Madox, was knight-" fervice embaronied, that is, knight-service " enlarged and erected into a barony, or made " a barony at its first creation." Every nobleman was, therefore, by tenure a foldier:

nor was his military duty limited only to fer-BOOK II. vice within the kingdom; but he was obliged to ferve abroad, at the command of the king, and not fingly in his own person, but with fuch a number of knights, as he was able to maintain, by the feveral fees, of which his barony was composed. The spiritual barons indeed, out of a proper regard to their facred character, were exempted from personal service; but they were required to fend knights, that is military tenants, in proportion to the number of the fees they possessed, and even to foreign wars, when fummoned by the king: whereas, by the Saxon conftitution, their lands had been charged with no military fervice, except that which was laid on all for the defence of the kingdom, and which we find to have been generally, but ill performed on their part.

By a law of William the Conqueror, all See the Appendix to the earls, barons, knights, squires, and all the first volume, freemen of the kingdom were ordered to keep &Leg.Gul.L themselves well and properly furnished with Wilkins & arms and horses, for the performance of the du- Lambard. ties their tenures required. The freemen here mentioned I understand to have been all who held their lands by any kind of military fervice; but not to include the tenants by free foccage, or other free tenures which were not of a military nature; as that appellation certainly does in some other ancient laws. There is See Appendix one of the same king, by which it was enjoined, vol. i. & Wilkins, &

" that all freemen should engage, by a solemn Lambard

" confederacy, Leg.Gul. 52. G 3

BOOK II. " confederacy, or affociation, that both with-

" in and without the realm of England, which

" in ancient times was called the kingdom of

" Britain, they would be faithful to King

" William, their lord, and affift him every

" where, with all fidelity, to keep his terri-

" tories and dignities, and defend them against " enemies and foreigners." This oath, which

feems calculated to take in the defence of William's foreign dominions, was an extension of the ancient law or custom of the nation, by which all the landholders were bound to the defence of the kingdom, and laid upon them

a burthen, which no rules of good policy or legal fubjection could justify, if we understand

the term, freemen, to fignify here any others than the military tenants. But, in the case of invafions, the common law of the land continued

undoubtedly to oblige, not only those tenants,

but all the other freeholders, to affift in repel-V. Leges Ed- ling and driving out the invaders. During

the government of the Saxons (if we may be-35. de Here- lieve what is faid by the compiler of some laws

ascribed to Edward the Confessor) the militia of every county was commanded by an annual

officer, called heretoch, who was chosen into that office, by all the freeholders, in the folk-

mote or county court. Sir H. Spelman fupposes, that, after the Normans came in, this

command devolved to the earl. And there is

great reason to think, that the military power of every county was principally in the earl,

was

during the times of which I write; though it

wardiConfel. Wilkins, c. tochiis,

V. Gloffar. COMES, P. 141.

was occasionally exercised by the sheriff or BOOK II. viscount. But, whether even the Saxon heretoch was not subordinate to the earl, in his military functions, appears to me very doubtful. The great antiquary above-cited is him- v. Gloffar. felf of opinion, that the rank of this officer HERETOCH, was inferior, or, at most, equal to that of the & Holdes, Theriff or viscount. And our ancient history p. 294. fhews, that, where the king was not in perfon at the head of his army, the commander in chief, or general, was almost always an earl, as well during the Saxon government, as for more than a century after that period. But the Saxon earldoms were not hereditary fiefs, as they were made by the inftitutions of William the First. According to the system then established, it seems that, under the earl, or the viscount, the barons, and the inferior military tenants of the king commanded respectively their several vassals; and these being also hereditary chiefs, the aristocratical power in the military policy of this kingdom was much encreased. As, by the Norman establishment, every knight's-fee was required to furnish a horseman, the cavalry produced by these tenures, supposing it compleat, was above fixty thousand; and all these were armed from head to foot, in the manner SeeSpelman's before described. But it must be observed Gloff sub that there was then a species of soldiery Ibidem, in called in the charters and histories of those Ric. I.p. 749. times servientes. Some of these performed c. 44. their duty on horseback, and others on foot.

G 4

BOOK II. It likewise appears by the rolls, that in the reign of King Edward the First knight-service was done by the knights themselves who were fummoned, or by two fervientes in the place of a knight. Another record informs us, that, in the same reign the bishop of Hereford did his fervice for five knight's fees, in the king's army of Wales, by two knights, and fix esquires (armigeros) for the other three fees. From whence it may be inferred, that fervientes and esquires were synonymous terms. Yet in some other records we find them diftinguished; as Mr. Seldon has shewn in his very learned treatife on titles of honor. Mr. Petit and Dr. Brady cite a record of the fixth year of King John, wherein it is ordered, that nine knights through all England should find a tenth, well provided with horse and arms for the defence of the kingdom, and

V. Brady's answer to Petit, p. 123.

V. Madox Baron. l. i.

c. 5. P. 49.

Whereupon the Dr. observes, "that two " shillings a day was then equivalent to at " least thirty shillings a day now, and there-" fore he that had this allowance went forth " in a good equipage, and maintained with-" out doubt several soldiers, servientes, or " esquires with it, &c." I likewise find in Fitz-Stephen, a contemporary historian, that in the war of Thoulouse the knights of Becket's houshold, who were no fewer than feven hundred, received three shillings a day of the money of that country, to provide for their horses and esquires. (ad equos et armigeros.) Never-

allow him two shillings a day for his wages.

Nevertheless, it is certain, that, in the ordinary BOOK II. course, the military tenants were to serve forty see Sir G. days, at their own charges; and if the service Crooke's Arcontinued longer, it was to be, afterwards, at gument in the case of the charge of the king. By the charter ship-money, of Henry the First the demessee lands of all State Trials, vassals who held by knight's service were freed shid. p. 621. state Trials, vassals who held by knight's service were freed shid. p. 639. from all gelds and taxes; and the reason given Writ. 15. for it is, that, being eased of this burthen, they Johan. might be able and ready to serve the king, and defend his kingdom. Sir H. Spelman takes notice, "that according to the old Norman V. Chart." Contumier, whoever possess the highest pend. V. Spelmen's which was a knight's fee of the highest pend.

"dignity, was bound to ferve in the ban or Gloff. Feu"arriere ban, with compleat armour; that is DUM, HAU"(fays he) with a horse, a coat of mail, ap. 219.

" shield, a spear, a sword, and a helmet, for the fpace of forty days within the limits of the

" kingdom; which by fucceeding kingswasex" tended to three months within, and forty days

"out of the kingdom." In the fecond year of King Richard the Second the commons faid, that they ought not to bear foreign charges. See State The king's answer was, "that Gascony con-Trials, vol. i. p. 588. cerned the kingdom of England; for that it was Sir Edw. Lyta bulwark to the kingdom of England." I do telton's Arnot find that the parliament disputed this point gument in the case of in the reign of Henry the Second; but (to say ship-money, the truth) it was a question more frequently determined by the humour of the times, than

by any fixed rule of law or policy.

Knights-fees were often divided; fo that many of the military tenants in chief had but a fourth

Lib. Rub. Scarcarii dy's Animadversions upon Jani Anglor. Fac. Nov. p. 185, 186.

See Lyttelton's Tenures fect.112. C.4.

BOOK II a fourth part of fuch fees; nay, it appears by a record, which I have cited before, that fome fuch tenants in the reign of Henry the First, See also Bra- had only an eighth part, and one, who was enfeoffed after the death of that king, had only a twentieth. These small tenancies, I presume, arose from the desire of holding in chief of the crown, though by ever fo poor a fief, on account of the honor and fuperior protection annexed by that tenure. A vaffal who held by the moiety of a knight's fee was bound to ferve but twenty days; and fo in proportion. Several tenants were enfeoffed with one or more knights-fees, and part of another: which may have happened from the convenience of fuch part being fituated near to the lands, of which the entire fee or fees confifted.

Archers were drawn from the yeomanry, and feem to have ferved on foot, as attendants on the vaffals who held by knight-fervice, and at their charge; or, fometimes, under the pay and at the charge of the king. But though they were accounted the lowest order of military men, they made, for many ages: a very SeeFroiffard. confiderable part of the strength of the kingdom; most of the victories won against the French or the Scotch having been principally owing to their valour and skill.

See Lyttelton's Tenures 1. ii. fect. 13. tutes, note to fect. 3.

One species of knight-service was castleguard, differing from it in nothing, but that Coke's Infti- whoever held by that tenure performed his fervice within the realm, and without limitation to any certain term. Mr. St. John fays, in his

argument

argument on the case of ship-money, that the BOOK II. tenants by castle-guard were eleven thousand. See State He likewise shews from some records, that the Trials, vol.icastle of Dover, as being the key of the king- P. 498. dom, had near two hundred tenures, by caftleguard, befides feveral more for the keeping it in repair; and that, in time of war, the king used to maintain in that fortress one thousand foot, and one hundred horfe. These foldiers, I prefume, were over and above the two hundred who were bound by their tenures to defend it, and who, probably, performed their fervice by a large number of fubvaffals. The counties of Cumberland, Westmorland, and Northumberland, on account of their neighbourhood to the Scotch, the perpetual enemies to the English, were full of tenures by caftle-guard, and likewife by cornage, which tenure obliged the tenant to give notice of the enemy's coming into the country, by blowing a horn. Such was the general provifion made by the feudal fystem for the defence of fortified places. About the end of the v. P. Daniel eleventh, or the beginning of the twelfth cen-Hift. de tury, a new kind of militia was established in Louis VII. France, for the fecurity of the principal cities. p. 243,244. By the charters granted to them communities Hainault
AbregéChrowere erected, which had a power to levy nologique, forces; and a determined number of citizens t. i. p. 139. was required to be enrolled in every parish, t. ii. p. 751, and to march under the banner of the church P. Dan. Hift. they belonged to, in case of any attack on the de la milice Françoise,

territory 1. iii. c. 3.

BOOK II. territory of the city, and for the repressing of feditions and outrages of all kinds, within the limits thereof. These were to be called out at the command of the bishop, or of the chief citizens: but, in extraordinary exigences, when the state was concerned, the king had a power to order all the inhabitants, who were capable of bearing arms, to march in his fervice: on which account many privileges and franchifes were accorded to fuch corporations by the crown. It likewise appears, that some gentlemen, in the neighbourhood of these cities, incorporated themselves with them, and made a part of their force. Father Daniel obferves, that this establishment past from the demesne of the king of France into those of his greatest vassals, the dukes of Burgundy, the dukes of Normandy, and several others. I also find, that, before the reign of Stephen, it had been introduced from Normandy into England. For in a passage, of which I took V. Malmfb. fome notice in the history of that reign, men-Hist. novor.

1. ii. sect. 19. tion is made by William of Malmsbury of the community of London, and of some barons, who had been admitted into it a good while before, that is, I presume, in the reign of Henry the First. Besides reasons of police, and a defire of maintaining the publick tranquillity, this inflitution had a view to make the townforces a check on those of the barons. a popular militia opposed to the aristocratical. But it does not appear, that, in England, the bishops

f. 106.

bishops or lower clergy, had any power to BOOK II. order, or call it out.

Some account has already been given in this book of a pecuniary commutation for personal fervice in foreign wars, called fcutage or escuage, which appears to have been first introduced into England by King Henry the Second. At the beginning it was only allowed to the fpiritual barons, and their military tenants, in a war with the Welsh. But, on the occasion of Henry's expedition against Toulouse, it was further extended to all the inferior tenants in chief, and to almost all the fubvassals who held by knights-fervice; because the inconvenience of going fo far from their country would have been to these very grievous. It was afterwards taken in like manner, not only for wars beyond the fea, but against Wales or Scotland: neither was it denied to the greater vaffals of the crown (as it had been at first) unless by their fummons they were expresly commanded to follow the king in person, or held some office by grand-serjeantry, which required their at-What this tenure was will here- v. Trin. tendance. after be more fully explained. But, with re- Brev. 9 Edw. gard to the liberty of commuting for the duty a. brev. 12 of personal service by scutage, or escuage, it Edw.II.Rot. cannot be denied, that it was a great variation 76. from the first intention and policy of military story of the fiefs, and opened the way to greater in process Exchequer, of time. It appears from the rolls, that, in the P. 454. c. 16. ninth year of Edward the Second, some who held by knight-fervice, not originally of the

crown

BOOK II. crown, but of an honor or manor escheated to the king, claimed a right to be discharged from ferving in person, being only bound to pay fcutage; which plea was allowed. And Sir See Cotton's Robert Cotton, after relating a fummons fent answer to the by Richard the Second in the third year of his reign, which commanded that all those who

reasons for foreign wars, p. 46, 47.

MOHELIG ST

held by knight-fervice should properly fit themselves out with horses and arms, in order to attend him in a war, concludes with thefe words: "But these the courses of elder times " were about this time much altered, and the " king, for the most part, was supplied in his " wars by contract with the nobility and gentry, " to serve him, with so many men, and so long, " and at such a rate, as he and they by inden-" tures accorded." This alteration, which appears by the evidence of many records, produced another kind of militia in England, unknown to the times of which I write. Indeed the practice varied much in different ages; tho' it is plain, from our law-books, that the principle of knight-fervice, due, by the nature of the feudal policy, from all the possessors of military tenures, remained much the fame, from the reign of William the First to that of Henry the Seventh; nay, even till Charles the Second abolished those tenures.

See Bracton, Fleta, and Lyttleton's Tenures.

> In the latter part of this history I shall have occasion to mention a new regulation made by Henry the Second, for the better arming of the whole people, except only the flaves.

who were accounted no part of the body po-BOOK II.

litick, or civil community.

It cannot be denied that the tenures introduced by the Normans gave much strength to the kingdom. Without the inconvenience, expence, and danger to liberty, attending a standing army, forces sufficient to guard every part of the country, and, when occasion required, to serve the crown in foreign wars, were always kept up, on a legal footing, and necessarily connected with the civil constitu-All the gentry were foldiers paid and maintained by the lands they held; as they likewife paid and maintained those freeholders of an inferior rank, who held knights-fees under them. Nor could this strength ever fail, as that of a mercenary or stipendiary army must at some times, by the wealth of the state being confumed and exhaufted; but continued as fixed as the lands disposed of in this manner, and ever ready to oppose either foreign invafions or intestine rebellions. I may add too, that it was equally fitted to refift any tyranny in a king, being wholly composed of those men, who, by their property in the realm and their rank in the state, were most interested to guard the liberty of the fubject against the crown. But then the great power, which the military tenures gave to the barons, often enabled fome ambitious and turbulent spirits unnecessarily to disturb the peace of their country, to throw off all subjection and loyalty to the king, and even to become more insupportable

BOOK II. able tyrants themselves, within the bounds of their fmall dominions, than the most absolute princes in monarchial governments; with this difference only, that it was no easy matter for any of them to exercise their tyranny long, without being checked, and brought to justice, by the power of the crown, supported by that of other barons, their peers: whereas, in abfolute monarchies, the conflitution affords no remedy against the despotism of the prince. On the other hand the force of union, in which confifts all the energy of monarchial states, was wanting in this, or, at least, was never found in it, but under the government of very able princes. Indeed the whole policy of the military tenures was much better adapted to the purpose for which they originally were formed, viz. to maintain conquests, made in countries not wholly fubdued, or exposed, by their fituation, to continual wars, than to procure that tranquillity, which is the principal benefit derived to mankind from order and government, and without which no improvement of civil fociety can be advanced or supported,

If we compare the conflitution established here by the Normans, with that of the Anglo-Saxons, the greatest difference between them V. Spelm. on will be found to arise from many estates, which were alodial, being made feudal, and from others, which approached the nearest to fiefs, and were indeed of a feudal nature, but not lands of inheritance, being rendered hereditary, and in confequence of that change, subjected to burthens,

Feuds and Tenures, c.5.

to which they had not been liable in their for-BOOK II. mer condition. Spelman has proved undeniably from feveral charters, that the heredi-Ibidem, c.g. tary estates of the Saxon nobility and gentry, called by him thaneland, which he speaks of Ibidem, c. 5. as fynonymous to bocland, or charterland, p. 12. c. 10. were alodial, and not subject to any feudal p. 22. fervice. But then he owns, that both the Ibidem, c.12. greater and leffer Thanes might have, and, in fact, frequently had, other lands of a feudal nature, and holden by military fervice, yet not like the Norman feuds, being granted only at will, or for a certain number of years, or, at most, for life or lives; in which they refembled the lands of the vulgar, called folkland. And fuch grants were called benefices, Ibidem, c. 36 a term expressive of their nature, which by p. 7 & 9. later usage has been confined to clergymen's livings. These benefices were made hereditary fiefs under William the Conqueror, and most of the bocland was converted into the If we confider this fame kind of tenure. change with regard to the possessor of bocland alone, it feems very clear, that, abstractedly from the privileges annexed to the possession of feudal lands, they were great losers by it: Ibidem, c. 5. for, whereas they had before an absolute pro- & 23. See also Somperty in their effates, which they had even a ner 84. poff. power to dispose of by will, they now held lib. ii. c. 5. them of the king, or of some mesne lord under him, inalienable, and limited to their eldeft fons after them; besides the obligation imposed on them and their heirs, to submit to

certain

the benefice: and there is reason to believe that this was the case with many of the English. As for the Normans, or other foreigners who came over with them, they certainly thought it no grievance, to hold the lands, that were fo liberally given to them in England, on the fame terms as their effates were held by them in Normandy, or other parts of the continent, where the firict feudal policy had before taken

BOOK II. certain methods of acknowledging their dependance on the lords of their fiefs, which were very uneafy to them, as will be fhewn more particularly hereafter. But, with regard to the possessions of beneficiary estates, the change was advantageous: for, however difagreeable those burthens incidental to their new tenure might be, the perpetuity of their fiefs, thus acquired and confirmed to them and their families, made them ample amends. When, therefore, the same persons had estates of both kinds, the alteration made in the bocland was compensated by the inheritance obtained in

tularies of Charles the Bald. See alfo l'Ovsceau & Pasquier.

See the capi- place. From the reign of Charles the Bald to that of Hugh Capet, the alodial lands, in all the provinces of the French monarchy, had been gradually changed into fiefs, and the benefices, or temporary fiefs, made perpetual. The last of these princes completed this important alteration, by an universal and legal establishment of it, about the year nine hundred and eighty Even the subvassals, or vavafors, called in French arriere-vassaux, obtained the same perpetuity in their feudal effates, as those who held

held of the crown. Nor was this concession BOOK II. the mere effect of a weak and timid complaifance in the crown to its vaffals, or in those vaffals to theirs, as some writers have supposed; but arose at first from a defire of encouraging those, who held by military fervice, to fight with more alacrity, and hazard their persons more freely, than they would have done, if the confequence of their dying in battle had been the loss of their lands to their V. Crag. Jur. This gave a beginning to hereditary Feud. l. i. families. fiefs; and it was natural, that, when once fuch grants had been made, they should prevail more and more; other persons, who thought that they had equal pretentions, and of whom the fame fervices were required by their lords, demanding from them the fame encouragement, especially on the breaking out of any great war; and the fame reasons of interest induced the lords to comply with such demands. Hugh Capet, who owed his crown to the favor of the nation, could not, with prudence, refume any fiefs belonging to it, which the noble families had retained beyond the original term of their grants (as many had done in the times of his predecessors) nor refuse to put other beneficiaries of the crown on an equal footing with these; nor deny to his vaffals the liberty of giving or confirming to those, who held of them, as lasting a tenure in their lands, as they themselves had thought it reasonable to demand from the crown, in the estates they held in chief. The mode of the times H 2

BOOK II. times (as often happens) made the policy of the times; and what at first was considered as a

favor, grew into a claim.

From the perpetuity of fiefs, thus established in France, and in many other nations, where the fame motives operated both on the kings and the nobles, were naturally derived those feudal rights, which produced in Europe a new fystem of property and of law. It appeared very just, that some compensation should be given to the lord, for losing the power, which he before had enjoyed, to difpose of his lands, on the determination of the grant; and from hence arose the payments made, on the death of the vasial, by the heir, which in the law-term are called reliefs. treatife ascribed to Glanville, and which, I V. Granville, doubt not, was composed by the immediate directions of that great lawyer, who was chief jufficiary of England under Henry the Second, tells us, that the relief of a knight's fee was then fixed at a hundred shillings, and of lands held in foccage at a year's value, by the cuftom of the kingdom: but that, with regard to baronies and to ferjeanties, there was no determinate rule of law; those who held by fuch tenures fatisfying the king, for the relief due to him from them, at his discretion.

The perpetuity given to fiefs produced also See Spelthe right of wardship. For it was thought man's Gloff. proper, that if the heir to a barony or knight's WARDE. Crag. de Jure fee was a minor, the lord should have the cuf-Feud. 1. ii. tit.20. sect.3. tody of the lands of his fief, with the profits

thereof,

1. ix. p. 71. C. 4.

thereof, during the time of the nonage, left BOOK II. they should be endamaged; and also that he Fortescue de might take the necessary care, that the milita- Laudib. Leg. ry fervice, in confideration of which the fief Ang. c. 44. was originally bestowed, should be duly sup- Selden's notes on plied. In the last of these points the interest Fortesque. of the state was equally concerned with that of the lord. And together with the custody of the lands, that of the person of the minor was affigued to the lord, in order that he might carefully train him up in the knowledge and use of arms; which likewise was a matter of great publick concern. The feudal age of See Lyttelmajority for a man who held by knight's-fer-ton's Tenures 1, ii. c. 4. vice was twenty one years; because till then he was thought incapable of performing his duty. If the heir to fuch lands was a female. her lord had the custody of her person and lands, till the was fourteen years old; at which time, it was supposed, she might have a husband, able to perform the fervices due for the fief the inherited.

But these feudal rights, however agreeable See Charter to the principles of that policy, were given up of H.I. in in the charter of King Henry the First, by the Appendix which, if a vaffal died, and left a wife and children, the custody both of the lands and children was affigned to the widow, or to the nearest relation. This concession, I presume was made by that monarch, chiefly to gratify his English subjects, who, not having been used to these customs of the strict feudal policy, were more displeased with them than the H 3

Normans,

1. ii. c. 9.

V. Articul. Magnæ

Cartæ et

Magnam

Cartam, Blakeston's

Edition.

BOOK II. Normans, who brought them into this kingdom. Nevertheless we are told by Glanville V. Glanville, (for I will venture to call him the author of the above mentioned treatise) that, in his time, the lord had the custody both of the heir and the fief, but under an obligation not to alienate or waste any part of the lands, and to give an honorable maintenance to the heir, in proportion to the greatness of his inheritance; and also to pay the debts of the deceased, in such measure, as the value of the estate and the time of the custody would admit. Nor did the barons, in their demands delivered to King John, defire a restoration of the grant of Henry the First in this instance; but admitted the right of the lord to the custody of the minor's person and lands; which is also confirmed by Magna Carta, with only fuch regulations, as were necessary to prevent an abuse of the trust, being nearly the same with those that are mentioned by Glanville. probability, fome statute, now lost, had been enacted in the reign of KingHenry the Second; to give this right to the lord, agreeably to the custom and practice in Normandy, and, indeed, to the clear principles of the feudal policy itself.

V.Glanville.

According to Glanville, a female heir, 1. vii. c. 12. though of full age, was to remain in the cuftody of her lord, till her marriage, to which his concurrence and advice were requisite, because (says that author) by the law and custom of the realm, no woman who inherits land can

be married, without being disposed of by her BOOK II. lord, or having his consent. By land in this passage he means land that was held by milita-Ibidem, c.11. ry service: for he had said before, that the heirs of tenants in soccage ought to be in the custody of their nearest relations. What soccage tenure was, will be hereafter explained.

It is faid in the charter of King Henry the See the Char-First, that, if any baron, or tenant in chief of pend. the crown, was inclined to give his daughter, or sister, or niece, or kinswoman, in marriage, he was to speak with the king about it, who promised not to take any thing for his consent, and not to refuse it, unless the match proposed

was with one of his enemies.

It must be understood, that the sister, niece, or kinswoman, here mentioned, was the next heir to the fief; for otherwise it does not appear that, in virtue of any feudal right, the king could be entitled to interfere in her marriage: but in fuch a case it was thought rea- V. Craig. 1.ii. sonable that his consent should be asked, not tit.21. feet.4. only in a minority, but even in the life-time of the father, or other near kinfman. And the same power that the king had over his tenants, they had legally over theirs. Glan- V. Glanville, ville affirms, "that if any man, having only 1. vii. c. 12. " a daughter, or daughters, to inherit his " fief, marries her, or them, in his life-time, " without the confent of his lord, he thereby " forfeits his fief for ever, according to the law " and custom of the kingdom; so that he can re-

" cover no part of it, unless by the elemency
H 4 " of

BOOK II. " of his lord :" for which he gives this reason: because, as the husband of any female heir

" is bound to do homage to the lord of the fief " for his holding, the good will of the lord,

" and his confent to that act, ought first to be

" asked, left he should be compelled to re-" ceive homage for his fief from the enemy, or

" from any other improper or unqualified per-

" fon." This appears to extend equally to all kinds of flefs for which homage was done, as to those that were held by knight-service. But it was more peculiarly necessary in the latter: lest (as a great writer on feudal law has

V.Craig.1.ii. expressed it) the fief, which was given for the

tit.21. sect,4. defence and service of the lord, should be used to annoy him. And the same reason was applicable to widows, if they married again. Indeed King Henry the First declares in his charter, that he will give no widow in marriage

against her own inclination: and the charters See the Char- of King John and Henry the Third forbid the ters of King forcing of any widow to marry again; but ob-

Henry III. in lige her to give fecurity to the king, if she holds of him; or to her lord, if she holds of a fubject, that the will not marry a fecond huf-

band without his confent. Yet it was the

V.Glanville, sense of the law, (as we learn from Glanville) that an heirefs who had once been lawfully married, if she became a widow, was not to return into the cuftody of her lord: though if fhe made a fecond marriage, fhe was under

the same obligation as before, to ask his con-

fent. In the charter of King Henry the First

John and Blackefton's edition.

1. vii. c. 12.

it is faid, that if, upon the death of one of his BOOK II. barons or other tenant in chief, a daughter is left to inherit the estate, in disposing of her he will take the advice of his barons. But it does not appear from Glanville, that fuch advice was thought necessary in the time of Henry See the Artithe Second: nor is any mention made of it in cle, in Blakethe articles delivered to King John by the barons, or in the great charter of that prince, or in those of his fon. It was indeed an obligation which could not be adhered to without extreme inconvenience; and I doubt not that it had been abrogated by fome statute, now loft, before Glanville's book was written. It is remarkable, that, neither in that treatife, nor in the charter of King Henry the First, is it faid, (as it is in the demands of the barons made to king John,) that in the marriage of heirs the advice of their relations ought to be taken: nor (as it flands in his charter) that, before the marriage shall be contradicted, notice is to be given of it to the kindred of the heir. But, on the other hand, we do not find in the charter of Henry the First, nor is it mentioned by Glanville, that either the king or the barons claimed a right to interfere in the marriage of heirs male, even while under wardship. Indeed the reasons, which are given by Glanville, for that feudal power, in case of female heirs, do not hold in the case of males. Yet v. Craig. de it was afterwards thought, that, in regard to Jure Feud. the connection between them and their lords, i. ii. tit. 20. which by writers on the feudal law is confider- 21. feet. 2.

BOOK II. ed as superior even to the nearest relations of blood, the advice and the confent of their lords in an affair fo important as their marriage, ought to be asked; and the rather, as from the influence of a wife over the mind of her hufband, it might naturally be prefumed that the interests of the lords was not a little concerned in the matches made by their vaffals. It also appears from the great rolls, that even in the p. 322. 323. reign of Henry the First fines were paid to the & Differt. E- king by his male tenants in chief, for leave to marry; and by widows, to be at liberty not to marry for a certain time, or not to marry at all, against their liking. This was contrary to his charter; and the fame evidences attest. that fuch fines were paid by widows to Henry the Second, though he had confirmed that charter. Under what colour this was done I am not able to discover: but the right of widows not to be forced to marry again was reafferted by all the charters of King John and Henry the Third.

V. Madox's Hift. of the Exchequer, pistolar. de Magno Rot. Saccarii, in fine Hift.

See Lyttlefect. 109. See alsoCraig c. 8, 9, 10.

The law was careful to forbid any difpaton's l'enures ragement in the marriage either of male or feand notes, & male heirs, by which was understood, not only the marrying of them to persons of much de JureFeud. inferior birth and condition, or any way infa-1. ii. tit. 21. mous; but also to any who were lame, or v. Glanville, greatly deformed, or incapable of having 1. vii. c. 12. children; or who had any bad infirmity of body or mind. Glanville likewife delivers it as a rule of law in his time, that if a vaffal asked his lord's permission to marry his daugh-

ter,

ter, being an heirefs, to any person, the lord BOOK II. was bound, either to give it, or to shew a just cause for which he ought to refuse it: otherwife she was at liberty to marry herself, even against his will, by the advice of her father, and according to her own inclination. He tells us also, that it was a duty incumbent on the lord, to offer a proper match to a female ward in his cuftody, as foon as fhe was of age to marry, and also to pay her a reasonable portion. These regulations, and the profit given, by a feudal custom in these times, to the king and inferior lords, on the marriage of their valfals, conduced to promote propagation and the encrease of the people; for it is probable that few remained long unmarried: but great abuses attended this part of the feudal system, which indeed, in itself, was grievous; and one of the happiest changes made in our constitution, by the wisdom of later times, has been the delivering of ourselves from so heavy a yoke, and the recovering of that independance, with regard to the disposal of our persons in marriage, which our Saxon ancestors had enjoyed; and which, if exercised with a due respect to parental authority, is one of the most valuable branches of natural liberty.

Glanville takes notice of only three kinds of v. Glanville, aids, which the feudal lord had a right to de-l. ix. c. 8., mand from his vaffals. One was, to affift him in paying the relief he owed to the king, or any other lord of whom he held his estate;

but

BOOK II. but this was to be done with moderation, according to the greatness of the fiefs and means of the vaffals: another was, to contribute towards his expence in making his eldeft fon a knight; which ceremony was performed with great pomp in those days: and a third was to help him in the charges of marrying his eldeft daughter: but this was not to be paid a fecond time. The first of these feudal dues is abolished by king John's Magna Carta, as well as all See K. John's other aids not granted by parliament, except Charter. the two last, and one not mentioned by Glan-Blakefton's ville, viz. an aid from the vaffals to pay the Edition. ransom of their lord, if he was made a captive. This naturally arose from the principles of the feudal connection: but it appears from Bracton, that, in Henry the Third's time, the V.Bract. I.ii. others, allowed by the charter of King John, c. 16. sect. 8. were supposed to be paid by the vassals, rather as marks of good-will and affection to their lords, than as proper concomitants of the fer-V.Glanville, vice they owed. Glanville, on the contrary,

Lix. c. 8. confidered them as due by their tenures. But, both by that author, and in the charter, it is

. ix. c. 8.

proportions.

Glanville makes it a question, whether the feudal lord could demand an aid of his tenants for the support of his war? And resolves it by saying, that he could not distrain for such aid: but they might give it, as a benevolence, and out of affection to their lord: whereas he considers

faid, that they ought to be taken in reasonable

fiders the aid of relief, as a due, for which the BOOK II. lord, in virtue of his fief, had a legal right to distrain.

It must be here remarked, that reliefs were only paid by those heirs, who were of full age, when they fucceeded to their fiefs; not by those who had been under the custody of their V. Glanville. lords. And the reason of this was, that the l. ix. c. 4. profits of the custody were deemed a sufficient & Hen. III. recompense to the lords of those fiefs for renew- in Blackeing them to the heirs of their tenants. Upon fon's Edit. the death of a vaffal, who held military fees under feveral lords, reliefs were due to them all from the heir; but the custody of his per- V. Glanville, fon belonged to that lord, whose grant was 1. vii. c. 10. prior to the others. Yet, if it happened that one fief was held of the king, and others of other lords, the cuftody belonged to the king. And the fame rule was observed with regard to the obligation of confulting the lord in the marriage of the ward, not only (fays a very V.Craig,l.ii. able writer on feuds) because the king could tit.21. feet.5. have no equal; (which is the reason assigned for it by Glanville) but because he is the most ancient lord of all the fiefs, the original grant and investiture of every fief having been V.Glanville, given by him. Notice is taken by Glanville, 1. vii. c. 10. that, in his time, it was usual for the king to commit to others the cuftody both of the perfons and lands of his wards, either under an account to him for their wardships, or without account, in the nature of a beneficiary grant. And, undoubtedly, inferior lords did

See Madox, Hift. of the Exchequer.

BOOK II. did the same. It likewise appears by the great rolls, that the wardships of the crown c. 10. p.221. were fold by King Henry the Second: and mention is made of that practice, without any blame, in the charters of King John and

Henry the Third.

See Spelman onFeuds and Tenures, 0. 20.

Upon the decease of a vasfal the heir was obliged to do homage as foon as he conveniently could; it being necessary, in order to preserve the memory of the tenure, that every new tenant should, at his entry, recognize the interest of the lord in the lands, for fear that, the feud being hereditary, and new heirs continually fucceeded to it, they might, by degrees, forget their duty, fub-V. Glanville, stract their services, and in process of time, 1. iv. c. 4. 6. deny the tenure itself. The lord, on his part,

was bound to receive the homage owing to him, before he could be legally entitled, either to a relief, if the heir was of full age, or to the custody of his person and land, if he was a minor: unless such minor was of too tender an age to perform it, or the lord had a good reason to justify his refusal or delay to

accept it.

Homage was done by the vaffal on his knees, unarmed and bare headed, and holding both his hands between those of his lord, who was fitting: which ceremonies denoted (according to Bracton) on the part of the lord, protection, defence, and warranty; on the part of the tenant, reverence, and fubjection. In a statute of the 17th of Edward the Second

V. Bracton, 1. ii . c. 35.

Second there is fet forth the form of words to BOOK II. be used by the vasfal, when homage was done to a subject. He was to say, "I become your " man, from this day forward, of life, limb, " and earthly honor: I will be true and " faithful to you, and bear to you faith for " the lands I hold of you, faving my faith " to our lord the king and his heirs:" which agrees with the account given by Glanville of the form that was used in his time. After the 1. ix. c. i. vaffal had faid this, he was to receive a kifs from his lord, and then rifing up to take the V. Spelman's oath of fealty in the following words: " Hear Gloff. " this, my lord, that I will be faithful and Homacium " loyal to you, and will bear to you faith for LITAS. " the tenements which I hold of you, and Statut. 17. " loyally will perform to you the customs and Edw. II. " fervices which I owe to you, at the terms " affigned, fo help me God and his faints." It was a maxim of law, that homage draws with it fealty, which likewife was incident to all kinds of tenure, except frankalmoigne. In the year eleven hundred and fifty two, the Emperor Frederick Barbarossa made a statute, that in every oath of fealty taken to any of his V. Radevic. fubjects, there should be a referve of the faith 1. vii. c. 2. due to him and his fucceffors; which imme- SeeSpelman's diately was adopted by feveral other nations, Gloff. FIDEwhere the feudal law was in use, with regard Hale's Hift. to their fovereigns; and the omiffion of that of the pleas reserve was punished in England by a judicial of the crown, c. 10. p. 67. determination under Edward the First.

Coke upon

Homage Lyttelt.p.65

BOOK II. Gloff. Ho-MAGIUM. See also Fleta, l.iii.c.16. fect. 21. c. 10. p. 70.

Homage done to the king was called liege SeeSpelman's homage, and was accompanied with the oath of allegiance expressed in these words: " I " become your liege man, of life and limb, " and of earthly worship; and faith and troth " I shall bear unto you, to live and die against Hale's Hift. " all manner of folk: fo help me God." of the crown, The ceremony was the same as in doing ordinary homage to a mesne lord. It has been noted, in a former part of this work, that Anfelm, archbishop of Canterbury, refused to pay homage to King Henry the First, because fome of the popes, and certain councils held under their influence, had forbidden ecclefiaftics to make fuch an acknowledgement of their dependance on princes. In one of the epiftles of that prelate we find this expression, will not become the man of any mortal, nor V. Anselm. fwear featty to any: which resolution he was nulf.priorem supported in by all the strength of the papacy: but, after a long and hard contest, Pope Pafchal the Second allowed the bishops elect to do homage, and take the oath of fealty, before they were consecrated. This was confirmed V.Glanville, by the constitutions of Clarendon, of which a particular account will be given hereafter; and from the words of Glanville it appears, that about the end of Henry the Second's reign homage was accordingly done by bishops elect: but he tells us, that, after they were confecrated, they took the oath of fealty. This was a material difference from what had been fettled BOOK SOLO

by

1. ix. c. 1.

THE PURISING

by the constitutions of Clarendon; and it is BOOK II. furprising that we have no account of it in the history of the times. Nor is any notice taken, in Glanville's treatife, that, by those constitutions, in the oath of the bishop elect, a clause faving his order was allowed to be inferted; which furely was a referve of a very dangerous nature. It feems to have crept in, during the reign of King Stephen, when many other fuch concessions were made to the church: for no trace of it appears under King Henry the First. One cannot but wonder that a legal fanction should have been given to it at Clarendon by Henry the Second. In the course of the dispute between that monarch and Becket, we find the latter making use of it to justify his own conduct; and indeed there was no obligation, contracted by the oath, which might not be eluded and cancelled, according to the doctrines of Rome, by means of that clause. Whether it remained in the oath of fealty taken after consecration Glanville does not inform us. Sir Thomas Littleton fays. in his book of Tenures, "that if an abbot, or " a prior, or other man of religion, shall do " homage to his lord, he shall not fay, I be-" come your man, &c. for that he has professed " himself to be only the man of God; but he " shall fay thus, I do homage unto you, and to " you I shall be true and faithful, and faith to " you bear, for the tenements which I hold of " you, saving the faith which I owe unto our VOL. III.

BOOK H. " lord the king." This regards only homage to inferior lords, who were subjects: but the reason given by Lyttelton, which is the same with that on which Anselm grounded his opposition, extends to liege homage. Yet I find no mention in Glanville of this alteration.

V. Ingulph. & Sim. Dunelm. fub ann. 1086. Chron. Saxon. fub ann. 1085. & Hoveden, fub ann. 1086 Differt. 13, Chron, de l'Histoire de France, sub ann. 1269, 1270.

We are affured by contemporary writers of the greatest authority, that, in the reign of P. 79. Wigorn William the Conqueror, liege homage was done, and fealty was fworn to that king, not only by his own immediate tenants, but all the confiderable fubvaffals: which is a remarkable thing; because, in France and some other H. Huntind. countries, it was understood that the feudal law forbid the fubvaffals to do homage or fwear V. Du Cange fealty, on account of their fiefs, to any but those of whom they immediately held them. 14. & Nouvel The practice of England in this respect was more agreeable to good policy; and very proper to keep up in the minds of the inferior orders of freemen a fense of the duty they owed to their fovereign: for in those days it often happened, that, as in their religion, fo in their government, the supreme power was forgotten, and the vulgar worship was paid to the middle powers alone. It also appears from the words of William of Malmfbury, that homage was done and featty feworn to the heir apparent of the croawn, in the reign of King Henry the First, by all the freemen of England and Normandy, of whatever order or rank they were, and to whatever lord they were vasfals. Yet

V. Malmfb. de Hen. I. 1. v. f. 93.

it is not easy to conceive how this could be BOOK II.
performed, unless we understand these words
with some restrictions, as meaning only the
most considerable persons in all the orders of
freemen.

Glanville tells us, that women could take v. Glanville, the oath of fealty, but could not do homage; l. ix. c. i. and that, if they were married, their husbands were to do homage for them. These points of ceremony being important in the law of those times, though they may appear uninteresting at present, I have thought it necessary to give this short account of them, from the most authentick writers.

It may justly be faid, to the honor of the whole feudal fystem, that all the duties of it were built on the noblest foundations, viz. bounty, and gratitude; bounty in the lord who bestowed the fief, and gratitude in the vasfal who held it by his grant. From these two principles arofe all the connection between them; and they are the best principles in human nature. When estates in land were bestowed as gifts, during pleasure, or as benefices, during life, in the original and infant state of this system, nothing could be more fimple than the obligations refulting from fuch grants: but when they were made hereditary fiefs, the laws and customs relating to them became more complex, though founded upon the fame reasons. As the property still remained in those who granted these fiefs, and in their

BOOK II. their heirs after them, there could not be in the vallats any power to alienate, mortgage, or sell them, or to alter the course of hereditary succesfion, without leave of their lords, and the fame restraints were reciprocally laid on the lords, be-V.Glanville, cause the use and profits of the feudal estates be-

1. vii. c. i.

longed to the vallals. Yet Glanville fays, that, in his time, every freeman, possessed of land, might give a part of it with his daughter, or any other woman, as a marriage portion; or to any person as a reward for services done him; or to a religious house or church, if the gift was made in his life time, and with the proper forms of livery and feizin, and in a reasonable proportion. But if any such donation was made on a death-bed, it was not valid without the confent of the heir. Such confent was also required to enable a man, who had feveral married fons, to give away, even in his life-time, any part of the heritage L. vii. c. 1. to his youngest son: for which Glanville affigns this reason, that fathers commonly bear a greater affection to their youngest fon than their eldeft, which might cause them to difinherit the eldest, if that partiality were not restrained. A man, who had no estate of inheritance, but only a purchase, might dispose of the whole of that purchase to whom he pleased, by a gift made in his life time, if he had no child; but if he had one, he could only dispose of a part; nor could he bequeath it by will, tho' he had no child: because (fays Glanville) God only can make an heir. If a man had

cafe c. 52.

had both land of inheritance and a purchase, BOOK II. he might, in his life-time, give away either a part or the whole of his purchase, without restraint, and a reasonable part of the inheritance also, over and above the other donation.

Fiefs of all kinds reverted to the lords, if L. vii. c. 17. the tenants deceased without heirs; which determination or extinction of the original grant was called an escheat. In case there was any L. vii. c. 9. doubt whether the heir was of age, the lord had the custody both of his person and fief, till that doubt was decided. And, by a parity of reafon, if it was questioned, who had a right to inherit any fief, the lord retained it in his hands while the fuit was depending, as a temporary V.Glanville, escheat, according to Glanville. But if no- V.Glanville. 1. vii. c. 17. body appeared, to lay claim to it, as the next heir, then it remained a perpetual escheat to the lord, and he had an absolute liberty to dispose of it, as of his own. There was also another kind of escheat, which was not accidental, but penal. The fief returned to the lord, if the vaffal refused to perform any of the duties required of him by law in virtue of his tenure, or would not acknowledge that tenure, or difmembered the estate, or greatly impaired it, or committed any act of grievous injury or offence against his lord. The same forfeiture was incurred by a military tenant, who forfook his lord in a fight; and if, befides his fief, he had any allodial land, it was forfeited to the king by the common law of England. In the V. I.eg. Inc. BOOK II. case of high treason, the land to whatever lord Leg. Canut. C. 12, 13.

it belonged, was forfeited to the crown, both by the Saxon and Norman laws. Glanville Spelman on likwise informs us, that a tenant in chief of the Feuds & Te-crown, convicted of felony, forfeited thereby V. Glanville, to the king not only his land, but all his 1. vii. c. 17. goods and chattles, in whatever hands they were found; nor could they be ever recovered by any heir. The fame author fays, that, if an outlaw, or convicted felon, held of any other lord than of the king, all his moveables were the king's: and the land remained for one year in the king's hands, but then reverted to the lord; yet, not without the fubverfion of the houses upon it, and rooting up of the trees. The reason of this was a supposition, that the lord, of whom the felon held, was in some degree culpable, for want of a proper care in the choice of his tenant; and whatever disturbed the publick peace was an injury to L. vil. c, 17. the king. Nevertheless Glanville tells us, that if an outlaw or convicted felon, who held by mesne tenure, received the king's pardon, neither he nor his heirs could, in virtue of that pardon recover the land, unless by the mercy and favor of his lord, to whom it is escheated; because the king's mercy ought not to prejudice the right of another. He adds too, that, in general, if any subvassal did or said any thing, for which he loft his inheritance by judgement or law, it returned as an escheat to the lord of the fief. One cause of forfeiture, which he mentions, deserves a particular notice. If a female

female heir, being a ward in custody of her BOOK II. lord, was guilty of incontinence, her estate V.Glanville, became, by that offence, an escheat to her 1, vii. c. 12. lord. And, when a sief was divided between 17-several sisters, if it was proved that any of them had violated their chassity, while they were under the custody of their lord, the persons so offending incurred by it a forseiture of their part of the inheritance to the innocent sister or sisters; but, if all had so offended, the whole escheated to the lord.

This was a fevere punishment for the frailty of a fingle woman, and without example in other laws: but it undoubtedly arose, not so much from a rigorous fense of the heinousness of the fault, as from the notion of an advantage due to the lord from the marriage of his ward, which he probably might be deprived of by her being dishonored. For Glanville declares, that this forfeiture did not extend to incontinent widows, if they had once been lawfully married; nor difinherit the child of a married woman who broke her conjugal faith; because a son born in wedlock is always prefumed a lawful heir. But there may have been another reason for the exempting of widows and wives from this penalty, viz. that, they not being under the cuftody of their lords, their continence was no breach of the duty and reverence due from a vaffal; any offence against which was, in the sense of the feudal law, a most grievous crime; gratitude in the vaffal for the obligation conferred on his

V. Crag. de Jure Feud. 1, iii, tit. 6. fect. 12. 14.

BOOK II, his ancestor, and transmitted to him together with the fief, by the original grant thereof, being (as I have before observed) one main foundation on which that whole fystem was erected. Yet we are told by a feudal lawyer of the greatest authority, that the fief was not forfeited, in any case whatsoever, by the vasfal's offence against his lord, if the lord had given occasion for it, by a prior offence, or if each had offended against the other at the same time, because (says he) it would have been very unjust, that the lord's condition should be mended in confequence of a fault, which he himself had either caused, or shared in. And it was a general maxim of the feudal law, that a forfeiture of the property of the lord in the fief, and of all his dominion over his vaffal, was as necessary an effect of any great breach or neglect of the duty which he owed to his vasfal, as the forfeiture of the fief was of a fimilar crime or neglect in the vaffal. Indeed this principle, which is fo confonant to natural equity and natural liberty, was the corner stone of the whole policy fettled in England by the Normans. So that our kings, confidered as feudal lords of this kingdom, were bound no less to protect their vassals in all their just rights and privileges, than their vaffals were to ferve them; and a failure, on either fide, in these reciprocal duties, destroyed the connexion, and diffolved the obligations of the party offended. The inferior vallals, in all degrees of fubinfeudation, were likewife,

by virtue of the abovementioned maxim, en-BOOK II. tirely freed from the bond of their homageand fealty to their respective lords, if these did not acquit themselves of what they owed to them, agreeably to the nature and conditions of their original compact. It is therefore very apparent, that the spirit of this system was most abhorrent from tyranny, and that the plan of it, in all its feveral parts, was defigned as much to refift any oppressive exertion of power within, as any attacks from foreign enemies.

Another great benefit, arifing from this plan, was the uniting of power to property, which is the fureft basis upon which all liberty stands! And as property in England, by degrees, diffused itself wider, from the alterations that were made in those parts of the feudal law which had confined it too much, the power united to it extended itself further, and produced that comprehensive system of freedom, which the whole nation enjoys under our present

constitution.

ollivnal O

Originally all proper feuds, that is, all of a V. Constitumilitary nature, descended, in equal propor-tionesFeudotions, to all the fons of a vaffal, but never to tit. 1. & 8. daughters. This exclusion of females had l. ii. tit. 11. been taken off in most countries, before the Normans came hither: but whether the equal division of all military fiefs continued after that time, and when it absolutely ceased, is not very clear. The impartibility of them is ascribed, by many writers, to a constitution made by the emperor Frederick Barbaroffa in

BOOK II the year eleven hundred and fifty two. But earldoms and baronies, which that ordinance chiefly relates to, had before been indivisible, both in England and in France, except in the case of a baron leaving several daughters, and no fon, at his death. I should therefore suppose that the custom of preserving knights-fees undivided in the course of descent, which feemed necessary to enable the military tenant to perform his honorable fervice with the requifite dignity, began to prevail among the English some time before it was settled by law in the empire. And together with that was introduced the right of primogeniture in feudal fuccessions. For when, in order to preserve tenure entire, only one fon could take it, the eldest was preferred, as soonest able to perform the duties of the fee, and most naturally coming into the place of his father. Certain V.Glanville, it is, that, when Glanville's treatife was written, it was the established law of England, that, in a military fief, the eldest fon should fucceed to the whole inheritance. Yet it appears from records, that men frequently held by parts of a knight's fee: but fuch divisions either arose from marriages with the daughters of a military tenant who had no fon and feveral daughters; or were made by enfeoffments, and not in virtue of the rule and course of fuccession. Lands held in free soccage were

equally divided among all the fons, unless they were fuch as had been impartible by ancient custom; of whom some went to the

Glanville

eldest fon, and others to the second.

1. vii. c. 3.

Glanville fays, that, in all estates, of what BOOK II. nature soever, if an only daughter was left, C. 3, ut futhe inherited the whole land; but, if there were pra. more, it was equally parted among them, even in military fiefs; with this distinction alone, that the capital messuage always went to the eldest; which was likewise observed when a division was made of foccage lands among feveral fons. He remarks, that if any one of the brothers or fifters, who had been sharers in an inheritance, died without iffue, the portion of the deceased was again divided among the furvivors. Upon the division of a fief among feveral daughters, the husband of the eldest was to do homage for the whole, and the younger were to perform the fervices due to their lord, by his or her hands. But heirs of these, even as far as the third generation inclusively, were under an obligation of doing homage, and paying reliefs for the lands they held, to the heir of the eldeft fifter. If a man had feveral wives, and daughters by all, and by the last an only son, that son would inherit the whole estate of his father: because (fays Glanville) it is a general rule of law, that no woman can ever share with a man in any inheritance, unless, perhaps, by a special custom, in particular towns, confirmed by long usage. In the course of succession the lineal descendants were preferred to collaterals. But Glanville speaks of it as a point very V.Glanville, doubtful in his time, whether, upon the death 1. vii. c. 3. of a man leaving iffue a younger fon, and a grandBOOK II. grandson by his elder son, the inheritance ought to go to the fon or to the grandfon.

See Estays subjects contish Antiq.

p. 138. 1. vii. c. 3.

And it is justly observed by a fine writer, in a learned treatife lately published on British upon feveral Antiquities, that there is no question in law cerning Bri- which has afforded a greater field, not only for law fuits, but for bloody and cruel wars. Glanville decides it thus, "That the grandfon V.Glanville, by the elder fon should be preferred to the younger fon, if the elder had not been foris-

familiated by the grandfather; which term of law he explains to mean an affignment made by the grandfather, during his life-time, of part of his land to his eldest son, and seifin thereof given to him, at his own request and defire; it being understood that fuch affignment would bar any claim, in the heirs of the person who took it, to the rest of the inheritance. But if a vaffal's eldeft fon had done homage to his lord, of whom the estate was immediately held, for his paternal inheritance,

V.Glanville, it was clear, according to Glanville, that, in case he died before his father, a son left by

him would fucceed to the eftate in preference V.Mag. Rot. to an uncle. Nevertheless, there is among the records in the Exchequer a remarkable in-

stance of a preference given to a son by a second wife before a fon by a first wife, in the

fuccession to a barony, by King Henry the quiry into the Second; because he thought the younger brother a better soldier than the elder. This feems to

> contradict what is affirmed by Glanville, concerning the right of the eldest fon to succeed

1. vii. c. 3.

10. Joan. Reg. Rot. 11. 6. Dorset & Somerfet. See also enmanner of creating Peers, p. 5. to the whole in military fiefs, and to have been BOOK II. a remainder of the ancient feudal law, which, on the death of a vaffal leaving feveral fons, V. Lib. Fengave a power to the lord of the fief to confer dor. I. tit. 1. it on any one of those fons, according to his own pleasure. But it may be presumed that fuch instances rarely occurred, and that the right of primogeniture in military fiefs foon became universal, as we find that by degrees it grew to prevail even in lands held by Glanville fays, that no V.Glanville, foccage tenures. baftard could lawfully inherit: but the ba- 1. vii, c. 13, stardy was to be proved in the spiritual court. He also informs us, that a question arose in his time, whether a son, begotten, or born, before marriage, could, by the fubsequent marriage of his parents, acquire a right to inherit; and he declares, that, although by the canons of the church and Roman laws, fuch a fon would be efteemed a legitimate heir, he could in no wise be maintained in the inheritance by the law and custom of England; but in case of a dispute concerning the fact, it was to be fent, by the king's writ, to the spiritual court, and tried before the ecclefiaftical judge, who was to acquaint the king or his justiciary with the judgement thereupon, according to which the inheritance was to be either adjudged or denied to the fon, by the judgement of the king's court.

This decision of Glanville is very remarkable: as it shews the entire independance of the law of England on the canon and civil laws

d

V.Glanville, 1. vi. c. i. k feq.

BOOK II. in his time. The fame author favs, that a widow was entitled to a third part of the land for her dower, in every freehold poffeffed by her husband at the time of their marriage, unless he had affigned to her a lesser portion of it, at the door of the church, when they were going to be married. But if he had given a greater, it was to be reduced to that, by the sheriff of the county, upon the king's writ, which the heir was entitled to demand. In dividing the land the capital messuage was always excepted, and kept entire to the heir; as was likewife the head manor, in case the freehold contained more manors than one. It will not be necessary to enumerate here all the other cases and points of law relating to dower, which are mentioned by Glanville: but there is one which feems to merit a particular notice. He tells us, " that in confequence of a divorce on account of too near a relation between the parties, though the wife lost her claim of dower, yet, by the law of the realm, her children could inherit, and fucceed to their father by hereditary right." As fuch a separation supposed a nullity in the marriage, the children must, in strictness, have been bastardised by it: but as the canonical prohibitions extended fo far, that divorces on this account very frequently happened, after a cohabitation of many years in a frate of wedlock supposed lawfull, there was much humanity and equity in this law. The

The rules of fuccession in earldoms and BOOK II. baronies were the fame, during these times, as in other estates held by military service. The lands annexed to those dignities could not be divided, except when it happened that an earl, or baron, at his death, left no iffue male, and more than one daughter: but by See Madox's fuch partitions it came to pass, in process of Baron. c. 3. time, that fome baronies were fplit into very fmall parts. Thus we find by a record, that in the eighteenth year of King Richard the Second, Walter de Ramesey, knight, acknowledged before the barons of the Exchequer, that he held certain lands of the king in chief by the service of the hundredth part of a barony, viz. the barony of Byfet, which, in the reign of Edward the First, had been divided among three daughters, and then fubdivided into other fmaller portions. But in the times from the accession of William the First, to the death of Henry the Second, I find none divided into more than three parts.

In all these partitions the relief of the tenant was proportioned to the quantity held. It appears, that in the reign of Henry the Second some lands were taken out of the barony, or honor, of Wallingsord, and granted to Geoffry, one of the king's natural sons, by writ v. Madox, of Ranulf de Glanville, justiciary of the ibidem. realm. And in the same reign, Earl John granted a manor belonging to the honor of Glocester to John la Warre, which he and his

heirs

BOOK II. heirs were to hold of that prince and his heirs, by the service of half a knight.

V. Madox. ibidem.

These were the principal alterations, introduced by the Normans, into the laws of property in this kingdom, till after the death of

Henry the Second.

It feems a wonderful thing, that any freeholders possessed of alodial estates should ever have been willing to convert them into fiefs, fubject to the services, burthens, and entails abovementioned! Yet it is certain that, in fact. fuch alterations were defired. fons given for it are thefe. The possessors of fiefs had feveral privileges, which other freemen had not: a higher value was fet on their persons; the compositions for injuries done to them were greater; which was an important i. xxxi. c. 7. distinction, when most offences were punished by pecuniary fines according to rates afcertained and fixed by law: and, what feems to have weighed more than any other reasons, they who held by knight-fervice were exempted from tallage and many other impositions, which fell heavy on the poffesfors of alodial estates. I may add, that the near connexion contracted with the king by feudal tenures in chief, a connexion exceeding that of common allegiance, must naturally have been deemed a great advantage; and particularly, as the being invested with a military fief implied an honorable opinion of the fovereign of the valour of the feudatory. Nor was the fervice required,

V. Montefquieu de l'Efprit desLoix,

required, in return for fuch a fief, then ac-BOOK II. counted fo burthenfome, as at present it may feem; the martial spirit, which prevailed among all ranks of men, but more especially among the gentry, recommending to them an engagement, which gave them occasions of encreasing their reputations and fortunes. The fame reasons, in a lower degree, induced the inferior freeholders to connect themselves with those of a higher dignity and condition, by the mutual bond of feudal tenure. Lastly, the fashion of the times did, in this instance, as in others, incline the minds of men rather to look at the benefits, than to confider the inconveniences attending that state, which refulted from fuch contrafts. But it must be observed that, in England, the ancient customs of the nation made more refistance to this system, than appears to have been opposed to it in the other parts of Europe, or even in Scotland; and the continued attachment to those customs had the effect of correcting and mitigating the rigour of the feudal laws in this kingdom, fo as always to temper, and at last to abolish, whatever in them was oppressive, or contrary to good government and general freedom.

Baronies were originally created by feoffment. Mr. Madox fays truly, " that no

[&]quot; man, or number of men, without the king, V. Baronia.

[&]quot;could ever make an earl, or baron. Every l. i. c. 1. honor originally passed from the king, and l. iii. c. 5.

[&]quot; upon every change, by death or otherwise, p. 241.
Vol. III. K " returned

"in his hand, until he commanded feifin of it to be delivered to his homager, according to the custom of noble fiefs." Yet it must be understood, that the honor, or barony, so created by the crown, or so delivered back again out of the hands of the king, was annexed to certain lands, which were composed of knights-fees, and held of the crown by knights-fervice. For, till long after these

See the Conflitutions of Clarendon.

Besides the military service, which every baron was obliged to, in virtue of his fief, he was also bound to attend the king in his parliament and supreme court of justice, to assist in his judgements, and give him faithful counfel, in all matters concerning the dignity of his crown and the good of his realm, But, although this was one of the feudal duties annexed to baronial lands in this kingdom, by the introduction of those tenures which were derived to us from Normandy, yet the attendance of the nobility in parliamentary meetings had an origin much more ancient than the Norman government here, being as old as the English monarchy, and the birthright of the chief men of the Anglo-Saxon nation, even from the first fettlements they made in Great-Britain; as it had been in the countries from whence they came.

times, all baronies were territorial, and poffessed by tenure alone, not by writ or by patent.

It appears that baronies differed greatly in the number of knights-fees, whereof they

confisted.

con-Clavering.

confifted. One of the smallest of which I find BOOK II. any record, is that of Hwayton in Northum-SeeDugdale's berland, which Richard de Cramavil held of Baron. f. 107. King John by the service of three knights. The fame man held another, which had belonging to it no less than fixty knights-fees, viz. the honor of Tickill. As, therefore, it was not the possession of many knights fees which conftituted a baron, so neither was it holding in chief of the king. For Mr. Madox, in his history of the Exchequer, gives us the See Madox's plea of Thomas de Furnival; who, being Hist. of the Exhequer, ammerced as a baron, faid he was no baron, c. 14. p. 370. though heacknowledged that he held the manor It likewise of Sheffield in chief of the king. appears that in the thirteenth year of Henry Ibidem, c. 10. the Third, John de Baliol was charged with p. 218. a hundred and fifty pounds, as the relief for thirty knights-fees held by his father of the king, viz. five pounds for each fee: whereas, if he had held those fees as a barony, he would have paid for the whole, collectively, but one hundred pounds. Nevertheless he had a barony, Seethe Charviz. that of Biwel in Northumberland, which ters of Henry he held by the fervice of five knights-fees, and Madox's Hiof finding thirty foldiers for the guard of New-flory of the Exchequer, castle. He also held the lordship of Hiche as ut supra. an augmentation of his barony, by the gift of King Henry the Second to his grandfather, and by the fervice of two knights-fees. In the reign of King John feveral manors were held of the crown by the fervice of one kinght's-fee for each. Sir William Dugdale mentions three fo held SeeDugdale's by one man. But most baronies, if not all, Baronage, p. 107.

BOOK II. confifted, in the times of Henry the Second and his four predeceffors, of more than one SecDardale's manor. Baron, K.107.

Gloff. Ho-NOR.

See Madon's

.012.0.210.

Erbender.

v. Spelman's Every earl had a barony annexed to his earldom, and, as the relief of an earl appears to have been the fame with that of a baron, viz. one hundred pounds, it may be supposed that he paid it on account of his barony, which was a land-estate, and not of his earldom, which was an office. This was a high fine for the smaller baronies, being equivalent to at least fifteen hundred pounds in these days. feems furprifing, that as baronies differed fo much in the number of knights-fees whereof they confisted, the charters of king John and Henry the Third should establish no difference in the reliefs they were charged with: but from hence it feems probable, that even the least were of such value, as to be able to bear that charge, without any grievous hardship on the possessions. And, perhaps, the consideration of this inequality may have been one of the reasons, which induced the legislature, See the Charters of Hearw under Henry the Second, to leave these payments discretionary and under no certain rule; Madox's Hito the intent that the crown might make the proper difference, in case of poorer barons: Exchequer, which did well, while the discretion was favorably used: but it was afterwards found more prudent to limit the fum to one hundred pounds.

See Hift. of the Exchequer, c. 10. p. 217. 220.

·智思科学的证法。

If two or more baronies happened to be vested in the same man, they did not consolidate in his person, but he held them distinct,

and

and was chargeable with a feperate relief for BOOK II. each barony; as appears by the rolls in the case of the earl of Gloucester, who in the second year of King Henry the Third paid a hundred pounds relief for the honor of Glocester, a hundred pounds for the honor of Clare, a hundred pounds for the honor of St. Hilary, and sifty pounds for the moiety of earl Giffard's honor.

The grants made by William the Conquefor to fome of his barons, but more especially V. Ordericus to his earls, were exceffively great. For Vital. p.523. instance, to Geoffry bishop of Constance he gave two hundred and eighty manors in England: to Ranulf de Baynard eighty five, and to Roger de Busli, a hundred and forty nine. See Domes-Odo bishop of Bayeux, whom he made earl day Book in the several of Kent, had in that county, and in feveral Counties, and others, four hundred and thirty nine lordships. Brady's Hift. W. I. p. 198, Robert earl of Montagne, on whom he be- 199, 200. stowed the earldom of Cornwall, had, in that and other counties, feven hundred and thirty three manors. The honor of Richmond in Yorkshire had a hundred and fixty fix lordships; besides which the earl possessed, by the gift of the king, his father-in-law, two hundred and feventy fix, in other parts of the kingdom. The honor of the earl of Clare v. Madox's comprised a hundred and thirty one fees of the Baron, c. 5. Hist. of the old feoffment, that is, of which the earl's an-Exchequer, cestors had been enfeoffed before the death of p. 398. King Henry the First: and to these were ad-

K 3

ded

BOOK II. ded nine fees, and the fourth part of a fee, of

the new feoffment.

Ordericus Vitalis fays, in his history, that V.Ord. Vital. 1, xi. p. 804. William of Warren complained to Robert duke of Normandy, the eldeft fon of the Conque-

ror, that he had fuffered a great loss for his fake, by lofing the earldom of Surrey, which produced to him annually a thousand pounds of filver. The greatest part of this income must have been drawn from the barony annexed to the earldom; though the third part of the profits arising to the crown from the pleas of the

appears by the rolls, that in the fixth year of

the reign of King Henry the Second, the third

penny of Essex was but forty pounds ten shil-

See Madox's county court, were given to the earl. Baronia, 1.2. c. 1, p. 139. Magn, Rot. 6 H. II.

Rot, 16, H. FI.

lings and ten pence; of Hereford thirty three Ibidem, Mag. pounds one shilling and eight pence, and in the fixteenth year of that reign, the earl of Norfolk received, on account of this perquifite, but fixteen pounds thirteen shillings and four-

pence, for a half yearly payment. It varied indeed a little in different years, unless where the revenue of the county was farmed at a certain fum by the sheriff. But there seems fomething extraordinary in the value that was

fet by William of Warren upon his earldom of Surrey: for by the accounts in the Exchequer we find, that much lefs was taken by

King Henry the Second for the farms of other earldoms escheated to the crown. It must indeed be supposed, that the farmers had good

bargains,

See Madox's Baronia, 1, i. p. 72. Magn. Rot. H. H. Rot. 5, 6.

bargains, and did not usually pay so much to BOOK II. the king in their rent, as the earl received from the earldom; because some profit was allowed them in return for their trouble. But if we value the English earldoms, one with another, at only half of what we are told the earldom of Surrey produced, that moiety, being equivalent, on the lowest computation, to an income of feven thousand five hundred pounds in these days, was in itself no mean provision for supporting even the highest degree of nobility: and we then had no higher. Yet this was not all the wealth of the English earls in that age. Most, if not all of them, had, exclusive of their earldoms, and of the baronies annexed to them, many more baronies, manors, and lordfhips, in other parts of the kingdom. Among the Saxons it was usual for many earldoms to be conferred on the fame person.

In the reign of Edward the Confessor, we v.Flor.Wig. find that Godwin was earl of Kent, of Sus-sub-sun. sex, and of all the West-Saxon counties. His Spelman's eldest son, Swain, was, at the same time, earl Gloss. Dux, of Oxfordshire, Glocestershire, Herefordshire, p. 190. Somersetshire, and Berkshire; and his second son, Harold, of Essex, Huntingtonshire, Cambridgeshire, Norsolk, and Sussolk. All this power in one samily must necessarily break the balance of the state, and establish in it a kind of oligarchy, as it appears that it did, during the greatest part of that reign. But the Saxon earldoms were not hereditary; for, although

K 4 they

BOOK II. they were fometimes permitted to descend from father to fon, it was not by any right, or claim of inheritance, but only by the indulgence and favor of the king. In the reign of William the Conqueror, all the earldoms of England, as well as the baronies, being rendered hereditary, and descending even to minors, the earls became more independent of the crown; and a more complete ariftocracy was thereby established: but, happily, by their number, they were a check on each other; for it rarely chanced that either by marriage, or the course of descent, more than two earldoms were united in the fame person; and the power of the greater barons was little inferior to that of the earls. Mr. Selden, in his learned treatife on titles of Honor, has laboured much to prove, that the earls were not, in the Norman policy, as they appear to have been during the Saxon, governors of the counties under the king. But, though feveral parts of the business of the crown, and more particularly, all that concerned the revenue, were administered by the sheriffs, yet it seems clear enough, that the earls were the chief officers under the crown in the two highest trusts, viz. the judicature of the county, and the command of the military force thereof, after the

Normans came in, as well as before. Indeed, there is reason to think, that in process of time, and, perhaps, as early as the reign of King Henry the Second, they grew neglectful of their

Par. ii. c. 5. fect. 12.

duty in their feveral county courts: fo that BOOK II. generally the sheriffs presided there in their flead, though not deputies under them, but officers of the crown. Yet they continued long afterwards to receive the third penny out of the pleas in those courts, or to a certain fum in lieu thereof, which Sir. H. Spelman considers v. Gloff, Coas the falary of their office. And of their right MES, p. 141. to command the military force, belonging to their counties, no light proof may be drawn from the appellations of dux and conful given to them, in the Latin histories of those times. See Titles of The form of girding them with a sword, when Honor, par. they were invested with their earldoms, was ii. c. 5. likewise strongly expressive of a military com- feet. 1. 3. mission appertaining to the office and dignity of an earl. But it must be remarked, that the command of the provincial militia was different from that, which the Norman earls were entitled to, overtheir own immediate vaffals; the latter being feudal and territorial; whereas the former was derived from the ancient right of their offices, and feems to have been of the nature of a lieutenancy in the counties under the crown.

The number of earls was determined by the number of counties over which they prefided: fo that the king could not regularly create any more: but there might be fewer from the fame v. Malmib. person having two or more earldoms. During Hift. nov. the confusion of the civil war in the reign of 1. i. sub ann. King Stephen, that prince created fome hono- chron. rary or titular earls, who had no counties, Norm. sub

and ann. 1154.

BOOK II. whose dignity he maintained, in an extraordinary manner, by grants of crown-lands. This he did to oblige some of the barons of his party, whose ambition he could find no other means to gratify: but, though, for fome ages past, the custom of the kingdom has admitted fuch a prerogative to be unquestionably in the crown, it was then thought irregular; and therefore Henry the Second, in the first year of his reign, deprived those earls of their titles, and refumed the grants of the crown given to

support their new honors.

It fometimes happened that alliances contracted by matches between the families of great earls did fo extend and augment their power in the kingdom, as to render it dangerous to the flate. But, on the other hand, the animofities and family quarrels, which often inflamed these petty princes against each other, divided and weakened their power; and were, perhaps, as advantageous to the liberty of the nation as hurtful to its peace. There never yet was any government fo perfeetly good, as not to have fome inherent, constitutional evils; nor any so bad, but that the evils arifing from it would in some meafure correct and restrain one another. This appeared in the plan of policy fettled here by the Normans. As the vigour and spirit infused into it did often, by the irregularity of its working, and the continual ferment which it raised, produce a severish heat, so we find that fome distempers, which would have been otherwife

otherwise fatal to it, were thrown off by this BOOK II. heat. And some excesses of the royal prerogative, which have fince been wifely controuled, operated as remedies in that fystem against the immoderate authority of the nobles; while both these powers were checked by the arms entrusted to great numbers of the inferior freeholdors, in consequence of the tenures, by which they held their estates. But the regular force of a government more equally tempered, and orderly applications to parliament for the redress of any grievances, which might otherwise be too ftrong for the ordinary courts of juffice, as much better fecurities, under our present constitution, to the liberty of the subject, the dignity of the nobles, and the majefty of the crown, than the frequent collision and struggle of those jarring powers, which, though they prevented the establishment of any fixed tyranny, diffurbed the quiet, and discomposed the harmony of the state.

Among the English earls some were invef- See Titles of ted by the crown with higher powers than the Honor, P. ii. reft, possessing in their earldoms a regal jurif- c. 5. sea. 8. diction, fo that the king's writ of ordinary justice did not run there. The Saxons in England had fuch (as Mr. Selden has shewn) though they did not give the title of Counts Palatine to them; a title which feems to have been first used in the times of King Henry the Second. The earldom of Chester was granted v. Joh. Sarisb. by William the Conqueror to one Gherbod, a deNugisCur. Flemish 1. vi. c. 10.

fub ann. 1070.

Selden's Titles of Ho-

De Nugis Curial. ut fupra.

BOOK II. Flemish baron, and afterwards to Hugh Order. Vital. d'Avranches, otherwise called Hugh Lupus, to be held under the crown, by him and his heirs, with fuch a jurisdiction, that they had their courts both of criminal and civil justice, and their barons, as their great council; every one nor, as above, of whom had also a court under him, in the fame manner as those barons who held of the King. John of Salifbury gives the title of Palatine to all the English earls upon the marches of Wales, because they likewise enjoyed a regal jurisdiction within the extent of those marches: and Hugh de Belesme, who

See Titles of fome records of the time of Edward the First. c. 5. fect. 8. V.Baroniam,

1. ii. c. 1.

P. 154.

Honor, P. ii. Mr. Madox observes, "that several of the " lords marchers had a fort of regality,

was earl of Shrewsbury in the reign of William Rufus, is therefore called a Palatine in

" which made their feignieuries look like pa-" latinates. They had the first cognizance of

" all causes and plaints within their lord-

" ships; they had their chancery, their justi-" ciers, and other great officers, with an ex-

" tensive jurisdiction belonging to the chief

" court of their honor."

Our kings were induced to make these grants, that the borders of their kingdom might be defended by the arms, and at the charge of these noblemen, residing there, against the continual inroads of the Welsh; and that the conquests made in Wales might be maintained in the fame manner. We find,

Loreigh

too that the same motive produced similar BOOK II. grants upon the borders of Scotland. The entire profits of the county were given to every earl Palatine, for the better support of his dignity, or rather as a fruit of the regality he enjoyed; whereas other earls had only a third part. In truth, these lords were entrusted with a much greater authority, than any fubject, in a well-conflituted monarchical state, should ever posses: but yet neither they, nor any other English peers, could pretend to a legal right, as the great vaffals of the crown did in France, to confederate with forcing powers, unauthorised or unlicensed by their own fovereign: a right fo incompatible with order and government, that one is furprifed it could ever be admitted in that, or any other kingdom. Confederacies indeed for their mutual defence among the vassals of the crown appear to have been accounted not illegal in England: and in Stephen's reign there are examples of some English earls making treaties of that nature the one with the other, during the rage of civil war: but even those treaties had a referve of their fidelity to their fovereign particularly expressed.

It is a remarkable thing, that all the charters now extant for the creation of earls (the most ancient of which were granted by Matilda) make no mention of any determined number of knights which the earls were bound to provide. The reason of this I imagine to have been, that the knights-fees which they

possessed

BOOK IL possessed belonged to the barony annexed to the earldon not to the office or dignity of an earl: and as other baronies differed in number of knights-fees by which they were held, fo likewise did these. The most that I find in

See Madox's any barony of an earl were in the honor of Baron. p.93. Glocester, which, during the reign of King John, had three hundred and twenty feven knights, besides a tenth and a twentieth part of a knight, that is, lands charged with knightfervice in those proportions. From many infrances it appears, that it was not the rank or dignity of the tenant, but only the extent and goodness of the lands composing a barony, by which the number of knights-fees belonging to it was determined, and that the proportions in which these lands were granted, whether to

1. ii. c. i.

The great hereditary offices under the crown Baron.p.157. are called by Mr. Madox officiary honors; and he fays, that when a lord had land honor and one of these, he had two distinct honors vested in him. The fame author observes, that the greater vaffals or tenants, of earls, barons, and prelates, were fometimes called barons; for which, in another place, he gives this

carls or to barons, were often very unequal.

Hift. of the Excheq. c. 5. p. 133.

Baron.,1. i.

reason ; " The earls and great lords did then, " in many particulars, imitate the form and e. 6. p. 133, " fashion of the king's court. As the king

" had, so had they, their dapifers or seneschals, " chamberlains, and other officers in their

" housholds, and likewife abroad their barons,

" or chivalerian tenants." But these (he says)

were

were ftyled improperly barons, and only by way BOOK II. of resemblance. It seems to me that all who held of the great lords by knight-fervice were not usually called their barons, but only those who were fo confiderable, as to have under them other knights, or military fubvaffals. We find in V. Spelman's fome charters that the magistrates or chief ci- Gloss. BARO. tizens of London, York, Warwick, and other principal cities, were honored with that title. It was even extended to all the judges in a county court. But in these instances the word Ibidem, Ba-

is used very loosely.

The name of viscount in those days was not a title of honor, but fignified only a fheriff. The principal functions of this office are thus defined by Mr. Madox, in his very accurate history of the Exchequer: "It was the she- C. xxiii. p. " riff's duty to do the justice of his county, " to keep the publick peace, to flock and im-" prove the king's lands, and to collect the "king's revenue." It appears that in time of war he also performed some military functions; and the above-cited author has observed, " that he usually was the præfect or gover- Baron. 1. ii. " nor of the king's castle in the county." It c. i. p. 145. is faid that among the Anglo-Saxons this V. Spelman's officer was elected in the county-court by the Gloff. Vicepeople: and in the reign of King Henry the V. Mag. Rot. First the citizens of London paid a fine to 5 Steph. that prince of a hundred marks of filver, that of the Exch. they might have the privilege of chusing their c. 11.p. 273. theriffs themselves. But no instance occurs See also Dif-

RONES Co-

of fuch a liberty in the counties after the en- Magno Rot.

trance

BOOK II. trance of the Normans, till the fratute made by Edward the First in the 28th year of his reign, by which he granted to his people, that they shall have election of their sheriff in every shire where the shrivalty is not of fee, if they lift. Nor did that act of parliament continue long unrepealed. In the times of which See Madox's I write the sheriffs had the counties committed

Hift. of the Excheq.c.23. p. 634. Manufc. W. Dugdale in Oxon.

Madox. ut fupra.

to them respectively by the king, at his pleafure, either in cuftody, or at farm-certain. The Empress Matilda made a convention with MuleoAhm. Geoffry earl of Effex, by which, among other things, she granted to him the shrivalty of London and Middlesex, at three hundred pounds, yearly farm, and that of Hertfordshire at forty pounds, as his grandfather yearly held. Three hundred pounds were then equivalent to at least four thousand five hundred now. and forty to fix hundred. It appears by the rolls, that, under Henry the First, Richard Baffet and Aubrey de Vere were joint-sheriffs of eleven counties. This was extraordinary: but there are feveral inflances under different kings of two or three being committed to the fame person. Urso d'Abitot, in the reign of William the Conqueror, was made sheriff of Worcestershire, and the office was granted in fee to him and his heirs. Nevertheless it ap-SeeDugdale's pears that his fon was turned out of it by Henry the First, for having ordered one of the servants of that king to be slain. But it went

to his fifter, and, in her right, to her husband,

Baron. Beauchamp of Elmley.

trance

Walter de Beauchamp, from whom it descended.

ed, by inheritance, to William, their fon, BOOK II. who in the reign of Henry the Second was also sheriff of three other counties, viz. those of Hereford, Glocester, and Warwick. Archbishops and bishops were sometimes appointed sheriffs. In the reign of King Richard the First, V. Hoveden, William, bishop of Ely, who was chancellor at Madox's Hist. that time, offered to give the king for the shri- of the Exvalty of the several counties of York, Lincoln, cheq. p. 635. and Northampton, fifteen hundred marks in hand, and a hundred marks increment (that is, above the usual farm) every year for each county. But the archbishop of York outbid him for Yorkshire, and was made sheriff thereof, on the payment of three thousand marks for that county alone, and the yearly increment of three hundred. Three thousand marks were then equivalent to thirty thousand pounds in these days. This auction of a minsterial and judicial office, of the highest trust and importance, was a fcandalous thing, and what does not appear to have been ever practifed by Henry the Second.

It feems a strange policy in William the See Selden's Conqueror, and some of his successors, to have nor, par. ii. granted, as they did, the office of sheriff to c. 5. lect. 12. certain earls in their own counties. For by this means they loft that necessary check on the provincial authority of those mighty peers, which the crown usually had in the power of the sheriff, and much encreased their influence over the people. In the great roll of the 15th of Henry the Second mention is made of the VOL. III. viscountess L

Baron. 1. ii.

BOOK II viscountess of Beaumont, and in other years of See Madox's that reign one or two others are mentioned who, I prefume, had inherited the office of P. 144, 145. sheriff, and bore the name jointly with their husbands, who executed the duties thereof. For fo early as in the eleventh century it appears from ancient records, that there was in France an hereditary viscountess of Maine, whose husband was viscount or sheriff of that country in right of his wife. Certainly, the permitting an office of this kind to descend by inheritance, and even to females, may be reckoned among the faults of our old conflitution. When it happened to fall to an infant, or un-

flory of the Exchequer,

Madox's Hi- married woman, it must have been executed by a deputy: and I find an instance in the c. 23. p. 644. reign of King Henry the Third, of a deputation given to Hugh de Babington, by Walter archbishop of York, to keep under him the two counties of Nottingham and Derby, which that monarch had committed to him as sheriff. But this could not be done without the leave of the king, and a writ to the barons of the Exchequer, fignifying his acceptance of fuch deputation.

> Many offices of the palace were rendered hereditary by William the Conqueror and our first Norman kings; which must have added very much to the power of the nobles, particularly the great offices of constable, marefchal, chamberlain, and seneschal. What authority and jurisdiction belonged to the constable we may partly learn from a statute of the

> > 1.3th

13th of Richard the Second, wherein it is faid, BOOK II. " that he ought to have cognizance of contracts touching feats of arms and of war out of the realm, and also of such things relating to arms or war within the realm, as could not be determined or discust by the common law, with other usages and customs appertaining to the fame matters, which History of the other constables before that time had duly Exchequer, and reasonably used." Madox says, " he was a high officer both in war and peace;" and observes that the word fignified a captain or commander. Yet I do not find that in the reign of Henry the Second, those who were constables to that king, namely Henry de Effex, and Humphrey de Bohun, ever had the chief command in his armies. On the contrary, some other noblemen are mentioned SeeDugdale's as generals and commanders in chief where Baron. Effex, the king himself was not present. Henry de and Madox's Effex was hereditary standard bearer of Eng-Exchequer, land: but whether that honor belonged to him P. 28. as constable, or was a distinct office, held by him together with the other, does not clearly appear. That he was conftable under Henry the Second is evident by two charters given in Madox, ut that reign. This dignity was forfeited by fupra. him, as well as his barony, in confequence of his duel with Robert de Montfort, and was afterwards possessed by Humphry de Bohun, in the same reign, by virtue of his marriage with Margaret, eldeft daughter to Milo earl of Hereford, who, by the death of her brothers, became

d

ed ed

i-

e-

u-

n-

he

ath

ford, Camden Exchequer, p. 28.

Ann. 6 H. VIII.

BOOK II. became heirefs to all her father's honors, of which this was one. How it had come from SeeDugdale's that family to Henry de Essex we are not told. Baron. Here- But from the time of the abovementioned marand Madox's riage it continued in the Bohuns for ten gene-Hist. of the rations. It appears by a record, that, in the reign of Edward the Third, Humphrey de V. Spelman's Bohun, the last of that name, held several Gloff. Con- manors of the king by the service of being testable constable of England. And in the reign of Henry the Eighth, it was decreed by all the judges, "that this office might be annexed to lands, and descend even to semales, who, while they remained unmarried, might appoint a deputy, to do the service for them; but after marriage it was to be done by the husband of the eldest alone." They also declared, "that the fervice was not extinct, though part of the lands, for which it was done, fell into the hands of the king, to whom it was due; but remained entire in the eldest daughter: yet that the king might refuse the fervice, not to be forced to use the ministry of an unworthy person." Which expedient the king took, rather than admit the claim of the duke of Buckingham, who derived his title to it from the eldest daughter of the last Humphrey de Bohun. And after the death of that duke the office was never revived. The author of the dialogue de Scaccario, written under King Henry the Second, in describing the business done by the constable at the Fxchequer, where he had a feat by virtue of his office, fays, that

L. i. p. 10.

that when the mercenary foldiers of the king BOOK II. came to receive their pay there, it was his duty to examine their demands and accounts, with the help of his clerk, and fee that the fums due to them were paid at the proper terms. From hence it appears, that besides the feudal militia some mercenary soldiers were kept in pay by King Henry the Second. These I suppose to be men whom he hired to serve him instead of the military tenants, who paid escuage to him by way of commutation for personal fervice.

Mr. Madox, describing the office of the Hist. of the king's mareschal, or mareschal of England, Exchequer, fays it was executed partly in the king's army, in time of war, and partly in his court, in time of peace. Of the military functions of this officer he tells us nothing more, than that he and the constable were to give certificates to Ibidem ,p.31 the barons of their having duly performed the fervice required of them in the king's armies; which feems to shew that these officers had a legal fuperintendancy over those armies. But, from other accounts, it appears, that in Edward the First's reign the mareschal's post was See Rymer, in the van-guard, and that it was his duty and vol.ii. p.783. the constable's to muster the forces. His civil duties were (as Madox has collected them from ancient records) to provide for the fecurity of the king's person in his palace, to distribute the lodgings there, to preserve peace and order in the king'shoushold, and to affift in determining controversies arising among them. He also performed

e

t,

IS.

m

ft

he

of

he

he

to

h-

nat

or

der

ısi-

ier,

IVS,

hat

HISTORY OF THE LIFE

P. 10. Hift. of the Exchequer, c. 2. p. 31. Dugd. Baron, Mareschal broke, p. 600, 601. MARES-CHALLUS.

BOOK H. performed certain acts, by himself or his substitutes, at the king's coronation, at the marriages and interments of the royal family, at the creating of barons and knights, and at other great and ceremonious affemblies in the king's court. It is faid in the dialogue de Scaccario abovementioned, that no business of importance ought to be done without his being con-Under Henry the Second this office earl of Pem- was held by a family, who feem to have taken their name from thence, and were only of the Spelm. Gloff, rank of barons: but under Richard the First, William Mareschal having obtained the earldom of Pembroke was styled Earl Mareschal; and as, from that time, the office remained in the possession of earls, though of different houses, that title also continued; and the power of it feems to have encreased from the dignity of the noblemen who held it. In its first sense it signified master of the horse to the king.

V. Dugd. Baronag. Vere.

bound

The office of high chamberlain, or the king's chamberlain, (as this officer was usually called in that age) was of eminent dignity and great power in the court. It was given by Henry the First, on the Forseiture of Robert Malet, to Alberic de Vere and his heirs: which grant was afterwards confirmed to the fon of Alberic by Matilda: but I doubt whether this lord continued to enjoy it underHenry the Second: for other perfons are named as chamberlains in the rolls of that reign.

The

The office of fenefchal under the same king BOOK II. was possessed by Hugh Grentesmeinil baron of Dugd. Baron, Hinkley, who leaving no iffue male, it Grentefdescended to Petronilla, his eldest daughter, and meinil, and in her right to her husband, Robert de Bellomont, earl of Leicester, surnamed Blanchemains, and fon to the Grand-justiciary, of whom mention has been frequently made in this book. It was at all times a great office; but the jurisdiction of it encreased much, when the Grand-jufficiary's was diminished; which did not happen till after the decease of King Henry the Second. Indeed these offices could not posfibly have fubfifted together, in the height of their power: the functions and dignity appertaining to each of them having been nearly the fame. But, in the times I write of, that of fenefchal was much inferior to the other; and the authority of it feems to have been not very different from that of the Lord steward of the houshold at prefent.

The Grand-justiciary (as Sir. H. Spelman observes) singly executed, in those days, the several functions and powers of the sour principal judges in modern times, viz. the Chief-justice of the King's-Bench, the Chief-justice of the Common-Pleas, the Chief-baron of the Exchequer, and the Master of the Wards. He was too great for a subject: but happily for the crown, during the times that I write of, the office was not hereditary, nor even for life; and it was usually tempered by a joint

L 4

administra-

BOOK II administration of it in feveral persons. diciales. V.Ord. Vital. p. 905. ad ann. 1136. & p. 919. ad ann. 1139. of the Exchequer. c. 2. p. 23.

V. Diceto, fub ann. 1179. Dugdale's Origines Juridiciales.

fub ann. 1180. f. 342. Hift. of the Excheq. c. 2. p. 24. Spelm. Gloff. p. 338,

V. Madox's Hift. of the Exchequer, p, 26,

SeeDugdale's we find, that, in the reign of King Henry Origines Juri- the First, Roger bishop of Salisbury and Hugh Baffet were jointly possessed thereof; and under Henry the Second, Richard de Lucy was joined in commission with Robert earl of Lei-Yet it feems that the latter, after the cester. Madox's Hift death of the former in the year eleven hundred and fixty eight, was fole Chief-juffice of England, during feveral years; for neither in the rolls, nor in history, is any mention made of another, till the year eleven hundred and feventy-nine; when, upon his refignation, the bishops of Winchester, Ely, and Norwich, were conftituted Chief-justices, that is (fays Sir W. Dugdale) had the administration of that high place: but they did not hold it long: for the next year it was given to Ranulph de Glanville, who enjoyed it alone V. Hoveden, till after the decease of Henry the Second.

> In the reign of Henry the Third, Hubert de Burg, earl of Kent, and Grand-justiciary of England, had an affignment of three hundred pounds to be received by him annually at the Exchequer, in support of the dignity of his office. If we compute the value of money as I have reckoned it in the times which are treated of here, this would be equivalent to no more than a falary of four thousand five hundred pounds in these days: but I think the computation ought to be higher, as there is reason to believe that gold and filver were more

more scarce in that reign, than they had been BOOK II. in any, from William the Conqueror's, to the end of Henry the Second's. But it is probable that this affignment was not the whole profit belonging to the office.

Mr. Madox observes, in his history of the C. ii. p. 54. Exchequer, "that for some time after the "conquest the Chief-justiciary used to do "many acts, which, afterwards, appertained to the treasurer's office". Vet there was a

"to the treasurer's office." Yet there was a treasurer then among the great officers in the king's court, of whose functions the same author gives this account. "It seems to have p. 54, 55.

" been the part or duty of the treasurer in an" cient time to act with the other barons of the

"Exchequer in the government of the king's

" revenue, to examine and controul accomp-

" tants, to direct the entries made in the great roll, to attest the writs issued for levying

" the king's revenue, to supervise the iffuing

" and receiving of the king's treasure at the " receipt of the Exchequer, and in a word

" to provide for and take care of the king's " profit.

It appears that, from the eleventh to the thirty first year of King Henry the Second, this office was held, by Richard, the son Nigel bishop of Ely; and a contemporary V. Hist. Eliens. in writer informs us, that his father purchased it AngliaSacra, for him of the king at the price of sour hundred par. i. p. 627 pounds. He was a clergyman, and afterwards bishop of London. The venality of great offices, and seven of some which were

judicial,

BOOK II. judicial, may be reckoned among the faults of

policy in those times.

Hift. of the Excheq. p. 43. and Differt. on the great roll.

We find by the Exchequer rolls, that in See Madox's Henry the First's reign, Geoffry, his chancellor, frood debtor to him, for the custody of his great feal, fomewhat above three thousand pounds: a price as high in those days, as forty five thousand pounds would be in these, at the lowest computation. And the bishop of London, in the letter to Becket, of which mention has been made in the account before given of that prelate's promotion to the fee of Canterbury, fays, it was a matter of publick notoriety, that he had bought the office of chancellor for many thousand marks. But this does not appear from the rolls.

Of the functions and power of this officer fome account has been given in the former part of this book. It may be proper to add here, that in the dialogue de Scaccario before-cited, it is faid, he was great in the Exchequer, as well as in the court, so that nothing of moment was, or could be done there, without his confent or advice. And the same treatise informs us, that in the court of Exchequer the Grandjusticiary presided under the king; next to him fat the chancellor; then the constable, then the chamberlains, and laftly the mareschal. Mr. Madox observes, that as the power of the justiciary declined, that of the chancellor grew; and he conjectures that the latter office received a confiderable accession of power and

dignity from the greatness of some of the per-

fons

I.i. p. 9.

L. i. p. 8.

Hift. of the Exchequer, P. 43.

d

IS

P

e-

ne

of

ne

S.

cer

art

re,

ed,

as

ent

on-

ms

nd-

im

nen

nal.

the

llor

ffice

and

per-

fons

fons who had borne it. He likewise says, that BOOK II. the splendour of the king's court appeared very P. 21. C. 2. much in the greatness of his officers and ministers.

But some of them were so great, and splendid, as, instead of augmenting, to diminish the splendour of their master, and draw the eyes of his other subjects from him to themselves.

Many of the nobles and gentry held lands of the crown by the service of grand-serjeanty, which is called by Sir H. Spelman the highest and most ithustrious feudal service. Sir Thomas V. Gloss. Ser-Lyttelton says, "that tenure by grand-

" ferjeanty is when a man holds his lands or tenements of the king, by fuch service as

"he ought to do in his proper person to the king, V. I yttel"as to carry the the banner of the king, or ton's Tenures
his lance, or to lead his army, or to be his Jure Feudali,
"mareschal, or to carry his sword before him l. i. tit. 11.

" at his coronation, or to be his fewer at his feet. 5.

" coronation, or his carver, or his butler, or to be one of his chamberlains of the receipt

" of his Exchequer, or to do other like fer"vices," &c. Which definition the learned
Craig has espoused in his admirble treatise on
feudal law. Yet Mr. Madox has shewn, by V. Mad. Bathe evidence of records, that some who held by ronia, 1. iii.
grand-serjeanty were not bound to do their service
in their own persons. But the instances of this
kind are. I believe so sew, as not much to

grand-serjeanty were not bound to do their service in their own persons. But the instances of this kind are, I believe, so sew, as not much to impeach what Sir Thomas Lyttelton has afferted. The latter says, that all who hold of the king by grand-serjeanty, hold by knight-service: but this is well explained by his commentator, Lord Coke, to mean only, that

this

BOOK II. this tenure had the effects of knight-service, wardship, marriage, and relief. Lyttelton himself, in the passage cited above, mentions fome ferjeanties which were not of a military nature: he likewise observes, that the relief paid for this kind of tenure was not the fame as for lands that were held by knight-fervice; being one year's value of the lands and tenements over and above all charges or reprizes; whereas the relief of a knight's-fee was but a hundred shillings Nor did such tenants pay feutage, like other military vaffals, even when the service, to which they were bound, was of a military nature; the reason of which feems to be, that, although the king might be willing to commute with an ordinary knight for his fervice, he would not fo eafily admit a commutation, where the fervice was to be done to him in his own person, or in what concerned his royal dignity in a more particular manner: nor would a tenant, who was honored by fuch a diffinction, defire that his office should be performed by another.

Baronia, l.iii. c 5.

Among ferveral instances of this tenure not relative to war or knight-service, Mr. Madox mentions one of a fingular kind. In the reign of Henry the Sixth, John Baker held certain land in Kent of the king by the service of holding the king's head in the ship which carried him in his passage between Dover and Whit-This was adjudged to be grandserjeanty: and it evidently appears, that the idea of royalty in our ancient constitution

must have been very high, when such a ser-BOOK II. vice done to the person of the king was deemed by the law the most honorable tenure. But it must be always remembered, that the idea of a king in that constitution was a supreme head and ruler of a free nation to whom allegiance was due in return for protection; and to that idea too much reverence could not be annexed.

There was also tenure by petit serjeanty, of which Sir Thomas Lyttelton gives this account, "that it was where a man held his land " of the king, to yield to him yearly a bow, " or a fword, or a dagger, or a cutlafs, or a " pair of gloves of mail, or a pair of gilt " fpurs, or an arrow, or diverse arms, or " other fuch fmall things belonging to war:" which description Sir H. Spelman likewise V.Gloff. SERadopts, and agrees with Lyttelton that this fer- NOR. vice was but soccage in effect; for which the latter gives this reason, "because such tenant " by his tenure is not bound to go to war, nor " do any thing in his proper person relating to " it, but to render and pay yearly certain things " to the king, as a man is bound to pay a rent." He fays too, that none can hold by grand or petit serjeanty, but of the king: Yet Bracton V. Bracton, mentions serjeanties held of private persons; 1. ii. c. 35. as, for instance, if a man is bound to ride SERJEANTIA with his lord from manor to manor: but then MAJOR. he distinguishes these from those serjeanties, that regarded the king or the defence of the realm, with respect to the claim of wardship and marriage.

n

ie

n ft

158

fo much to form their housholds on the model of the king's, that they had hereditary officers, to whom they also granted fiefs. Mr. Madox recites a grant from William, earl of Warwick, to Alan his cook, by which he confirmed to him the office his father Richard had held, namely, the chief ministery of his kitchen, (capitale ministerium coquinæ meæ) which was vulgarly called the place of mafter cook, (quod dicitur magister eoquus) to be held by him and his heirs, of the faid earl and his heirs, as fully and entirely as his father had enjoyed it, with all fees of the faid kitchen, feum omnibus feudis dicta coquina) and all appertenances belonging to the mafter cook. By the same charter we find, that the faid Alan and his father had an estate in land granted to them by the bounty of their lord, with a power to hold courts over their tenants or vaffals, without contradiction from the faid earl or his heirs: fo high a regard did fome of our ancient nobility pay to

It also appears, that the great nobles affected

Besides earldoms, baronies, and officiary honors, there was in those days an honorary dignity, which was thought to add a new lustre to the highest degrees of nobility, nay, even to princes and kings themselves; I mean, the order of knighthood. It was accompanied with a solemn religious engagement, the nature of which, as well as the purposes of this singular insti-

their cooks; and fo munificently did they reward them for the good fervices done in their

kitchens!

See Hift. of the Excheq. c. 2. p. 39. institution, I cannot better set forth, than in BOOK II. the words of Alphonso the Fifth, king of Portugal, as they are delivered by a good authentick historian. That prince, after having V. Marinot. taken the city of Arzila, by affault, from the 1. iv. c. 53. Moors, went immediately, in great folemnity, to the chief mosque, and when he had prayed, fome time, before a crucifix, which was placed upon the dead corpfe of the count de Marialva, who had been killed in the action, he commanded his fon, the Infant of of Portugal, to kneel down by his fide; which being done, he drew his fword, and faid to the young prince, " My fon, we have received " this day a great favor from Almighty God, " who has made us mafters of fo important a " place, and given me fofair an opportunity of " conferring on you the order of knighthood, " and arming you with my own hand. But, " first to instruct you what the nature of that " order is, know, my fon, that it confifts in " a close confederacy or union of power and " virtue, to establish peace among men, when-" ever ambition, avarice, or tyranny, trouble " states or injure particulars. For knights " are bound to employ their fwords on " these occasions, in order to dethrone "tyrants and put good men in their " place. But they are likewise obliged to " keep fidelity to their fovereign, as well " as to obey their chiefs in war, and to give " them falutary counsels. It is also the duty

BOOK II. " of a knight to be frank and liberal, and to " think nothing his own, but his horse and " arms, which he ought to keep for the fake " of acquiring honor with them, by using " them in the defence of his religion and coun-" try, and of those who are unable to defend "themselves. For, as the priesthood was " instituted for divine service, so was chivalry " for the maintainance of religion and justice. " A knight ought to be the husband of " widows, the father of orphans, the protector " of the poor, and the prop of those who have " no other support: and they who do not act " thus are unworthy to bear that name. These, " my fon, are the obligations which the order of " knighthood will lay upon you: confider " whether you are defirous of it upon these " terms." The prince answering, that he was, the king went on to ask him, if he would promife to perform all these several duties, and make them to be observed, with other rights and customs of the order of knighthood? To which he having confented, "On these con-" ditions," faid the king, " I make and arm " you a knight, in the name of God, the Fa-" ther, the Son, and the Holy Ghost;" and at each of these facred names, striking him with his fword on the helmet, he added, " May God make you as good a knight, as " this whose body you see before you, pierced " in feveral places for the fervice of God and " of his fovereign." Then kissing him on the.

-

the forehead he raised him up with his BOOK II.

Such was the idea of chivalry in its principles, and according to the original purity of it: nor can one eafily imagine a nobler incitement to brave and virtuous actions: but it was an idea too perfect for human nature; and the general practice of those who took this engagement was far from being conformable to its intentions and rules. One may also object to it, that not being confined to kings or princes, but extended to great numbers of private men, it feemed to take the fword out of the hand of the magistrate, to whom only belongs the maintenance of religion and justice in a well governed state. But still the institution had fomething exalted and heroical in it; and I will venture to fay, that from the ninth to the fixteenth century, the brightest virtues which dignified, either the history of this nation, or that of any other people in the whole Christian world, were chiefly derived from this fource. Had it not been for the spirit of chivalry, the corruption of religion, the want of all good learning, the superstition, the ferocity, the barbarism of the times, would have extinguished all virtue and sense of humanity, as well as all generous fentiments of honor, in the hearts of the nobility and gentry of Europe: nor could they have been able to refift the military enthusiasm of the Saracens and the Turks, without the aid of another kind VOL. III.

BOOK II. of fanaticism, which was excited and nourished

in them by means of that spirit.

Some very eminent writers have thought that the origin of this inftitution was a voluntary affociation of private men, to defend the publick and particulars, but more especially women, from the many grievous diforders that infested all Europe upon the decline of the family of Charlemagne. But Mr. Selden takes notice, that some traces of it occur in that emperor's reign; and both he, and our great antiquary, Spelman, incline to derive it from a custom of much earlier date, namely that ob-See also Pere ferved by Tacitus among the ancient Germans, of giving arms to their young men in the pub-Charlemagne lick affemblies, and the adoption per arma practifed by the Goths and some other barbarous nations. But whether it first came from Germany, or from the Lombards in Italy, among whom the most evident marks of it are found, the commencement of it was certainly prior to the epocha abovementioned. theless it is probable, that the confusion and violence of those times made the practice of it more general, as being more necessary; and might also occasion the confecrating of it with folemn vows and religious rites. The first mention made of those ceremonies in England is by Ingulphus, who wrote under the reign of William the Conqueror. He fays, it was the custom of the Saxons in England, that the person who was to be knighted should prepare

for

Titles of Hon. pars ii. c. 5. fect. 34. Ibidem, c. i. fect. 58. Spelm.Gloff. MILES. Daniel Hift. de France, & Hist de la milice Franc. t. i. l. 1ii.

v. Paulum Diaconum. Spelman, ut fupra. Selden, ut fupra.

for it by confession and absolution of his fins BOOK II. the evening before, and, afterwards, by watching all night in the church: that in the morning he fhould offer his fword on the altar, and receive it bleft from the prieft, who, with a benediction to him, should put it about his neck, after his having heard mass and taken the facrament. But, in the account which is given by W. of Malmfoury of Athelstan's V. Malmfobeing knighted by King Alfred his grandfather, Angl. 1. ii. nothing is faid of these rites, though the histo- c. 6, rian particularly mentions the giving him a fword and a rich belt, with a crimfon or fcarlet robe, as the enfigns of knighthood. Ingulphus adds, that the Normans abominating this manner of confecrating knights, despised those who were so made, and altered the custom. Nevertheless it is certain, that fome of these facred forms were used in Eng- See Selden's land, as well as in France, for several ages; Hon. par. ii. particularly the receiving of the fword from c. 5. feet. 35 the altar. Other ceremonies also were prac- Johan. Sarifb. tifed, that are not named by Ingulphus, and de NugisCuof which the most effential appear to have been, 20. the bathing the candidate, and after his being epist. 94. fo purified, the girding him with his fword, see P. Daniel the putting on his feet a pair of gilt spurs, and Hift. de la striking him gently with a fword on the neck, coife, 1.3. head, or shoulders. When these things c. 4. p. 99. were done in the royal palace, and some of Upton deMithem by the hands of the king (as they fre- 1, i. c. 3. quently were) the folemnity was graced by the fongs and mufick of minstrels, who attended

n

re

or

BOOK II. on the knight, and by many other marks of rejoicing and honor. Robes of different colours were also given to him at the expence of See Madox's the crown. In the history of the Exchequer I Hift. of the find an account of thirty three pounds, for Exchequer, c. 10, p. 255 three robes of scarlet, two robes of green, and Rot, 6 J. other necessaries for making a knight, allowed Rot. 16. a by King John. But in some accounts of the Kent. Ibidem, Mag. reign of Henry the Second the expence of this Rot. z. H.11 ceremony is not near fo great; which may have Rot 12. 6, Mag. Rot. been owing to his better œconomy. A dif-22 H. 11. ference was made in the drefs of knights and Rot. 1. 6. esquires, it not being permitted to the latter to wear any gold, though they were of the highest quality; and from hence, I suppose, as well as from the gilt spurs given to knights at receiving the order, they were distinguished by the name of Equites Aurati.

SeeUpton de fect. 34.

app of 10 apple to a second

distriction.

no

In time of war and actual fervice the Re Militari, abovementioned forms were much abridged. 1. i. c. 3.
Selden's Tit. The person who was to be knighted presented of Hon. c. 5. a fword to the king, or commander in chief, if the king was not with the army, and defired to receive the order of knighthood, which was given him with no other ceremony than a stroke on the neck with that fword. Before an affault, or a battle, or any perilous action, it was customary to make a number of knights in this manner, as an encouragement to those who were thus chosen out from all the esquires there present, to act not unworthily of the dignity they received. The fame thing was done at the conclusion of a battle or siege,

or

or other military exploit, as a reward to those BOOK II. who had diffinguished themselves by their valour. And this was justly esteemed the most honorable knighthood. In France the order V. Division was given with the following words: "I du Monde. " make thee a knight in the name of God and of Honor. " My Lord St. George, to maintain the faith par. ii. c. 3. " and justice loyally, and defend the church, " women, widows, and orphans." In the See Selden, empire the oath, anciently taken by the ib. c. 1, fect. knights at receiving the order, was to the 60. c.5. fect. fame effect. But John of Salisbury, in his book De Nugis Curialium, which appears to have been written under the reign of king Stephen, fays, that in England, for the most part, it was then become the fashion not to administer any oath to the knights. Yet he labours to flew, that by the indispensable duty of their office, they were tacitly bound to the defence of the church; and avails himfelf (as V. Epiff. 9. does likewise Peter de Blois, who wrote not Petr. Blesens. long afterwards) of the ceremony of their taking their fwords from the altar, as indicating a profession of their having received it to the defence and honor of the priefthood, the affiftance of the poor, the punishment of evil deeds, and the freeing of their country from tyranny or oppressions. Indeed both these writers add, that many of the knights did in no wife act agreeably to such a profession, but as if they had vowed the very contrary; especially with regard to the church. Yet the general opinion of their being engaged to ferve M 3

e

g

or

BOOK II. and defend it must have contributed greatly, in the age that I write of, to promote the crufades; as the entering into that warfare appeared only a confequence of the original obligations, which every knight had contracted in receiving his knighthood. And in the next age it induced them to draw their fwords with equal zeal against the Vaudois and Albigenses, whom the clergy represented to them as ene-

mies to the church and catholiek faith.

Every knight had a power, inherent in himfelf, to make other knights, not only in his own country, but wherever he went: and (what feems more extraordinary) knighthood was fometimes conferred in England by those who themselves had it not, and were indeed incapable of it, viz. bishops and abbots. William Rufus was knighted, in his father's lifetime, by Lanfranc archbishop of Canterbury. The foundation of this, must unquestionably, have been a notion, that the order, being conferred with facred rites and forms of prayer, was a kind of religious inflitution. During the reign of King Stephen we find that the earl of Glocefter knighted his brother, who was afterwards earl of Cornwall; and other examples occur of the fame power being exercised, for several ages by private persons in England, without the authority of a royal commission. Nay our kings themselves have been knighted, by the hands of their subjects: as Henry the Sixth by the duke of Bedford's, and Edward the Sixth by the duke of Somerfet's. Knighthood was therefore diffinguished from all other honors honors and dignities in the state by this remark-BOOK II. able difference, that those were supposed to be derived from the king, as their fountain and head; but this might be given to the king himself by his subject. It might also be given by any sovereign prince in the territory of another, and the rank assigned to it was the same in all Christian countries.

The poet Gunther, who was contemporary with Henry the Second, fays in a Latin poem, that the Emperor Frederick Barbaroffa, the better to repel the enemy from his borders, and defend his country by the superior force of his arms, granted knighthood to many persons of low and vulgar birth, which in France would have been thought a flain to that dignity. And from a passage in Glanville (of which I shall say more hereafter) it may be inferred that in England, under Henry the Second, even enfranchifed villains, born in fervitude, were fometimes knighted. Yet this, I prefume, was only done, when they had performed very extraordinary actions in war, after having obtained their freedom.

In the reign of Henry the Third the honor and lands of Roger de Somery, baron of Dud-See Madox's ley, was feized by the crown, because he did Baronia, l. i. not come to the king to be girt with the belt of knighthood. And in the nineteenth year of the same king, all the sheriffs of England were commanded to make proclamation in their respective counties, that all who held of the king in chief one knight's-see, or more, and were not yet knighted, should take arms

M 4 ar

BOOK II. and get themselves knighted, before the next Christmas, as they loved the tenements or fees which they held of the king. Whether, in the times that I write of, any compulsion was used to oblige men to be . knighted I cannot possibly affirm: but as Mr. Madox, in his history of the Exchequer, has given no records of any fines having been levied on that account, or proclamations iffued to enjoin it, till the reign of king Henry the Third, and many in and after that reign, the prefumption is strong, that it had not been the practice before the death of King John.

> Indeed it feems a deviation from the original principle of this inftitution. For one cannot but think it a very great inconfistency, that a dignity, which was deemed an accession of honor to kings themselves, should be forced upon any; and ftill more, that fuch numbers of a lower rank of gentry should be obliged to receive it, as a duty annexed to their fiefs. Guillaume le Breton, who wrote under Philip Augustus, says of a young nobleman, who had diffinguished himself in the army of France at the battle of Bouvines, that he was worthy to be made a knight, both by his family and by his actions. There is also in a French treatife of no little authority upon this fubject the following paffage: " An esquire, " when he has travelled much, and been in

" many exploits of arms, out of which he has come " with honor, and who has an estate sufficient to " maintain the rank of knighthood (for otherwise if it would be no honor to him, and it is bet-

66 ter

V.LaSalade. fol, 54.

" ter to be a good esquire than a poor knight) BOOK II. " ought to defire any lord, or valiant knight, " to knight him, in the name of God, &c" Here not only the being possessed of a competent fortune, but the having given many proofs of personal valour, is made a necessary qualification for the attainment of knighthood: and it is spoken of, as an honor which the efquire was to gain, not as a burthen imposed upon him by law or tenure. A learned member of the French academy, who has lately entiched the republic of letters with some excellent observations on ancient chivalry, has Thewn that, in France, the education given to V. Memoires those who aspired to knighthood was excel-surl'ancienne lently calculated to make them good foldiers, t.i.l.i. & and instruct them in all the duties of that Notes. methods were used in England: for our 3.1. Pelife first kings of the Norman race introduced into their courts the fashions and manners of France with little alteration; and most of our nobility, during the times which I write of, being of Norman or French extraction, and keeping up a perpetual intercourse with their countrymen, the plan of education in France must naturally have been thought the

best they could follow. Among the French a younggentleman, destined to arms by his parents, was usually taken, when he was seven years old out of the hands of the women, who till then had the care of his breeding, and remained a page till fourteen, in the samily of some

knight: after which he ferved feven years in

See Spelman's Re-

mains, De

P. 175.

BOOK II. the quality of elquire, and was then knighted: but this term of pupillage and of fervice was frequently abridged, and knighthood was given to some persons at sixteen or fifteen years of age, if they had an extraordinary forwardness and maturity of strength, or were of very high rank, as princes or the fons of princes. Sir H. Spelman fays, that, with the English, militedissert. fifteen is accounted the lawful age of knighthood: but he observes that two of our kings were knighted when they were much younger,

V. Memoires namely, Edward the Sixth in his tenth year,

furl'ancienne and Henry the Sixth in his fifth. Chevalerie,

Every knight had his lady, to whom he t.i.p.95, 96. vowed faithful fervice, whose favors he wore in tournaments and in battles, and for whose honor he was always prepared to combat, with no less zeal and enthusiasm, than for the defence of the catholic religion itself. was inculcated to them in the first rudiments of their education: for an old chronicle tells us, that, together with their catechism the young gentry were taught the art of love. The great purpose of these instructions was unquestionably to make the passion of love an incitment to valour, and likewife to humanife and fubdue the ferocity of their manners. Both these ends were accomplished; the first in a high degree, and the latter as far as the general barbarism of the times, in other respects, would By fome passages in ancient writers, who treat of chivalry, it appears, that in the lessons of love which were given to the candidates for the order of knighthood, a kind of Platonic

Platonic refinement and purity was inspired: BOOK II. but we learn from the history of those ages, that these sentiments were very seldom of much more use to secure the chastity of the ladies, than the enchanted armour, which fome knights imagined they wore, was to guard their body from fwords or lances.

The very amusements of chivalry were a perpetual discipline and school of prowess. Enough has been faid before of tilts and tournaments, and other methods of exercifing the courage of the knights, in times of peace. But when their own country did not furnish them with fufficient opportunities of displaying their valour, so impatient were they of ease, and so defirous of glory, that they often went into foreign lands, to feek adventures. If any enterprife of great peril was undertaken by a knight, he often affociated with him a brother of arms: which fraternity was esteemed so close a bond, that their obligation to aid each other was only fubordinate to the loyalty due to their fovereign; nor is it probable (if we confider the temper of the times) that, when fuch an engagement had been cemented by common dangers and benefits, it could be always kept fubject even to that limitation. We are affured by a learned antiquary, that v. Du Cange the compact was fometimes ratified by the par- Differt. à la ties opening their veins, and mingling their fuite de Joinblood, to fignify that each of them was ready to shed his, in defence of the other. A near relation was also contracted between the person

who received the order of knighthood, and

him

BOOK II him who conferred it; the imparting of that honor being deemed a kind of adoption, not, indeed, with regard to the right of inheritance, but to a communication of paternal and filial affection.

> All this feems quite romantick : and indeed the old romances are no contemptible histories of the manners of those times. The knighterrantry they describe had then a real existence: The gallantry of the knights to the ladies, which had an air of devotion; their prefenting them with the prizes they had won in their tournaments and even with the prisoners they had taken in war; their delivering captives, especially of the fair sex, from castles, where they were violently detained and injuriously treated; their pursuing affaffins, or robbers, to punish and destroy them without form of law; and their obliging lords of castles to abolish evil customs, which they had caused to be obferved in their diffricts or manors; all thefe things, which are feigned of knights, in the French and Spanish romances, were often done in real life, and arose out of the principles of knighthood itself, the disorders of the feudal governments, and in the spirit of Even the most incredible ficthe times. tions in these books, the forceries and enchantments, had a foundation in the established faith of those ages, and in the many superstitions which the Christian religion, as well as the Jewish, the Mahometan, and the Pagan, was then over-run with: fo that what

the

in these days appears to us the delirium of a BOOK II. wild imagination, was in those the universal creed of mankind. The extraordinary honors paid to knights, in castles, in cities, and in the courts of great princes. are likewise truly represented by the description given of them in old romances: but besides these, which they enjoyed in every country, from the courtesy of the times they had in England some legal distinctions and privileges, granted to the whole order, and which shew the high estimation of it in the eye of the law.

Mr. Selden takes notice of it, as "as a spe-Titles of cial honor to knighthood, that though it be Hon. part. ii. c. 5. sett. 37.

" regularly supposed in law, that no heir of " a tenant by knight-fervice is able to do the " fervice of himself, until he be of the age of " one and twenty years (which is the reason " and ground of all our wardships of male-" heirs yet if any fuch be knighted, either in "the tenant's life-time, or after his death, of " what age foever he be, he is adjudged, for " that purpose only, as of full age, and the " wardship of his body in the one case is pre-" vented by it, and in the other ends with For, in regard that, by the laws of " honor, he is adjudged to be a knight, there-" fore, by the common law, he is likewife ad-"judged fo able to do the fervice, as that his " body needs no further tuition of a guardian, " over it." But the same author observes, that, by the grand charters both of King John and Henry the Third, though the wardship of BOOK II. the body be ended by the tenant's receiving the order of knighthood, yet the land was to continue in the custody of the lord, till the heir was of the age of twenty one years. "From " this reason (says he) it was, that under " Henry the Second, fome are fined for pro-" curing others than the king to knight any " of the king's wards; whereby he loft his " wardship of the body." Other privileges of knighthoodin judicial proceedings are mentioned by Mr. Selden, as "that the grand affize in " a writ of right (which is as a jury, and the " highest trial by oath that is in the law) is to " be chosen by knights, and out of knights, " if they can be found." It appears by Glanville that this law was under Henry the And in the Pipe rolls of that reign one is fined at a hundred pounds for striking a knight; and another at forty marks, because he was prefent when the knight was compelled to swear, that he would not complain of the injury done to him. These instances shew a great regard in the law to the honor of knighthood: for a hundred pounds was in those days a very high fine. I take no notice here of any distinctions given to knights in later times, which I am not fure were enjoyed by them during those that I write of. But it appears by the dialogue de Scaccario, that, under King Henry the Second, the horses and arms of a knight who had a good reputation were not to be fold, even for the payment of his debts to the king, under a process out of the Exchequer;

quer; but were to be privileged, that, when-BOOK II. ever there should be occasion, he might be called out, well furnished with these necesfaries, to ferve the king and kingdom. ransoms paid to knights for the prisoners they took, and the share assigned to them, by cuftom, of all the booty and spoils which were gained from an enemy, furnished them with ample means of advancing their fortunes: but they had moreover rich prefents made to them by the princes, or nobles, they ferved, upon the performance of any eminent feats of valour, And as every knight was permitted, by the law, or usage of the times, to offer his fword occasionally to different potentates, when they were not in an actual state of hostility against each other, it often happened that the fame person was enriched by the munificence of feveral courts. It was indeed the interest of a king, or any great feudal lord, to let his knights feek employment wherever reputation was to be gained, if he himself had no immediate want of their fervice, in order to keep up the fame of his chivalry; and that they might return to him more experienced and improved in the art of war. By this means the militia, in which the principal and peculiar strength of the feudal governments lay, was kept in constant exercise, and frequent actual service; without which no militia can ever be equal to a veteran standing army. And it is very remarkable, that, although the nobility and gentry of England were bound to fight for their

176

BOOK II. their king and country by the lands which they held, yet the policy of our forefathers thought it necessary to add all these further rewards of honorary distinctions and other emoluments, that they might perform their duty with more alacrity, and make themselves equal to so high and important a trust as the safety and glory of the nation. It may be truly faid, that the grants of the military fiefs gave a body to chivalry; and these institutions a soul. Nor is it probable that without fome encouragements of this nature, which raifed and kept up in the military tenants a strong martial spirit and ardour for the fervice, they would ever have been fuch good foldiers as we find they were, or would not, after some time, have degenerated, as other militias have done, into a force merely nominal, and of no more real use to the fecurity of the kingdom, than the rufty armour and lances, hung up in old Gothic halls, rather as images of ancient prowefs, than instruments of present desence. But, from the methods here described, (wherein I think we may discover a deeper meaning, and better fense, than is generally supposed) the feudal militia acquired a vigour and an energy, which no laws could give to it, and which can only be furpassed by the most exact discipline of regular armies, inured to war. never quite funk, till the spirit of chivalry began to grow out of fashion, and was even rendered the object of ridicule; a misfortune into which every species of heroism is apt to fall, from

from the near affinity that there is in morals, BOOK II. as well as in writings between the fublime and the extravagant; and from the proneness of human nature to undervalue that, which it finds to have been overvalued.

When the order of knighthood was accounted the highest honor, to be degraded from it was thought the most ignominious punishment that a gentleman could endure. This was done by the ceremony of taking from the delinquent the proper enfigns of knighthood, which had been given to him at his creation, namely, the fword and gilt fpurs. But I do not find any instance of fuch a degradation in the times that I write of, except, perhaps, in the case of Henry de Essex, who, it may be v. Dicet. prefumed from the words of a contemporary Imag. Hift. historian, was deprived of his knighthood, with fub ann. the marks of infamy abovementioned, before he took the habit of a monk, in confequence of his having been vanquished in the duel with Robert de Montfort.

It is of the highest benefit to society, and what a wise government will endeavour, with all its skill, to procure, that men should not hope to be greatly honored, or respected, from the accidental advantages of birth or wealth, without personal merit. And this good did our ancestors derive from the institutions of which I am treating. They were taught, that not the highest hereditary dignities, nor the largest possessions annexed to those dignities, could entitle them to respect, without

VOL. III.

N

the

BOOK II the order of knighthood and the practice of those duties, which the rules of that order exacted from its members; duties quite incompatible with indolence, with effeminacy, with any thing fordid, or pufillanimous. These instructions, when they met with good dispositions, would naturally produce great effects: and whoever reads the ancient chronicles of England and France will find, that not only a general passion for military glory, and a most active courage, but some as fair and noble fruits of heroick virtue were raised, by this northern method of culture, as ever grew in the rich foils of ancient Greece and Rome. The Black Prince, who was entirely formed on the leftons of chivalry, is alone a fufficient proof of this affertion. I will add that the two laft, who appear to have fashioned themselves upon the same model, and to have possessed in perfection all the virtues of their order, were, in France, the Chevalier Bayard, and, in England, Sir Philip Sidney. Indeed, the idea of honor, in the fense we understand it, as something distinct from mere probity, and which supposes in gentlemen a stronger abhorrence of perfidy, falsehood, or cowardice, and a more elevated and delicate fense of the dignity of virtue, than are usually found in vulgar minds, feems to have arisen from the notions of chivalry. But here lies the great difference between the inftitutions of the Greeks and the Romans, and those of which I am treating, in forming men to the service of the publick: the

the education given to youth by the wisdom BOOK II. of those states, the course of life it brought them into, and the feveral objects it held out to excite their ambition, tended no less to make them able statesmen, than virtuous citizens and brave foldiers: but the precepts of chivalry, and the whole progress of knightly accomplishments, had little regard to the improvement of the intellectual faculties. Good learning and the arts of policy were fo far from being studied with a proper application, that they were generally left to clergymen, as derogatory from the noble profession of arms: and even the armies of those times had in them much less of a ftrict and regular discipline, than of disorderly valour and impatience for action, which, together with the diversity and uncertainty of the commands to which they were fubject under different feudal lords, and the frequent quarrels of those lords, produced great confufion; and often occasioned their defeat, and the miscarriage of their enterprises. It is also evident, that the multitude admitted to knighthood diminished its dignity, and made it impossible that the moral rules of the order should be generally observed.

n

-

of

e-

ch

ce

a

ity

gar

the

ng,

k:

Whether in the times of which I write we had any knights bannerets is not very clear. The name does not occur in our histories or records before the reign of Edward the First. But Duchesne has published a list of French bannerets in the time of Philip Augusta, where those of Normandy, Anjou, and the other

N 2

dominions

BOOK II dominions of the house of Plantagenet are set down. It is more than probable that they enjoyed the same dignity in the reign of King Henry the Second, the latter part of which coincides with the first years of the monarch abovementioned. In reality, this was not a new order of kinghthood, but only a higher rank, conferred by the fovereign, or by the general of a royal army, on some of that order, who were richer than others, and were followed into the field by a greater number of vassals. The nature of it, and the manner in which it was given, will best appear by the following instances from history and records. When Sir John Chandos was in Spain with the Black Prince, just before the battle of No-See Froisfard varret, fought to restore Don Pedro to the throne of Castile, he came to the prince, and delivered into his hands his own banner folded up, with these words: " My Lord, here is " my banner, which I present to you thus; " that it may please you to unfold it, and " give me leave to fet it up in the battle to day;

Chron. and Selden's Tit. of Honor, par. ii. c. 5. Sect. 39.

> " means in land and inheritance to support the " flate and expence it requires." The prince and the king of Castile, who stood by him, in the field, unfolded the banner and returned it open to Chandos, faying to him these words: "Sir John, here is your banner! May God " affift you to gain honor with it by your

> " valiant actions." He then went back with great joy to his people, and faid to them, annimimob

> " For (God be thanked) I have very sufficient

" My fellow-foldiers, behold! here is my BOOK II.

" banner, and yours, if you will guard it, " as you ought." They received it very gladly, faying, that, by the help of God, and St. George, they would guard it bravely, and do their duty. After which it was left in the hands of William Alery, an English esquire, who bore it in the fight with great valour. I cannot conclude this fubject without taking notice of a strange inconsistency, that, in an age which hallowed and confecrated knighthood, a fynod affembled in England, under William the Conqueror, should injoin every knight, or military tenant, who had been with that monarch at the battle of Hastings, to do penace during one year, for every man whom he knew he had flain there, and during forty days, for every man whom he knew he had struck, and if he was ignorant of the number whom he had flain or ftruck, to do penance, at the discretion of the bishop of the diocese, one day in every week as long as he lived; or (if he were able) redeem it with perpetual alms, by building or endowing a Church. This alternative was, I prefume, the real motive, that induced them to be guilty of fuch a glaring abfurdity, as to inflict these penances upon foldiers, for killing or striking their enemies, in the profecution of a war, which they themfelves admitted, to be lawful; without even excepting those, who, they fay in the preamble to these very canons, did of right owe military service to William Duke of Normandy. N3

BOOK II. But there is one of these canons, which, for SeeSpelman's the benefit of mankind, I wish was received Councils, vol. by all nations. It is the fixth; which fays, i. p. 12.

" Let those who fought only for hopes of a re-ward (that is, without being authorised by their duty to their fovereign or their country, and having no regard to the cause they fought for) know, that they ought to do penance as

for murder."

Some mention has before been incidentally made of tenures in frank almoign, and of tenures in foccage; which it will be necessary to explain more particularly here. Lord Coke fays, " no lay person can hold in frank almoign:" and accoring to Lyttelon, upon whom he comments, " a tenant in frank almoign is " where an abbot, or prior, or other man of

See Coke's

Inflit. vol. i. " religion, or of holy church, holdeth of his feet. 133, 134. " lord in free alms." With regard to the fervice required by this species of tenure the fame author tells us, " that they which hold in frank almoign are bound before God to " make orifons, prayers, maffes, and other " divine services for the fouls of their granter " or feoffer, and for the fouls of their heirs " which are dead, and for the prosperity, and " good life, and good health of their heirs " which are alive. And therefore they shall " do no fealty to their lord, because that this " divine service is better for them before God " than any doing of fealty; and also because " the words frank almoign exclude an earthly or temporal fervice." This passage itself is

a proof

a proof, how necessary it was to restrain the BOOK II. zeal of our ancestors from too many grants of

this nature, by the statute of mortmain.

Under the government of the Saxons all the bishops of England, and such abbots and priors as held their lands of the crown, held by this tenure; and in the first part of this work it has been observed, that the changing those estates into baronies subject to homage and fealty, and held of the king by knight-fervice, was an important alteration made by William the First and his parliament, in the English constitution. But it has likewise been, remarked, that it was not understood in the sense of the law, that these spiritual barons, because their lands were thus charged with a military fervice, were bound to perform that fervice personally, like the temporal barons. They were either to find other men to do the duty for them, or to pay fines to the king; as appears by this record, which is cited by Madox, in his history of the Exchequer: "King Ed-" ward the Second had fummoned his army " to march against Scotland, and had ordered " proclamation to be made, that all persons, " of whatever state or condition, who owed " him fervice in the army should be ready to " attend him in person. Neverthelessby his writ " he commanded the treasurer and barons of the " Exchequer to accept of fines at the rate of " forty pounds for a knight's-fee, to be paid " to the king's use, by archbishops, bishops, " religious persons (id est, abbots and priors) " widows, and other women who owed fer-66 vice

BOOK II. " vice in that army, were defirous to pay fines " instead of performing it, or fending others " to do it for them." Sir Thomas Lyttelton alfo fays, in his book upon Tenures, often quoted before, that an abbot, or any other man of religion or a woman fole that holdeth by fuch services, ought not to go in proper person. It would certainly have been indecent for any ecclefiafticks to be obliged to bear arms; and the putting them, in that respect, upon the fame footing as women possessed of knight'sfees was agreeable to the wifdom and decorum of the law: but there was no impropriety in their being required to find the king, of whom they held their baronies, either foldiers or money in lieu of their personal service; nor in their vasfals being bound to serve him in person. Yet they perpetually endeavoured to confound this diffinction; as if the functions of all who belonged to them had been as facred as theirs; and as if their very lands had partaken of the holiness of their spiritual character. On the other hand, they fometimes did personal service, notwithstanding the canons which the church had made against it, and though they might have acquitted themselves of their duty to the state, by the means abovementioned. As feveral bishops were younger brothers of the most noble families, the martial fire in their blood, the example of their relations, and the spirit of the times, prevailed over the decencies of their profession, and the bishop was lost in the baron. With

With respect to tenure in soccage, Sir T. BOOK II. "Lyttelton fays, that every tenure, which is See Cooke's not tenure inchivalry, is a tenure in foccage." Inflit. vol. i. But he gives this definition, because he reckons of Soccage. grand serjeanty a tenure in chivalry, which 1. ii. p. 86. (as hath before been observed) must be under- and lib. ii. stood with some restrictions. The same author c, 8. sect. 158, likewife tells us, "that tenure in foccage is " where the tenant holdeth of his lord the " tenancy by certain fervice, for all manner of " fervices," excluding only knight-fervice. Which description is too extensive for the derivation he afterwards gives of the word foccage, from foca, a plough, though that is founded upon an authority as old as the reign of Henry the Third, Sir H. Spelman observes, from the ancient book of St. Alban's, that formen V. Gloffary, (or tenants in foccage) fignified freemen in the SOCMAN. genuine fense of the word. All the king's tenants in ancient demesne held of him by foccage tenure: but that all these did not hold by the service of the plough the unquestionable evidence of Domesday-Book will evince. In Glanville's treatife frequent mention is made of free socmen, and from what that author says relating to them (of which I have given fome account) it is plain that their property and rights of inheritance were taken no less care of by the law in his times, than those of tenants by knight-service; though the latter was the higher and more honorable fervice. Nay, in some points it appears that they had more liberty than the military tenants, that is, the feudal

BOOK II. bonds were less strict upon them and their families. Yet in Domesday-book they are diftinguished from other free tenants, called there liberi homines, by not having the power, which thefe enjoyed, of giving away, or felling, their estates, without leave of their lords. It feems that thefe liberi homines were a remainder of the alodial tenants of the Saxon folkland, that is, land of the vulgar, opposed to bocland or thaneland. A certain number of them was necessary to constitute a manor; and therefore, when that number was incompleat, scme who held in villenage were enfranchifed, to make it up; as appears by the testimony of the record abovementioned. We also find there, that some who were in possesfion of this alodial freedom thought it more eligible to feek a defence and protection, by recommending themselves to the patronage of fome feudal lord, or even of two lords, if the fituation of their lands made it necessary for them to have two protectors. It is probable that this practice, becoming more general, in process of time put an end to this species of tenure. The fervices which were performed by them to the lord of the manor, in their alodial state, were predial and ruftick. A certain number of free formen (as well as these) appears to have been necessary to every lord of a manor, for holding the pleas of the manor court, which the SeeSpelman's Saxons called foke or foc, a word fignifying a franchife, or jurisdiction to which a franchise was annexed. And it is from this that some

derive

See Domefday book.

Gloff. Soc. Fleta, l. i. S. 47.

d

r

1-

ne

Te

1-

re

by

of

he

em

his

of

'he

the

rere

free

een

old-

the

g a

hile

me rive derive the terms formen and forcage, with BOOK II. great appearance of truth. Some of the lands Somner on held in soccage were held by base services, and Gavelkind, at the will of the lord: but the definition given f. 133. of it by Lyttelton, and by others of the greatest Instit. vol. i. authority, excludes from it all tenures where c. 5. 1. 2. the fervice was uncertain. Among the legatine canons made at London, by the bishop of Winchester, in the reign of King Stephen, Spelman's I find one which fays, That the plough vol. ii. p. 47. and husbandman in the fields should enjoy the same peace as if they were in the church-yard. This fanctuary given to the tillers of land in their own grounds would have been of great benefit to the publick, if duly regarded. the civil war paid little respect either to spiritual or temporal law. According to Lyttelton bur- L. ii. c. 10. gage tenure was one kind of foccage, but with 166,162,165, various customs, which it will not be necessary 169. to enlarge upon here, nor to explain the local See also Craig Jus customs attending the Kentish Gavelkind, or Feudal. any other peculiarities which did not affect the p. 191. general policy of the kingdom. I shall conclude this account of the two great divisions of property, during the times that I write of, into knights-fees and foccage tenures, with remarking how materially our conftitution was changed by the statute of the 12th of Charles the Second, which declared that all tenures by knight-service of the king, or of any other perfon, and by knight-service in capite, and by soccage in capite of the king, and the fruits and consequences thereof, shall be taken away or dis-

BOOK II. charged; and that all tenures of any honors, manors, lands, tenements, hereditaments, &c. are turned into free and common soccage: thus extending that tenure, which, for feveral ages, was reckoned comparatively mean and ignoble, to all the estates of our nobility and gentry, who would have antiently thought it the greatest injury and dishonor, to have had their possessions so levelled with those of the vulgar. Yet to this change, which a gradual alteration of manners and juster notions of government had prepared us to receive, is owing much of the happiness of our present condition. But at the same time it has obliged us to feek for other methods of giving a military strength to the kingdom consistent with our monarchy, and not dangerous to our freedom: a matter of no little difficulty; but which, if brought to perfection, would fecure and perpetuate the advantages, which we have over our ancestors, in the civil policy of the kingdom.

After this general view of the state of the nobility, gentry, and freeholders, under the kings of whose government this history treats, it will be proper to give likewise some account of those persons, to whom the national liberty did not extend, though in respect to their numbers they were no inconsiderable part of the

people.

In Domesday-book, that great record of the ancient state of this kingdom, a distinction is made between villeins who were affixed to a

manor,

manor, and others of still a lower and more BOOK II. fervile condition, diffinguished by the names of bordarii, cotarii, and fervi, the two first of which feem to have rented small portions of V. Spelman's land, and the last to have been hinds, or menial DARII, Cofervants abiding in the families of their lords. TARIUS, According to Spelman these were again subdi- NATIVUS. vided into nativi (flaves by birth) and bondi (freemen who had voluntarily and by bonds which they had given, put themselves into fervitude, for the fake of a maintenance.) Yet See his treain other places he gives the appellation of bond-tife on Feuds men to all below the degree of ceorls or free and Tenures, formen. And it must be observed, that in Glanville the nativi are comprehended under the term villenagium, which is used by that author fynonimously with servitude, and in opposition to freedom; as a flate, not a tenure. His whole fifth book relates to this fubject, and contains the methods and forms of law which then were in practice, for the decision of disputes between different lords concerning their rights to a villein, or where a person who was in a villenage (in villainagio positus) or was claimed as a villein, afferted himfelf to be free. The trial was required to be in the the king's court, and the proof, by producing in court the nearest relations to the person so claimed, or fo demanding his freedom, and proving their condition. If it appeared that they were free, he was freed; but if a dispute or doubt arose concerning their liberty, or whether

nearest relations, were in sact so or not, recourse was had to a jury of the neighbourhood, to try the sact, that it might be determined by their verdict, according to which the judgement was to be given. If a free woman was v.Glanville, married to a villein by birth, she lost her free-l.v.c. 6. dom during the life of her husband, and their children were born to the same state of servitude, which was continued to all the succeeding generations, unless their lord enfranchised them by his own act. Nay, we are told by Glanville, that in his time, if a freeman

married a woman born in villenage, and who actually lived in that state, he lost thereby the benefit of the law (that is, all the legal rights of a freeman) and was considered as a villein by birth, during the life-time of his wife, on account of her villenage. He says also, that if a man born in villenage had children by a woman born in the same state, under a different lord, the children ought to be equally divided between the two lords. This was absolutely putting children upon the same soot as cattle, or other stock on a farm, without the regard that is due to the inherent freedom and dignity of human nature.

L. v. c. 5.

According to Glanville, a villein might be enfranchifed feveral ways. As, for instance, if his lord, being willing to give him his liberty, had proclaimed him free from all right that he or his heirs might have to him, or had given

or fold him to another, in order to his being BOOK II. infranchised. But he fays, that no villein could acquire his freedom with his own money: for, notwithstanding his purchase, he might, according to the law and customs of the kingdom, he brought back into villenage: because all the goods of a villein born belonged to his lord, and therefore from him he could not redeem himfelf with his own money; but with that of another man he might be redeemed, and maintain his freedom for ever against his lord." The fame author fays, "if a vil- Ibidem. " lein born had remained quietly (that is, un-Gul. Conq. " claimed by his lord) a year and a day, in 66. Wilkins, " any privileged town; fo that he had been p. 229. " received into their community or gyld, as a " citizen, he was thereby freed from his vil-" lenage." By privileged town is meant a town that had franchises by proscription or charter; and this communication of liberty from thence to a villein, refiding among them fo short a time, shews a high regard in the law to fuch corporations, and likewife a defire to favor infranchisements, as much as the settled rules of property would admit. According to Braton, a quiet refidence, of a year and a day, upon the king's demefne lands, would also enfranchise a villein who had fled from his lord. In one of the laws of William the Con- See Wilkins queror, it is faid, " If any one is willing to Leges Gul. " free his flave, let him deliver him by his Conquest.65. " right hand to the sheriff, in the full county 229. " court, and proclaim him discharged by

manumission,

BOOK II. " manumission, from the yoke of his servi-

" open and his way free, and put into his

" hands the arms of a freeman, namely, a

" lance and a fword; which being done, he is

" made a freeman."

This ceremony is remarkable; as it shews that, in England, during the times I write of, the bearing of fuch arms was a privilege fo confined to freemen, that the imparting it to a flave was a mark of infranchifement. It is observed by Glanville, " that, altho' any · person might make his flave (or villein born) a freeman, with respect to himself and his heirs, with respect to others he could not. For, if any fuch villein, fo freed, was brought into court, to hold any plea against a stranger, or to wage law, (that is, to purge himself or others by oath) he might be justly removed from thence, if his birth and villenage were objected to him and proved in court, even tho' he had been made a knight after having been fo infranchifed." One may learn from this paffage, how great a jealoufy there was in the law of those times, with regard to judicial proceedings, when it went fo far, as to exclude from them any man born in fervitude, though he had not only obtained his freedom, but even the high dignity and honor of knighthood. According to Bracton, a flave infranchifed might be deprived of his liberty, and brought back to his former fervitude, for ingratitude to his mafter. But from the fame author

L. v. c. 5.

L. i. c. 6. P. 5. author we learn, that the lives and limbs of BOOK II. flaves were under the protection of the king; fo that if a lord killed his flave, he would not L. i. c. 9. be less punished, than if he killed any other person. The chastity of female slaves was likewise protected from all violence, by the law of those times; and the goods of persons in villenage were fecured against all others, except their lords. These were some mitigations of a state that would otherwise have been insupportable; but, upon the whole, the condition of the villeins in this kingdom was worse than that of the slaves among the ancient Germans: for those (as Tacitus tells us) had houses of their own, given to them by V. Tacitum their masters, which they governed at their de moribus Germanoown pleasure, only paying to their masters a rum. rent of corn, or cattle, or cloaths, without yielding to them any further obedience or fervice. Nor, in Germany, was the domestick or menial fervice in families performed by flaves (as among the Romans) but by the wives and children. Indeed the German and Gothick nations, in this and many other Tacitus, ut instances, shewed more humanity and regard supra. to natural justice, than the Romans, who called them Barbarians. But how it happened that in England the Saxons departed fo much from the ancient lenity of their country, in the treatment of their flaves, I cannot tell. Certain it is, that the Normans did not intro- V. Leges Æ1duce this kind of servitude into England. fredi, apud Wilkins, There is a remarkable law of Alfred the Great, Leg. 11. VOL. III. which

BOOK II. which enacted, " That whoever bought a " Christian slave should give him his freedom " gratis, at the end of fix years. And he " was to depart with the cloaths he had " brought with him, and with his wife, if he was married when he came to his lord. " But, if his lord had given him a wife, she " and the children he had by her are declared " to belong to his lord. If he refused to go " away, because he was unwilling to part " with them or his heritage under his lord, " then his lord was to lead him to the door of " the church, and bore his ears, as a mark,

" that from hence-forward he should always V. Leg. 12. " remain his flave." It also appears by another statute of the same king, "that a freemen might fell his daughter to another, as a flave; but she was not to be in all respects upon the foot of other flaves: nor could her father fell her to any body out of the kingdom. If her master was not pleased with her after he had bought her, he was to infranchise her and let her go to some foreign country. But, if he permitted his fon to have her for a concubine, he was to make her a prefent, and fee that she was well cloathed, and, as a compensation for the loss of her chaffity, pay her a marriage portion: which, if he did not perform she was made free." I need not observe that the suffering a parent to fell his daughter into flavery, under any regulations, was a bad and barba-It was probably allowed for the rous custom. fake of eafing poor families of too great a burthen

then of children, which in many countries has BOOK II. occasioned much cruelty and injustice. What in these statutes was prohibitory, and favorable to flaves, did not extend to restrain or lighten the fervitude of captives taken in war, of whom, and of whose posterity, the greater part of the domestick, or predial servants, among the Saxons, undoubtedly was com-In the collection of laws enacted by V. Leg. Ca-King Canute, there is one which frees a flave, Wilkins. whose master had obliged him to work on a holiday, befides punishing the offence by a fine or mulct to the king. But it may be questioned whether this was the effect of humanity, or merely of fuperstition. The laws and policy of the Normans were favorable to infranchifements; fo that in, and after the times of which I write, the number of flaves must have continually decreased in England; but yet, as in Lyttelton's Tenures, which were written during the reign of King Edward the Fourth, there is a whole chapter concerning the state of persons in servitude, it is evident that many fuch were still remaining in those days. practice of infranchisements growing afterwards more and more frequent, those who before had held in villenage became copyholders, and the domestick or predial flaves were made free fervants and labourers: fome even obtained freeholds; and at length all remains of the ancient fervitude were abolished. Nor is this a light difference in the comparative excellence of our present constitution above our ancient,

11

ad

et

he

ie,

he

for

or-

was

Tuf-

ery,

·ba-

the

our-

hen

ments of Greece and Rome. For, furely, whatfoever dishoners human nature, dishoners the policy of a government which permits it; and a free state, which does not communicate the natural right of liberty to all its subjects, who have not deserved by their crimes to lose it, hardly seems to be worthy of that honorable name.

In the times of which I write every county was divided into hundreds and tythings, which last was composed of ten freeholders with their families, who were all pledges to the king for the good behaviour of each of them, and obliged, if any person, comprehended in the tything, had committed a crime, to bring him to justice, or purge themselves, by the oath of the chief man of the tything, both of the guilt of the fact, and of being parties to the delinquent's escape. Every master of a family was also made a pledge for the good behaviour of his houshold, in which description it appears that all his villeins were contained. The first author of this remarkable plan of police, which has been mentioned with lavish praise by some historians and lawyers, was King Alfred the Great. Notice is taken of it in the laws of other Saxon kings, particularly in some ascribed to Edward the Confesfor, which are quoted by Bracton, 1. iii. c. 10. and upon his authority I incline to think, that fo much of that compilation, as concerns this mater, is genuine; though other parts of it

are

firmed by particular statutes of William the Conqueror; and we have one of Henry the V. Leg. Gul. Second, which fays, "That it shall not be I. Wilkins, 1. lxix. & lawful for any person in a borough or town to Ibidem, lodge in his house any stranger, whom he p. 218. would not put under pledge, above one night, factæ apud unless such stranger had a reasonable cause to Clarend. & alledge for his stay, which his host was re-renovatæ apud.Norquired to declare to his neighbours; and the thantune, guest, when he departed, was not to go off, Leg. 4. but in their presence, and by day." This exceeded the rigour of the ancient Saxon laws, which allowed two nights to a guest, without being put under pledge. I will fay no more on this subject, but that these and other regulations relative to it, which need not be menfioned here, were much too ffrict a restraint on the intercourse of commerce and focial life in quiet times, though they were an admirable fecurity against crimes and disorders; and might be necessary in those ages when they were established or enforced.

Of the jurisdiction of the county or hundred courts, and of the king's court, in which prefided the great justiciary of England; as likewise of the methods of trial then in use, and
of the criminal law of this kingdom from the
earliest times to those of Henry the Second inclusively, I shall treat in another place, when
I consider the institution of annual circuits to
be made by itinerant justices, and the statutes

O 3 enacte

BOOK II. enacted by that prince at Clarendon and Nor-

thampton.

It is remarkable, that, during the life-time of King Henry the Second, the Pandects of Justinian were discovered at Amalphi; and in emulation thereof compilations were made of the canon and seudal laws, at Bologna and Milan; and the first treatise upon the English laws was written in England: so that this age, however barbarous in other respects, made great advances in jurisprudence, the chief light and perfection of civil society.

See Giannoni Hist. de Napol. l. xi.

The Code, the Novellæ, and the Inftitutes of Juffinian had indeed been read and explained in the school of Irnerius at Bologna, before the Pandects, were found by the Pisans at Amalphi, when that city was taken by them, in the year eleven hundred and thirty feven: and in France there were some copies of the Pandects themselves, as appears by citations from them in Ivo de Chartres antecedent to that time: yet the publication of this most ancient and authentick copy of them in Italy, where no other remained, gave a new fpirit to the fludy of the Roman civil laws, in that country first, and very foon afterwards, in all parts of Europe. About fourteen years from the taking of Amalphi, viz. in the year eleven hundred and fifty one, under the pontificate of Eugenius the Third, Gratian, a Benedictine monk at Bologna, published his Decretum, which was composed on the model of the Pandects, being a compilation, or di-BOOK II. geft, of the whole canon law, as those were of the civil law. And, as those contained a V. Craig, collection of the answers and opinions of all the Feudor. 1. i. greatest Roman lawyers, so did this of the tit. 3. p. 23. opinions, decrees, and judgement of fathers, doctors, popes, and councils. Thus far it was eafy to carry imitation: but the Pandects are admired, by the most judicious criticks, for their accuracy, clearness, and elegance; whereas the Decretum is a confused, immethodical compilation, full of errors and forgeries. Yet as it was calculated to promote the power Craig, ut fuof the church, and particularly of the papacy, pra. the applause it met with from the clergy and c. 3. the fee of Rome was fo great, that it foon ob- Father Paul tained an authority superior to all the former De rebus becollections, and became the great code of ecclefiaftical law, on which the popish hierarchy fupported their enormous pretensions. Such an union was also formed between the civil and canon laws, though in many points very different, that, (to use the words of a learned writer) they coalesced into one system and conso- v. Arthur nance, and were so tied together, and in so Duck deAucnear a degree of relation, that the one could not civilis Rom. subfift without the other: for which he gives c. 7. p. 98. this reason, "that the canon law was original-" ly derived from the imperial constitutions; " and whatever is most excellent in it cannot " be denied to have flowed from the civil law." Certain it is, that these laws, in the age I write of, and long afterwards, afforded a mu-

tual

BOOK II. tual support to each other; the professors of both were the fame; and it was necessary for any clergyman, who defired to rife in the

church, to be a civilian and a canonist.

fert. in Flet. last edit. of his works, yol. iv.

There is a remarkable paffage in one of the V. Seld. Dif- epiftles of Peter of Blois, which Mr. Selden p.1094.1095. has taken notice of, in his differtation upon Fleta. The words are these: " In the house " of my mafter, the archbishop of Canter-" bury, there are a fett of very learned men, " expert in all the rules of justice, as well as " other parts of prudence and knowledge. " is their constant custom after prayers and before they dine, to exercise themselves in " reading, in disputations, and in the decision " of legal cases. To us all the knotty questions of the kingdom are referred; which being " brought forth into the auditory, where all " the company affembles, every one, accord-" ing to his rank, whets his understanding to " fpeak well, without wrangling or obloquy, " and with all the acuteness and subtilty that " is in him, declares, what he thinks the most " prudent and found advice. And if it pleafes "God to reveal the best opinion to one of the " lowest among us, the whole affembly agrees " to it without envy or detraction." The perfons who held thefe affemblies in the archbishop's palace, and to whom the most knotty questions of the realm were referred, were probably clergymen and civilians. But it must be observed, that, in this age, clergymen were also common lawyers; many prelates were employed

ployed by the king as his justices, and William BOOK II. of Malmfbury fays, that, in the times when V. Malmfb. he wrote, there was hardly an ecclefiastick who 1.iv.deW.II. was not an advocate. The questions referred f. 69. 2. 10. to them might be also of a political kind, concerning the general laws of nations, the rights of embaffadors, the obligations and conftructions of treaties, and all the rules of peace and war. Nevertheless I do not doubt, that, under the government of Henry the Second, the civil law interwove itself, to a certain degree, into the fystem of English jurisprudence. The real excellence of many of its rules and decifions, in cases of private property, must have greatly recommended it to fo inquisitive and judicious a prince, and to those who held the chief offices of judicature in his kingdom. But I shall have occasion to observe, during the courfe of this hiftory, that, in the punishment of offences against the state, there is reason to think their regard to this law was carried much too far, and made them deviate in some instances from the genius and principles of the English constitution, to the great prejudice of natural justice. Yet that, in other points, the law of England received great improvements, by the ingraftments made from the civil law, as well in this reign, as under many fucceeding kings, can, I think, no more be disputed, than that it was a wife jealoufy and caution in the parliament, under fome of those kings, to prevent it from acquiring too great an authority, and encroaching too much on the

BOOK II. the common law of England; especially in matters relating to government and the liberty

of the subject.

V. Craig. Feudor.l. i. tit. 6. p. 46, 47.

About the year eleven hundred and feventy. a compilation of the feudal laws, as practifed in Lombardy, was published at Milan in two books, by two fenators and confuls of that city, Gerardus Niger, and Obertus de Odo. In imitation of the Pandests, they contain the opinions of lawyers, on questions concerning the feudal customs, with some imperial constitutions relating to feuds. They were long afterwards divided into five books by Cujacius, their best commentator; before whose time they had obtained fo great an authority in many counties of Europe, that they were received in courts of justice as parts of the civil law. The learned Craig ascribes this authority to imperial constitutions contained in them, or by which they were confirmed: but Du Moulin, Giannone, and others fay, that, like the books of Justinian, they acquired by degrees the force of laws, from ulage, from the approbation of the people, and from the tacit confent of princes, who permitted them to be publickly taught in univerfities, inriched with commentaries, and cited in tribunals, for the decision of causes. It does not appear that in England any fuch regard was paid to them; though in many points our laws were fimilar, as being derived from the fame principles, and directed to the fame ends. Yet it is not improbable, that even in the latter times of King Henry the Second, and

and still more in the next century, some parts of BOOK II. the English laws, concerning feudal estates, may have been regulated according to their decision, by the statutes then made, and, in the determination of doubtful cases, by the opinions of

the judges.

h

y d

e

at

d, ıd

It is a notion of many eminent writers, that the whole system of feuds was derived Feudor. 1. i. from the Lombards. Sir Thomas Craig, one tit. 6. of the best who has ever treated that subject, feems to incline to this opinion, and fays, that the Lombards, after they were fubdued by Charlemagne, not only retained their ancient customs, but, at the return of that emperor. into France, transmitted them with him into the furthest parts of that kingdom: he might have added, into Germany, and other parts of the empire, where they also prevailed at that time. But others ascribe the origin of the See Madox's feudal customs to the Franks, and some to Baronia, the Goths. I would observe, that if they Sir W. Temwere really confined to Lombardy till that ple's Essays. country was fubjected to Charlemagne, as the Anglo-Saxons had fettled themselves in Britain fome ages before that event, the customs they brought with them, and established in this island, could not have been feudal. But, in See Spelman truth, all the German nations, the Saxons, on Feuds and Tenures, c. 2. the Franks, the Lombards, the eastern and p. 5. western Goths, had some general notions of the feudal policy, which were gradually fystematised, and brought into that state, which we find established in the empire under

BOOK II. Conrade the Salique, and in France under Hugh Capet.

Feudor. 1. i. tit. 4.

Sir Thomas Craig has distinguished four states of the feudal law, its infancy, its childhood, its adolescence, and its maturity. To

C. vi.

C. iv.

the first he assigns the times between the first overflowings of the northern nations, and the year fix hundred and fifty: to the fecond the times, in which fiefs, that before were annual, or at most for life, were extended to the fons of the vaffal, and no further; viz. from the year fix hundred and fifty to the year eight hundred, when Charlemagne was crowned emperor. The third flate, on the authority of the books of feuds abovementioned, he reckons to have continued from the times of Charlemagne to those of Conrade the Salique, during which he fays that the greater and leffer vaffals had begun to use the farms, or lands, granted to them, as their own; and, though they were not the true lords of them, yet they acted as if they were, being almost secure of the will of their lords, provided they performed the fervices agreed on between them. He also takes notice that Charlemagne was the first, who, by particular grants, changed fome benefices into feuds, that were permitted to descend to the eldest sons of the vasfals; but fays, that neither in his reign, nor for fome years afterwards, did fuch inheritances become a general law; but were rather particular privileges, the number of which was much encreased under his grandson Lotharius, yet still with-

without the authority of any law: yet Con-BOOK II. rade the Salique made one, about the year one thousand and twenty eight, which not only confirmed the inheritance of fiefs to the fons and grandfons of the vaffals, but permitted one brother to fucceed to another in his paternal estate. With this constitution therefore Sir Thomas Craig concludes the third flate of feuds, having before observed that in France a law had been made by Hugh Capet, which perpetuated the fuccession to fiefs in the first degree; and that both the vaffals of the king, and those who held of them, possessed their fiefs, not precariously, nor at the will of another, but by a right established in themselves. The fourth state, or maturity of the feudal C. vii. law, he extends from the abovementioned epoch, viz. the conflitution of Conrade the Salique, made in the year one thousand and twenty two, beyond the times of which I write; when, by a gradual extension of the feudal rules of inheritance, feuds were permited to descend to collaterals, as far as the seventh degree. It must be observed, that, before the publication of the Books of Feuds at Milan, Vid. Radefome parts of the feudal law had been committed vicum, l. i. to writing, by the orders of the Emperor Frederick, furnamed Barbaroffa, who was the first that had reduced them to any form or rule: but I do not find that the Books of Feuds received any fanction from the authority of that prince; whereas we are affured that he V. Radev. de greatly favored the study of the Pandects and i. ii. c. 5.

e

r

h

et

re

r-

n.

as

ed

ed

ut

ne

ne

ri-

n-

till

th-

other

1. xii. c. i.

V. Arthur civilis, l. i. c. 2. fect. 11.

BOOK II. other books of the imperial law; and that the profesfors of that law were consulted by him in his most important deliberations. Unhappily SeeGiannoni for him, one of these doctors, named Martin, maintained a thefis, at Roncaglia against another, named Bulgarius, in which he afferted, that the Roman emperor, was, by right, the absolute master of the whole world, and of all the goods of particulars, fo that he might difpose of them at his pleasure. This most abominable doctrine he drew from fome parts of Duck deAuc- the imperial laws, and particularly from fome toritate juris words of Ulpian ill-understood: but though his adversary, who was professor of the civil law at Pifa, endeavoured to vindicate that law from the imputation of fo destructive a principle, the flattering doctor prevailed: his opinion was confirmed by a majority of professors, and Bartolus, one of the most celebrated commentators on the books of Justinian, declares it to be a herefy to contradict or deny it. In consequence of this judgment Frederick fet up fuch claims of universal and despotic authority, that, though in all other respects an excellent prince, he justly raised in the Lombards and other people of the empire fuch an alarm for their liberties, and in other kings fuch a jealoufy, as proved very troublesome and dangerous to him, but of great advantage to Rome, which headed the party of male-contents against him. Indeed, the extravagance of papal pretenfions, in that age, would probably have occasioned the downfall of the popes,

popes, notwithstanding all the aid they drew BOOK II. from the superstition and ignorance of the times, if the almost equal extravagance of the imperial pretenfions had not given them a party, which joined with, and supported them, on political motives. But it must be observed, that whatever countenance the Roman laws, or the professors of them, might afford to these claims of the emperor, the genius and spirit of the feudal laws were fo absolutely contrary to them, that without deftroying those laws, which then were established over the greatest part of Europe, and to the support of which he himself had given a new fanction in the affembly at Roncaglia, it was impossible for him to make them good.

The Decretum of Gratian, and the Books of Feuds, having been published in emulation of the PandeEts, a treatise was also written, about the latter end of Henry the Second's reign, on the laws and customs of England, not professing to be a complete collection of all of them (which the author says, in his presace, see Glanv. would be impossible, from the confused multi-Prolegatude of them, and from the ignorance of writers) but to reduce to writing such of them, as were in general and frequent use in the

king's court.

The title prefixed to this book in the printed edition of the year fixteen hundred and four, and which I find agreeable to an ancient manu-Num. 746. Script in the Harleian library, fays, it was composed in the time of King Henry the Second,

BOOK II. the illustrious Ranulph de Glanville, who of all in those days was the most skilled in the law of the realm and the ancient customs thereof, then

holding the helm of justice.

From these words I infer, that this treatise was not written by Ranulph de Glanville himfelf, but by fome clergyman, under his direction and care; I fay by fome clergyman, because it is written in Latin, which could hardly be done by a layman in that age. The writer apologizes for the style of his work, from the necessity of using the terms of law, with a view to make it more instructive. But though, for this reason, the Latin is frequently impure, the style, in general, is clear, concife, and proper for the fubject; and in method it far exceeds either the Decretum of Gratian, or the Lombard Books of Feuds. It is called by

V. Proleg. Glanville.

C. vi. p. 131. Lord Chief-justice Hale that excellent collection of Glanville; and certainly, if the matter of it was dictated by Glanville, and the writing fupervised, the honor of it may with more reafon be given to him, than to any person who penned it under his directions. The title fays further, that the treatife only contains those laws and customs, according to which pleas were held in the king's court, at the Exchequer, and before the king's justices, ubi cunque fuerint. In the manuscripts from which this edition was printed the whole treatife is divided into fourteen books: but I have feen one, which feems to be of the age of King John or Henry the Third, wherein the divisions are different,

different, and Sir Thomas Craig is of opinion BOOK II. that it was originally in four books; as the Scotch treatife entitled Regiam Majestatem, which is almost a transcript of it, has no more. I cannot affent to this opinion, because I am convinced that the Regiam Majestatem was not published before the reign of David the Second; and we have copies of Glanville which are undoubtedly prior to that time, and are not in four books. The supposition that the Scotch treatife was the original, and that Glanville transcribed from thence the work which goes by his name, will hardly be admitted by any person, who considers the state of England and Scotland in the reign of Henry the Second. The carrying back the introduction of the feudal law contained therein to the times of Malcolm the Second, instead of Malcolm the Third, and understanding the David, by whose command the author fays he compiled it, to be David the First, instead of David the Second, are also notions so discordant to the clearest historical facts, and so discredited by the internal evidence of the book itself in many points, that one is amazed how they could ever have obtained any credit among fome perfons of eminent parts and learning. Not to mention the arguments of Sir Matthew Hale and other Englishmen, of the greatest authority, in opposition to them, Sir Thomas Craig, Feudor, 1. i. the most judicious of all the writers on feudal tit. 8. fect. 70 law, and whose work does honor to Scotland, speaks of the Regiam Majestatem, as stolen VOL. III. from

See Effays upon several Ela, I.

BOOK II. from Glanville's work, and treats the opinion of his countrymen, who supposed it be an original account of their laws, as a miserable blindness and delusion. A late ingenious and learned author, who fills one of the feats of subjects relating to British justice in that part of the united kingdom with Antiquities. an eminent reputation, has likewise brought the most convincing and irrefragable arguments to thew that it could not have been published in Scotland in the reign of David the First; particularly this; that the author of it appears to be well acquainted with the civil law, the knowledge of which had hardly begun to penetrate into England before the death of that monarch, and must, in all probability, have been much longer in making its way into Scotland, which in those days received its learning of every kind from England. I will only add, that the high encomiums on the then reigning king, in the prefaces on both these books, on account of victories gained by him, and fucceffes in war, the fame of which had filled all lands, are very ill applicable to David the First.

The treatife ascribed to Glanville is the most ancient of our law-books now extant; but, many ages before, collections had been made of the Anglo-Saxon laws, by fome of the kings of that nation. Alfred the Great declares, in Leg. Ælfredi, the preface to his laws, that he had collected and configned to writing many of those cuftoms, which had been anciently observed in England, and which he approved; rejecting

V. Wilkins P. 34.

or altering those he disapproved, with the ad-BOOK II. vice of his wife council, (that is, of the Saxon' parliament, or witena-gemote.) He particularly mentions the law of Ina, his ancestor, of Offa king of the Mercians, and of Ethelbert, the first Christian king of the Anglo-Saxons; out of which he had felected those which he thought the best, and omitted the others. His son, V. Leges King Edward the elder, begins his laws with Eadwardi, Wilkins, a command to his judges or magistrates, that p. 48. they should give just judgments, according to V. Spelman's Gloss. Domthe laws, as contained in their Dombec. This BEC. Spelman and Wilkins call, in their Latin tranflation, liber judicialis; and probably it was the collection spoken of by King Alfred in the words above-cited: as no mention is made of it before the times of that prince. It retained its authority till after the reign of King Edgar, in one of whose laws there is a reference to it, concerning a penalty, or mulct. But that V. Wilkins Leges Eadking, in another statute, declares and ordains, wardi, p. 77. that every man, whether poor or rich, shall Leges polienjoy the benefit of the common law: which all tica. our ablest lawyers, who have treated thereof, as well as the best of our antiquaries, unanimoully affirm, to have then confifted, for the most part, of unwritten customs. The same Ibidem, p. 80. prince, in another law, grants a liberty to the Danes, who were fubject to him in England, of chufing for themselves what form of law they liked best, but commands the English to observe what he and his wife-men had added

P 2

BOOK II. to the most ancient laws of this realm, from V. Hoveden the present exigences of the nation. Hoveden Annal. Part. fays, that, after the death of Edgar, the law post. p. 347. of England lay asleep for fixty-seven years, but was awakened and confirmed, by Edward the Confessor, and therefore was called his law, not as being first enacted, or made, by him, but because it had been neglected and forgotten from the decease of his grandfather, King Edgar, who was faid to have been the first founder of it, down to his times. Yet we find fome parts of that law renewed and confirmed by those of Canute the Dane; and it is apparent from the words of Edgar himfelf, that, although he enacted fome new laws, he only confirmed the common law, of which the origin (to use the expression of Lord Chief-justice Hale) is as undiscoverable as the head of the Nile. But there is good reason to believe that Edward the Confessor, not only revived and confirmed that law, (as Edgar had done before him) but made a new compilation, drawn out of all the laws, Mercian, Danish, and West-Saxon, which had prevailed in all the different parts of the kingdom, uniting them into one by the advice of his witena-gemote, or parliament. The collection published under the name of this prince's laws by Lambard and Wilkins, and faid, in the title of them, to have been confirmed by William the Bastard, is justly rejected, as spurious, by the most learned criticks. But those which he did compile,

Hift. of the Common Law, c. 3. P. 55.

See Hickes Differt. p.95. et alios.

and in which, it is probable, that there was a BOOK II. confirmation of all the unwritten customs, not condemned or altered thereby, were received and restored to the nation by William the See the Appendix to the Conqueror, with certain alterations and addi-first book tions he had enacted (as one of his statutes de-numb. II. clares) for the benefit of the English. Some of 1.63. these laws so confirmed, and published by him in the French or Norman language, the reader will find a transcript of, as translated into Latin by Whelock and Wilkins, in the Appendix to the first book of this history, together with all the other statutes, made by this king, which we have reason to believe are genuine, and which are not penal, or relating to criminal matters; and all of that nature being referved to be published in the Appendix to the last volume, together with those enacted by King Henry the Second. Of the laws of Henry the First I have given only his charter; the rest published by Wilkins, though they have got into the Red Book of the Exchequer, being certainly spurious. The charter fays, I restore to you the laws of King Edward with those emendations, that my father made therein by the advice of his barons. Whatever therefore was not altered in that law by his father stands confirmed by this clause; and that law was the whole body of Saxon laws and customs, which had been established under the government of Edward the Confessor. charter of King Stephen expresly confirms all good laws and good customs which the nation had

Ibidem.

BOOK II. had enjoyed in the time of Edward the Confessor. Customs here feem to be mentioned in addition to laws, for the fake of including the unwritten with the written or statute laws. Henry the Second, by his charter, confirmed that of his grandfather: fo that from the reign of King Edgar to the first of the Plantagenets, inclusively, the common law has received repeated fanctions, and been delivered down as the great birthright and inheritance of the nation. But that feveral statutes, or acts of parliament, made both under the Saxon and Norman kings, before and during the times of which I write, either to explain or alter that law in many points, have been loft, though the practice grounded upon them continued, I have not the least doubt. It also appears that some feudal customs and prerogatives of the crown arifing out of that policy, the use and exercise of which had been complained of, under the gentle administration of Henry the Second, were afterwards limited, or taken away, by express laws: and on the other hand, it is well observed by Lord Coke, that, " to his never dying-honor, many acts made in

Coke's Inflit.

vol. ii. c. 15. " the reign of Henry the Third do refer to his note. p. 29. " reign that maters should be put in use, as " they were of right accustomed in his time." I cannot better conclude this fubject, than with the encomium made upon him, in the preface of the treatife ascribed to Glanville, with relation to his civil government, and more particularly to his conduct in the administration

nistration of justice. The words are these: BOOK II.

" How justly, how discreetly, and how mer"

" cifully, in time of peace, he, the author and

" lover of peace, has behaved himself towards

" his subjects, is very well known. When

" fuch is the equity of his Highness's court, that not one of the judges there has so

" hardened a front, or fo rash a presumption,

" as to decline from the path of juffice, or give

" any opinion contrary to truth. For there

" the poor is not oppressed by the power of

" his adversary, nor does the favor or credit of

" friends drive any person from the seat of

" judgment. All the proceedings are grounded upon the laws of the kingdom, or reason-

" able customs established by a long usage: and

" (what is ftill more laudable) our king does

" not disdain to be directed by the advice of

" his fubjects as he knows to excel others in

" gravity of manners, in knowledge of the

" law and customs of the realm, and whom

" he has found by experience to be the most

" prompt and expeditious, as far as reason and justice will permit, in determining

" causes and ending suits, by acting sometimes

" with rigour and sometimes with lenity, as

" they see to be most proper."

On these last words I would observe, that, as in those days there was no distinct court of equity, the judges of the king's court had probably a power of mitigating in some cases the rigour of the law. But however this may have been, the testimony given to the wisdom

P 4

and

duties, the administration of justice, if not by the grand-justiciary himself, yet certainly by one who wrote according to his sentiments, is of no little weight: and it will be shewn, from still more unquestionable evidence, from the acts of that prince, and from the reverence paid by foreign powers to the same of his justice, that the praise he received from his subjects, in this and other contemporary writings,

was not adulation.

Of what orders of men the English parliament was composed, in the times of which I write, is a question much disputed, and which can never, I believe, be fo absolutely decided, as to put an end to any difference of opinion about it; especially if the controversy should be supported and sharpened, (as it has formerly been) by the spirit of party; as by what is no less unfriendly to the discovery of truth, attachment to a system. But happily the enquiry is rather matter of curiofity than real importance; because the right of the commons to a share in the legislature and national councils, even according to the hypothesis of those who are most unfavorable to them, has antiquity enough to give it all the establishment which can be derived from long cuftom, and all the reverence and authority, which time and experience can add, in the opinions of men, to the speculative reason and fitness of wife institutions. I therefore treat of this queftion, rather as it is a necessary part of my subject,

ject, than as worthy in itself of any very BOOK II. anxious investigation: nor do I pretend to do more than draw together fome rays of light, fcattered in a few important records, and in fome passages of the most authentick contemporary historians, fubmitting the result of them to the judgement of the reader, with very great

diffidence of my own.

If we look to the best accounts of original customs of the ancient German nations, we shall find, that, in their communities, all the freeholders enjoyed an equal right with the nobles, to affift in deliberations on affairs of great moment. When they made their first fettlements in any foreign country, and while their numbers were moderate, this right might be exercifed, without any great inconvenience, by the whole body of the freeholders affembling together on open plains. That it was exercifed in this manner by the Anglo-Saxon peo- Vid. Matt. ple, after they came into Britain, we are af- Westmon. sub. ann. fured by an historian of no mean authority, 1213. Matthew of Westminster, who says, "that 15 Johan. the meadow near Staines, in which the great charter was granted by King John, had the name of runemeed, which, in the Saxon language, fignified the meadow of counsel, because, from ancient times it had been usual to confult there, upon bufiness which concerned the peace of the kingdom." But this custom had been disused under the government of the Normans, and (fo far as I can discover) for fome time before; perhaps from the time that

BOOK II. the Saxon heptarchy was united into one kingdom. Nor do I find a fingle instance of its being ever revived, till that extraordinary meeting in the reign of King John; all the parliaments, or great councils, whereof we have any account before, having been held in churches, abbies, or royal caftles. It should feem therefore, that, if the right of the freeholders continued, the greater part of them must have exercised it, not personally, as they did in ancient times, but by reprefenta-We are affured, by a record which Dr. Brady has cited, that, fo late as in the fifteenth year of King John, not only the greater barons, but all the inferior tenants in chief of the crown, had a right to be fummoned to parliament by particular writs. We may therefore conclude, that, till that time, no reprefentatives had been fent by any of thefe to serve for them in parliament; but they were accustomed to attend the great councils of the nation in their own persons. they yet become fo numerous, as that they might not be contained in the body of a church, or the great hall of an abbey or a caftle. But these were far from being all the freeholders of the kingdom. Under that description were comprehended all who held of the barons, either by knight-fervice or free foccage, and all the possessors of alodial estates, with all the free inhabitants of cities and boroughs not holding of the crown. The number of these was too great to be contained in any building, how

See Brady's Answer to Petit, in his Introduction to the Hift, of Engl. p. 40. 68.

how spacious soever. We are therefore to en-BOOK II. quire, whether, during the times of which I treat in this history, all these men were either wholly excluded from parliament, or were present there by any kind of representation. Some learned writers have supposed, that every fuperior lord, who held of the king immediately and in chief, being the head of his tenants in all the degrees of fubinfeudation, whatever he agreed to in matters of government bound all his vassals. For which reason Sir H. Spelman gives it as his opinion, "that in making laws of the kingdom the common people were not confulted with, but only the barons, and those which held in capite, who were then called in concilium regni, And the common people being, by way of tenure, under one or other of them, did then by him that was their chief lord (as by their tribute or procurator, and as now by the knights of the shire) consent or differ in law-making, and are not therefore named in the title of any ancient laws." But, though it may appear that this notion had indeed fome foundation in the genius and contexture of the ftrict feudal fystem then established in England, it must be observed, that the possessors of alodial estates, in the number of which were all the parochial clergy, having no fuperior lord to act for them in parliament, could not be thus represented, or virtually bound by the acts of the king's barons, to whom they were not attached by any feudal connexion, and of whom they held nothing. I would likewise remark,

d

e

(e

BOOK II. remark, that the knights, citizens, and burgeffes, who are now the representatives of the commons of England, are elected by those for whom they ferve; all their power is derived to them from their electors; and, upon a diffolution of the parliament, and the calling of a new one, those electors are again at liberty to make a new choice: whereas the representatives, which Sir H. Spelman has supposed in his hypothesis, were neither elected, nor liable to be changed at any period of time, by those they represented; their right to fit in parliament not arifing from any trust conferred by the people, but wholly from their tenures. Indeed it feems improper, and a force on the words, to call them representatives or procura-But further, it is certain, that the feudal fuperiority was the same under the government of Henry the Third as of William the First, and continued so for some ages. If therefore the barons, and fuperior lords of great fiefs, holden immediately of the crown, had, by virtue of the inflitutions of William the First, being supposed to represent their valfals in parliament, and the notion was then, that every feudatory, holding by a mesne tenure, was bound by the parliamentary acts of his lord, how came that notion to be difcarded in the forty ninth year of Henry the Third, or under the reign of his fon, or at any time afterwards, while the feudal constitution remained in this kingdom? A baron, who held of the crown, was to all

all intents and purposes the head of his BOOK II. vassals, in the reigns of Edward the First and Edward the Third, as much as in any of the preceding reigns. How happened it then, that the confent of those vasfals to the making of laws, or any other act of moment to the publick, was not ftill included in the vote of their lord? why was it given, against the course of former proceedings, not by him, as their representative, but by knights of the shires, or by citizens, or by burgesses, chosen by the vaffals? Some learned men have afferted, that this change was brought about by the power of the earl of Leicester, in the forty ninth year of Henry the Third. But we have a record which demonstrates that date to be false. A writ of summons directed to the sheriffs of Bedfordshire and Buckinghamshire, and requiring two knights to be fent for each of those counties, is extant in the close roll of the thirty eighth year of Henry the Third. And there is a clause in the great See the Charcharter of the ninth of the same king, where- ter in Dr. Blackeston's by it is declared, that, together with the spiri-edition. ual and temporal lords, other inferior freeholders, et omnes de regno, by which words I understand the whole commonalty of the realm, granted to the king the fifteenth part of all their moveable goods, in return for the liberties accorded to them in that charter. Nor can I discover, in the history of those times, any reason sufficient to render it probable, that to great an alteration should then have been made

BOOK II. made in the constitution of England. But, if it had been made, it must naturally have produced fome disputes, which would have been taken notice of by fome of the many historians, who lived in that age, and who have left very large and particular accounts of less important transactions. The Roman history is full of the diffentions and struggles between the patricians and plebeians. The fame contests likewife appear in feveral other mixed governments, both ancient and modern; and every advantage, which the ariftocratical or the popular powers obtained in those contests, is distinctly marked by historians. But the English history is quite filent as to any disputes between the nobility. and the people, on this account, from the earliest times of the Saxon government, down to the reign of Charles the First. Soon after the times of which I write, we find the king and the barons engaged in civil wars, on account of disputes between the royal prerogative and the liberties of the nation, in which the barons were supported by the arms of the commons: but there is not the least traces, in that part of our history of any diffention between the barons and commons concerning this queltion. From whence, I think, we may prefume, that the right of the commons must have been incontestably established by custom, and interwoven into the original frame of our govern-For, that the admission of all the lower orders of freemen, or indeed of any large number, to the great council of the kingdom, and to

a par-

a participation of the legislative power, which BOOK II they had no right to before, should be so easily brought about, as to pass unobserved by any writer who lived in that age, is hardly conceivable. Even if we suppose (as some have done) that the fitting in parliament, which is now thought fo valuable a privilege, was then regarded only as a trouble and burthen, the laying that onerous obligation on orders of men, who had been before exempt from it, must naturally have met with refiftance, and opposition, on their part. But that it was generally feen in a very different light may be inferred from the act of the fourth of Edward the Third, which is thus worded, " It is ac-" corded, that a parliament shall be holden " every year once, and more often, if need be." The prefumption is ftrong, that they to whom the king accorded this statute considered the fervice in parliament as a privilege, of which they earnestly defired the frequent enjoyment: otherwife, they would not have petitioned the crown to call them to it so often, and bound the king, by an express law, not to omit or neglect it. And it is highly probable that this law did only confirm ancient usage. nothing appears in the wording of it, or in the history of the times, to induce one to believe, that it made any change in the English constitution. We know indeed that some boroughs, which, from their poverty, were unable to bear the expence of fending members to parliament declined the use of that privi-

BOOK II. lege: but no argument can be drawn, from these particular instances, to the general sense of the commons, in counties, cities, or other more wealthy boroughs. As for the nobilty, whose power was never higher than in the reign of Henry the Third, it feems incredible, that if the whole legislative authority had, before that time, been always placed in them and the king, they should not have opposed the extension of it to so many persons of lower rank in the state. And with regard to the earl of Leicester, it was not his interest, while he was acting at the head of the nobles and people, in a very dangerous contest against the crown, to make any innovations offensive or distasteful to either of those bodies. Nor is it V.Rot. Clauf. probable that any new inftitution, begun by that earl, should have been confirmed and per-

24 Edw. I. in dorfo.

petuated by Edward the First,

Among the close rolls of the twenty fourth year of that king, there is a writ of fummons to parliament, in which it is afferted, not as an innovation introduced by the earl of Leicester, but a maxim grounded on a most equitable law, established by the foresight and wisdom of sacred princes, that what concerned all should be done with the approbation of all; and that dangers to the whole community should be obviated by remedies provided by the whole community.

Some very eminent writers have supposed, that none but the king's inferior tenants in chief were at first represented by the knights of shires: but there is no sufficient evidence to

fupport

fupport that opinion. On the contrary, it ap-BOOK II. pears from some of the most ancient writs now remaining, that the knights were sent to represent the whole community of the county: and how this expression should signify the inferior tenants in chief exclusively of all the other freeholders, I do not well comprehend.

There is not in any of those writs, nor in the oldest we have for sending up representatives from cities or boroughs, the least intimation, that fuch elections were a novelty then introduced. But some writs are taken notice of by Mr. Tyrrel, a diligent searcher into re- See Tyrrel's cords on this subject, which set forth a claim II. vol. iii. of certain tenants in ancient demesne, before p. 60, 61. the fifteenth year of Edward the Second, that they ought not to be charged with wages to knights of the shire; for a smuch as they and their ancestors, tenants of the same manor, had, from time beyond memory, been always exempted, by custom, from the expences of knights, sent by the community of their county to the parliaments of the king, and of his royal progenitors. If no wages had been ever paid to knights of the shire till the reign of Henry the Third, it would have been preposterous for these men to tell the grandfon of that king, that they had enjoyed a customary privilege of not paying fuch wages from time beyond memory, which is defined by our law-books, to be a time antecedent to the beginning of the reign of King Richard the First; and must be supposed, VOL. III. when

BOOK II. when this exemption was claimed, to go much further back.

With regard to cities and boroughs, there are likewise extant two claims, made in the reigns of Edward the Second and Edward the Third, the proceedings upon which feem decifive of the fense of that age, concerning the antiquity of the custom of citizens and burgesses coming to parliament, and from towns that were held under fubiects, not immediately of the crown; I mean the claims of the towns of St. Albans and Barnstable: to which I See the notes will add the testimony of the whole legislature to this book, within the same age. By a statute of the fifth and Madox's year of Richard the Second it is enacted, Excheq.c.17." that all and fingular persons and commo-" nalties, which from henceforth shall have the

" henceforth to the parliaments in the manner See Keble's Statutes & Ric. II. Stat. 2.

1382, C. 4.

" as they are bound to do, and have been accustomed, within the realm of England, of et ann. dom. " old times. And if any person of the same " realm which from henceforth shall have the " faid fummons, (be he archbishop, bishop, ab-

" fummons of the parliament, shall come from

" bot, prior, duke, earl, baron, baneret, knight " of the sbire, citizen of city, burgess of borough, or

" other fingular person or commonalty) do " absent himself, and come not at the said " fummons (except he may reasonably and

" honeftly excuse him to our lord the king) he

" shall be amerced and otherwise punished, ac-" cording as of old times hath been used to be

" done within the faid realm in the faid cafe."

No

No distinction is made in this statute between BOOK II. the antiquity of fummons to parliament fent to the greater nobility, and those to citizens, burgefles, and knights of the shires. spoken of as having been accustomed of old times to come thither, and the ancient penalties for non-attendance are referred to as the rule for punishing those who should absent themselves It feems very difficult, if not for the future. impossible, to reconcile these expressions to the opinion of those, who date the admission of the commons into the parliaments of this realm, fo near to the times when this statute was enacted, as the reign of Edward the First, or of Henry the Third. But besides these authorities, drawn from statutes and records, very evident indications of the presence of the people in the national councils, and of their being conflituent parts thereof, though, indeed, in a confused, disorderly manner, are to be found in fome ancient histories, and contemporary accounts of transactions in parliament, during the times which I write of; viz. from the death of Edward the Confessor to that of Henry the Second.

e

e

)-

or

lo

id

nd

he

c-

be

. 12

Jo

Nevertheless it is certain, that in those times, and long afterwards, ordinary business, and even some arduous affairs of the kingdom, were frequently treated of, and determined, by the nobles alone, who met according to ancient custom, three times in a year, namely, on the great festivals of Christmas, Easter, and Whitfuntide. It would have been too incon-

Q 2

venient

BOOK II. venient to fummon fo often, and from the most distant parts of England, all the citizens, burgeffes and knights of the shires; nor could their conftituents have supported the expence of their wages and travelling charges. But the affembly of the nobles was convened with more ease, and appears to have acted, not only as a council of state, and supreme court of judicature, but as being authorifed, by permiffion and common confent, to exercise some degree of parliamentary power, the limits of which were not accurately defined. The king was always prefent in it, and fometimes wore his crown, as he does now in full parliament; all the nobility likewise being dreft in their robes. We are told by some ancient writers, that William the First kept his court, and held these assemblies, at Christmas in Glocester, at Easter in Winchester, and at Whitsuntide in Westminster: but it also appears, that he convened them occasionally in some of his other For intending to celebrate his Christmas at York, in the year one thousand and fixty nine, he ordered his crown and other regalia to be carried thither from Winchester. This change of place was for the eafier dispatch of bufiness in the several counties, and that all parts of the kingdom might be favored, in their turns, with the benefit of these meetings, wherein our monarchs displayed their utmost state and magnificence. William of Malmfoury fays, that, on fuch occasions, the abovementioned prince made very splendid feasts, for

the

ChonSaxon. Malmib. et Huntindon. Will. I.

V. Ord. Vital. l. iv. fub. ann. 1069.

L. iii. f. 63.

the entertainment of those who came to attend BOOK II. his council; and fummoned thither all his fpiritual and temporal nobles, that the embaffadors of foreign nations might admire the pomp of fo numerous an affembly, as well as the fumptuousness of the banquets provided for them. The fame magnificence in feafting was continued by his fucceffor, but dropt by Henry the First. Stephen revived it, with great lustre, at the beginning of his reign, and would doubtless, have persevered in it till the end of his life, if the troubles of his kingdom, and the wretched poverty, which they foon brought upon him, had not prevented him from indulging the liberality of his nature. It has been mentioned in the former part of this book, that Henry the Second wore his crown, in meetings of this nature, at Lincoln and Worcester; in the last of which cities he made a solemn vow, that he would wear it no more. But the omission of this ceremony did not alter the custom of summoning the nobility, at the usual feafons of the year, when the king was in England. It frequently happened, that the occasions for calling the commons to parliament fell in with those festivals; and in that case, I presume, the summons being sent to the counties, cities, and boroughs, converted fuch councils into full and compleat parliaments. Of this we have an instance in the first year of King Stephen, which is particularly confidered in one of the notes to this book, among other proofs, drawn from history, of

BOOK II, the presence of the commons in the parliaments of this kingdom, during the period from the death of Edward the Confessor to that of Henry the Second. There is also reason to believe, that the abovementioned council, held at Worcester, was a full parliament. But neither the number of representatives, nor the modes of representation, were so absolutely fixed, as not to be liable to occasional variations at the will of the crown. Perhaps the principal magistrates of cities and boroughs may, at fome times, have been deputed, by virtue of their offices, to represent those communities. And it feems, that in conformity to the ancient German custom, so far as could be practifed when the affemblies of the nation were no longer convened in open plains, none of the inferior orders of freemen, residing in or near the place where the parliament met, were excluded from attending it in their own perfons; the number of them being only limited by the capacity of the building in which they affembled. Much confusion must have arisen from a liberty of this nature, and it certainly was a great improvement of the English conftitution, when the lords were seperated from the commons, and none of the latter admitted into the national councils, but by a regular and fixed method of representation. Among the freeholders of whose presence in parliamentary meetings a distinct notice is taken by the historians of the times treated of in this work, we find many of the inferior, fecular clergy, an order of men who were, certainly, of too BOOK IL. great estimation and account in the state, not to have had a share in the legislature, either personally, or by representatives. There are not, indeed, any writs of fummons now remaining, which require proctors to be fent for them to the parliaments of this kingdom before the twenty third year of Edward the First: V. Annal. but from the annals of Burton it appears, that Burton, the whole body of the clergy were fo repre- ann. 1255. fented in the thirty ninth of Henry the See also one Third. Nor is it remarked as a novelty by of the Notes to this book. any of the historians who wrote in that age, though, being all ecclefiafticks, they probably would have thought it more worthy of observation, than any event wherein the laity alone were concerned. It may be therefore prefumed, that, not only the attendance of the inferior clergy in parliament, which is evidently proved by many passages in more ancient historians, but this kind of representation of them had been customary long before. In later times, from a defire of independance on the state, to which they were incited more and more by the pope, they gradually withdrew themselves from any attendance in parliament, either personally, or by representation; so that, after the reign of Henry the Sixth, they are hardly ever mentioned as present there; al- v. Rot. Parl though, in the twenty first year of Richard the 21. R. II. Second, the commons had shewn, in a petition to the king, how that before those times many

See Hody .

429, 430,

BOOK II. many judgements and ordinances, made in the times of the progenitors of our lord the king in parliament, had been repealed and disannulled, because the state of the clergy were not present in parliament at the making of the said judgements and ordinances. Upon the reformation of religion, in the reign of Edward the Sixth, an attempt was made in convocation to have Hift. of Conthe lower house united to the house of comvocations, p. mons, according to ancient custom, sicut ab antiquo fieri consuevit. It was also proposed to Queen Elizabeth, but rejected. The clergy con-

to Burnet's Hift. of the numb. 18.

tinued to tax themselves in a separate body, till See Append, the restoration of Charles the Second; soon after which they were taxed in the same manner Reformation and conjointly with the rest of the commons; and have ever fince been represented in parliament by the fame persons; which has more embodied them with the laity, and prevents the fetting up of a church interest distinct from that of the people. It is remarkable, that this very important alteration in the state of this kingdom was made without any law, by agreement with the clergy. And thus feveral others may have happened before, in the methods by which those, to whom our ancient constitution had given a share in the legislative power, exercised that great privilege, during the course of so many centuries, as have paffed fince the Saxons, or even fince the Normans first came into this island. Some orders of men, who had before attended personally in our

our great councils, or parliaments, may, from BOOK II. the encrease of their numbers, or from other motives of convenience, have come by reprefentatives; and the mode of representation may have occasionally varied: but all this, I prefume, was done, and the whole system of those affemblies was finally fettled, without any change in the principles of the ancient constitution, and on the foundation of undisputed, original rights. The presence of the people in the Saxon councils, and their having had a share in the highest acts of legislature and government, even till the entrance of the Normans, feems to be proved very strongly, from the preambles of laws and other proceedings of those councils, and from the words of the best historians who lived near to those times. On this long usage, I conceive, their right was established: and it appears to have been continued under William the Conqueror, with other customs and rights confirmed by him to the nation; and under his fucceffors, by like fanctions of ancient liberties granted in repeated royal charters. Accordingly we find, that fo long ago as the fecond year of King Henry the Fifth, the house of commons affert, in their petition to the king, that it ever hath been their liberty and freedom, that there should no statute or law be made without their affent; and that they are, and ever have been, a member of the parliament: which claim was not disallowed, either by the lords or the king.

Upon

D. 22.

BOOK II. Upon the whole, it feems that the parliaments, during the times which I write of, contained in them the first elements of those we have now: but were only a rough draught, in which regularity and decorum were absolutely wanting. Nor was that ballance of power, which makes the perfection of our prefent conflitution, yet fixed in those affemblies. the property of the commons was fo unequal to that of the nobles, and the feudal obliga-V.Ret. Parl. tions of the inferior landholders, to the lords 2 H. V. p. 2. they held under, created fuch a dependance of the former on the latter, that although, in the idea and scheme of the government, a popular power was mixed with the regal and ariftocratical, yet, in reality, the scale of the people was not weighty enough, to make a proper counterpoise to either of the other. changes made in the peerage, the relaxation of feudal laws, and the diffusion of wealth among the lower orders of freemen, produced afterwards a great difference in the state of the legislature: but the history of these events belongs not to my fubject.

All feudal governments were monarchial, and could no more subsist without a king, then any army without a general, the royal power being confidered as the fource of all dignity and command in that fystem. But neither could monarchy in fuch a government be fuftained without a nobility, nor that nobility without inferior orders of freeholders; the feu-

dal notions requiring all thefe ranks in commu-BOOK IT. nity, and connecting them together by reciprocal duties. The degrees of power appropriated to each of these orders were different in different countries, and even in the same countries at different periods. During the age that I write of, the regal power in this kingdom, though limited by a mixture of Aristocracy, and Democracy, was very great. The execution of all laws was entrufted to the king, and none could be made, repealed, or altered, without his affent. It was by him that the whole state exerted its energy, either in peace or in war. He treated with foreign powers; he made alliances and confederacies, offensive or defensive; by him peace was concluded, by him war was declared. He was the general of the armies formed by knight-fervice, or by commutations for that fervice; nor could any other species of military force exist in the realm, without being subject to his orders, as commander in chief. Appeals were carried to him from all the inferior courts of juffice; and in his own court he exercised a sovereign judicature, without appeal. He had many offices to bestow, which created him a great number of dependants and friends: but his chief power arose from the multitude of fiefs, which, by escheat, or by forfeiture, were continually falling into his hands. The influence, our present government may be supposed to derive from the emoluments it confers, is by no means equal to that, which the crown must have

BOOK II. have obtained, while the feudal law was in vigour, from a prudent conduct in the disposal of these vacant fiefs. A place, or pension, held during the pleafure of the king, or even for life, is a much less valuable gift, than lands of inheritance, fome of which had great dignities and privileges annexed to them, besides their rents and profits. A court, which had fuch immenle and lafting benefits to confer on those it favored, must have had many suitors, among all ranks of men, perpetually follicitous to gain its good will, and, by confequence, ready to obey its orders. Nor, when baronies, or other fiefs, had been granted by the king, did the dependance upon his favor, with regard to those possessions, entirely cease. For the right of wardship over the heirs, in case of minorites, made all the great families afraid of offending the fovereign, who might happen foon to have the custody and education of their children committed to him by law, as well as the care of their estates, during the time of fuch custody. And certainly there could not be a more irrefiftible bribe to avarice, ambition, or love, than the hand of a rich, a noble, or a beautiful heirefs, which the king, as feudal lord, was often able to grant. This power alone, as it affected the interests and passions of men in the highest degree, was greater than any the crown possesses now, and very dangerous to the public.

Another feudal prerogative was the altering See Baronia, of the fervice by which lands were held; of l. i. p. 32. which

which Mr. Madox gives an inftance under BOOK II. King John, who ordered an effate, which under his brother, King Richard, had been held by knight-fervice, to be held by the fervice of

the falconrie, a species of serjeanty.

The wealth of the crown in the times of which I write, was a great support of its power. The ancient demesne, or land estate of the crown, See Brady as recorded in Domesday-book by William Hist. W. I. the First, consisted of fourteen hundred and Davenant on twenty-two manors in different counties, be- Refumptions fides fome scattered lands and farms, not com- p. 105. prehended therein, and quit rents paid out of feveral other manors. Much of this ancient patrimony of the kings of England was alienated in the reign of King Stephen; but the refumption made by Henry the Second (of which an account has been given in the former part of this book) recovered all those alienations, except only the lands which had been granted to the church, and which, probably, did not exceed what must be added to the number in Domesday-book, viz. the estates of the crown in the four northern counties, and in some parts of Wales, which were fubdued after the death of the Conqueror, who caused that survey to be made. It is therefore evident, that a vast share of the lands of-England was poffeffed by Henry the Second, which was a conftant support to the royal dignity, independent of all taxes or impositions on his fubjects, and which was confidered as a facred and inalienable patrimony, transmitted

BOOK II. to him from his ancesters, the ancient kings of England; for it appears by Domefday-book, that all the demesne lands, assigned therein to the crown, belonged to it in the reign of Edward the Confessor. But it will be necessary, in treating of the royal revenue, to give a particular account of that famous record, which is called by Sir H. Spelman, if not the most ancient, yet without controversy the most venerable monument of Great Britain. It confifts of two volumes, which, together, contain a description of all the lands in England, except the four northern counties, made by order of William the First, with the advice of his parliament, in the year one thousand and eighty-fix. But it feems not to have been finished till the following year, which was the last of that king. For the execution of this great furvey, some of V. L. Eliensis his barons were sent commissioners into every MSS.Cotton, shire, and juries summoned in each hundred,

> to the lowest farmers, who were fworn to inform the commissioners what was the name of each manor, who had held it in the time of Edward the Confessor, and who held it then; how many hides, how much wood, how much pasture, how much meadow land it contained;

Lib. Tiberius out of all orders of freemen, from barons down A. vi.

> how many ploughs were in the demesne part of it, and how many in the tenanted part; how many mills, how many fish-ponds, or fisheries, belonged to it; what had been added to it or taken away from it; what was the value of the whole together in the time of King Edward, what when granted by William, what

at the time of this furvey; and whether it BOOK II. might be improved, or advanced in its value. They were likewife to mention all the tenants of every degree, and how much each of them had held, or did hold at that time; and what was the number of the flaves. Nay, they were even to return a particular account of the live flock on each manor. These inquisitions, or verdicts, were first methodised in the county, and afterwards fent up into the king's Exche-The leffer Domefday-book contains the originals fo returned from the three counties of Effex, Norfolk, and Suffolk. In these the live flock is noted. The greater book was compiled, by the officers of the Exchequer, from the other returns, with more brevity, and a total omission of this article, which (as appears by the expressions of contemporary historians) gave much offence to the people; probably, because they apprehended, that the design of the king, in requiring fuch an account, was to make it a foundation for fome new imposition. And this apprehension appears to have extended itself to the whole survey at that time. But, whatever jealoufy it may have raifed, it certainly was a work of great benefit to the publick; the knowledge it gave to the government of the state of the kingdom being a most necessary groundwork for many improvements, with relation to agriculture, trade, and the encrease of the people, in different parts of the country; as well as a rule to proceed by, in the levying of taxes. It was also of no small utility

BOOK II. utility for the ascertaining of property, and for the fpeedy decision or prevention of law-fuits. In this light it is confidered by the author of the dialogue de Scaccario, who speaks of it as

L. i. c. 16. the completion of good policy and royal care for the advantage of his realm in William the Conqueror; and fays, it was done to the intent, that every man should be satisfied with his own right, and not usurp with impunity what belonged to another. He likewise adds, that

it was called Domesday-book by the English, because a sentence, arising from the evidence there contained, could no more be appealed from, or eluded, than the final doom at the day of judgement. From this authority given to

it, one should suppose that the verdicts, on which the register had been grounded, were found, in general, to be faithful; notwith-

flanding the confession made by Ingulphus, abbot of Croyland, that with respect to his abbey, V. Hift. Inthe return was partial and false. For it does

Gale, p. 79 not appear that the defign imputed to Ralph Flambard, as minister to William Rusus, of making another and more rigorous inquifition, was ever put in execution, or that any amendments were made in either of the books. I

must observe, that many lands are declared in those records to be of much greater value when this furvey was made, than in the time of Edward the Confesior, and capable of being still very confiderably improved by more cultiva-

tion. But from other evidence it appears, that the four northern counties, were then, for the

moft

gulph. edit.

most part, in a waste and desolate condition; BOOK II. which, I presume, was one reason of their not being furveyed together with the others. It is furprising that this defect was not afterwards fupplied by a fimilar inquifition. The abovementioned author of the dialogue de Scaccario tells us, that, from the Norman conquest till the reign of Henry the First, the rents due to L. i. c. 7. the king were accustomed to be paid in provifions and necessaries for his houshold; but that prince, about the middle or towards the end of his reign, being moved with the complaints which were frequently brought to him, from those who tilled his demesne lands, of the great oppressions they suffered, by being obliged to bring victuals and other provisions, for the use of his houshold, to different parts of the kingdom, from their own dwellings, did, with the advice of his parliament, fend commissioners over England, to take an estimate of the value of what they thus paid in kind; and thefe, reducing it into money, appointed the sheriff of each county to put together all the fums arising from the faid lands contained therein, and account with the Exchequer for the whole collection. Yet it is certain, notwithstanding the authority of this treatife, which is kept among our records, that before the reign of Henry the First, the rents of the crown from those who occupied its lands in ancient demesne, were often paid in money. But the converting all fuch rents, in the manner abovedescribed, into pecuniary payments, is a me--Vol. III. morable.

BOOK II. morable, act of that reign. If a moderate composition was taken (as there is reason to believe) this alteration was a great relief to the tenants. But though the revenue of the crown was leffened thereby in real value, the money brought into the treasury, which might be applied to any fervices, of war or other exigencies, was in many respects more commodious and more defirable for the king. And the frequent occasion Henry had for supplies of this kind, by reason of the quarrels he was engaged in for the defence of his territories or allies on the continent, must naturally have inclined him to prefer this mode of payment to a greater profit from the methods before in wife.

From the account before given of the number of manors belonging to the crown, as its ancient inheritance, it appears that the king, in the times of which I write, was beyond comparison the greatest landholder in England: but, befides his demesnes, he had frequently in his possession, by escheats, seizures, or forfeitures, the lands of many of his vaffals. See Madox's How confiderable a revenue arose from hence to the crown may be judged from thefe c. 10. p.203, instances. In the seventeenth year of King Henry the Second there were in his hands feven baronies, of which four belonged to earldoms; and in the thirty first of the same king eight baronies, belonging likewife to earldoms, the lands annexed to the office of constable of England, with twelve other baronies, or knights-

Hift. of the Exchequer, 204, 205.

Sideront

fees of great value. Many leffer offices and BOOK II. fiefs of different kinds often fell to the crown by devolution or forfeiture, all which produced together a very ample income. greater escheats were let at farm, or committed to the cuftody of persons appointed by the king, to whom they accounted for the profits. Mr. Madox fays, (though with some doubt) that, about the latter end of King Henry the Second's reign, the officers of the Exchequer began to form an escheatry. It appears that in this reign, the vacant bishopricks and other prelacies, which were of royal foundation, efcheated to the crown; and till a new election P. 111, ad was made of a bishop or abbot, the king en-ann. 1100, joyed the revenues and profits of those sees, as he did of other escheats. Peter of Blois, in his continuation of Ingulphus, affirms, that William Rufus, feduced by the counfels of Ralph Flambard, his principal minister, was the first king of England who began the evil practice of retaining to his own benefit these facred revenues, which his father, and all his Anglo-Saxon predeceffors, had religiously and firictly refunded to the next fucceeding prelates. This testimony is confirmed by Ordericus Vitalis, who fays that, before the entrance P. 678, 679. of the Normans, the custom of England was, ad. ann. that the bishop of the diocese took care of the revenues of vacant abbies therein, and the archbishop, in like manner, of vacant bishopricks in his province. Both thele writers exclaim against the alteration made by William Rufus, as a facrilegious invation of the goods

R 2

See Giannone Hift.

de Napol.

1. x. c. 12.

V. Petrum Blefenf. fup. p. 111. ad ann. 1100.

BOOK II. of the church. Yet it was certainly justifiable by the feudal principles then established by law in England. For churchmen who held their temporalities of the crown, as baronial effates, having no heirs who could claim by descent from them, their fiefs at their deceafe, reverted to the crown, as all other baronies did upon failure of heirs, and for the same feudal reasons. Nor was the king less entitled, as immediate lord of fuch fiefs, to the revenues and profits of these lands, than of the others so escheated. But the keeping bishopricks and abbies void, beyond a reasonable time, for the sake of retaining fuch profits, was undoubtedly blamable. Peter of Blois fays, that William Rufus, under the colour of feeking a fit paftor with long deliberation, kept all dignities in the church a great while vacant, and fold them at last to the best bidder, except in the fingle promotion of Anselm to Canterbury, which he made in a fit of fickness. He also tells us, that this monarch had in his hands at his death the archbishoprick of Canterbury, four bishopricks, and eleven abbies, which he had let out to farmers. Henry the First in his charter promised that he would neither sell nor let out to farm the holy church of God; nor, upon the death of an archbishop, bishop, or abbot, would he receive any thing from the domain of the church, or from the tenants thereof, till the fuccessor should enter upon it. Yet there is great reason to believe, that before the end of his reign the feudal notions prevailed to the abolition of this law, except with regard to the fimony, by fome statute now loft. It appears by the great roll, which BOOK II. is called the fifth of King Stephen, but which evidently belongs to the latter years of his pre- V.Differtat. decessor, (as Mr. Madox has proved) that the Epi'ol. De. M. Rotulo revenues of these dignities were let out to farm, Scaccarii. during the time of a vacancy, by Henry the First, as they had been by William Rufus. Stephen indeed, by his fecond charter, promised to put all vacant sees, with the possesfions belonging to them, into the hands of the clergy, or persons belonging to the church, till the vacancy was supplied; but he paid no regard to this promise; and though Henry the Second confirmed his grandfather's charter, he did not act in this instance conformably to it, but afferted his right both to the custody and profits of the fees, which were held of his crown, by one of the constitutions of Clarendon: I fay afferted his right, because those statutes were only made in affirmance of the law and customs of the kingdom, asthey had been established in the time of his grandfather, King Henry the First. Nor do we find by any letters, or other evidence of those days, that the repugnancy of this claim to the charter of that prince was ever objected by Becket, or any of his adherents, who would hardly have failed to remark it, and avail themselves of it, against the proceedings at Clarendon, if they had not known that a fufficient and undeniable answer could be made to the charge. It must be likewife observed, that the crown was left in posfession of these escheats, by the great charter of King John, and by those of his fon. It R 3 may

BOOK II. may therefore well be prefumed, that this part of the charter of king Henry the First had been abrogated by fome statute enacted in his reign, which Henry the Second, notwithstanding the general confirmation he had given to that charter, renewed and enforced, with the confent of his parliament, by the constitutions of Clarendon, which will be particularly treated of in the following book. Of what value the efcheats of spiritual baronies were to the crown, in those days may be judged from the number which it appears by the rolls were in the hands See Madox's of this king, in the fixteenth, nineteenth, and thirty first years of his reign: namely, in the c. 10. p. 209 fixteenth one archbishoprick, five bishopricks, and three abbies; in the nineteenth one archbishoprick, five bishopricks, and fix abbies; and in the thirty first, one archbishoprick, fix bishopricks, and feven abbies. It appears that the bishoprick of Lincoln was kept vacant for eighteen years together: the reason of which I shall have occasion to mention hereafter. But I would observe here, that as it was scandalous, and detrimental to religion, to let the spiritual baronies remain long unsupplied, so it was likewife against the policy of the state, not to enfeoff other barons in the temporal baronies, escheated or forseited to the crown. For, though the tenants of fuch baronies continued to pay the fame fervice to the king as they had done to the baron, yet the baronial fervice itself was loft, till a new feoffment was

made; and in the performance of that fervice

the

Hift, of the Exchequer. 10 212.

the whole state had an interest, as well as the BOOK II. king. The fame may be faid, in an inferior degree, of forfeited or escheated knights-fees. And therefore when writers fay, that the lands of the crown were inalienable, it must be understood only of those in ancient demesse, not of these incidental or casual possessions. Mr. Exchequer, Madox takes notice, that when prelacies were c. 10. p. 207. vacant, and in the hands of the king, he used to have, as immediate lord, the reliefs, wardships, &c. of the military tenants holding of fuch prelacies, together with other profits Ibidem. arifing from the eftates. And he was likewife p. 208, entitled, during vacancies, to the custody of prelacies founded by private lords, in case he had the heirs of those lords in wardship. The famous statute of provisors, made in the twenty fifth year of King Edward the Third, declares, that not only the king, but earls, barons, and other nobles, comme seigneurs et advowes, as lords and patrons, ought to have the custody of the prelacies founded by themfelves or their ancestors, as well as the presentation and collation. The crown had therefore, in the times of Henry the Second, a double title to fuch custody, namely the feudal right arifing from the vacant fee being regarded as the escheat of a barony, and the right of patronage which arose from the episcopal sees, and many of the principal abbies, having been originally parts of the demenne of the crown, and of royal foundation. This last was by many ages anterior to the other; nor was it ever questioned RA

BOOK II, questioned in this country, till the see of Rome had encroached on all the rights of our monarchy in ecclefiaftical matters. I may add, that from the interest, the whole community had in maintaining the prelacy of the kingdom, it feems to have been an inherent prerogative of the king, to take care of the temporalities of episcopal sees, upon the decease of the bishops, till proper successors were appointed. But the enjoyment of the profits of them was no part of that ancient prerogative; the claim to this being entirely derived from feudal notions, and by many of our princes much abused.

Exhequer, c. 10, p. 221, 222, 223,

Great profit, as well as power arose to the crown from the wardship and marriage of its Hift, of the vaffals. Some instances of this are cited by Mr. Madox, from the rolls. In the twenty fecond year of King Henry the Second, Thomas de Colvill gave that prince one hundred marks to have the custody of the children of Roger Torpel and their land, until they came to their full age. In the twenty eighth of that reign, Odo de Dammartin gave five hundred marks for the cuftody of the fon and land of Hugh the king's butler: and in the twenty ninth Celestia, late wife to Richard Fits-Colbern, gave forty shillings, that she might have her children in wardship, with their land; and that she might not be married except to her own good liking. It is probable fhe gave fo small a fum, because the estate was not a great one. But the highest payments of this nature which

I meet

I meet with in the rolls, till after the thirty BOOK II. first year of Henry the Third, were made to that king, by John earl of Lincoln, and by Simon de Montfort; the former of these having given three thousand marks, to have the marriage of Richard de Clare, for the benefit of Matilda, his eldest daughter, and the latter ten thousand to have the custody of the lands and heir of Gilbert de Unfranville, untill the heir's full age, with the heir's marriage, and with advowsons of churches, knights-fees, and other pertinencies and escheats. thousand marks containing then as much filver in weight as twenty thousand pounds now, and the value of filver in those days being unquestionably more than five times the prefent value, this fum was equivalent to a payment of above a hundred thousand pounds made to the Exchequer at this time. The length of the custody may perhaps have added to the price; but the effate must have been a vaft one to answer such an advance; and I mention it as a proof of the great opulence of our nobles in the age I write of, as well as to thew how large a revenue might arise to the crown from cafualties of this fort.

In treating of the sheriffs or viscounts, it has already been mentioned, that it was usual for our kings, at this time, to commit the several counties of England to the custody of those officers, or let them out in farm to them or other persons. The committee or farmer accounted to the Exchequer for the profits;

which

BOOK II. which made a great branch of the annual revenue. For instance, in the reign of Henry Madox's Hist the Second, Wimar, one of his chaplains, of the Exwho had farmed of him the two counties of chequer, c. Norfolk and Suffolk, paid, on that account, 10. p. 225. into the treasury, or by charges allowed to

> him, above five hundred and forty pounds, equivalent to a payment of eight thousand one hundred pounds in these days. The cities,

> towns, burgs, and villages, which were in the hands of the king, either as parts of his demesne, or by escheats and forfeitures, were also commonly let to farm, and answered for to the crown, in the times of which I write, either by the sheriff, as included in the body

> of the county wherein they lay, or superadded to it; or by the inhabitants thereof, either in their own names, or in that of their prapofitus,

> or reeve. What this revenue might amount to annually may be judged from the payment made in Henry the Second's time by Robert

> Fits-Sawin for the farm of the borough of Northampton, viz. one hundred pounds. The

Ibid. p. 227. fame farm in the next reign was raised to one hundred and twenty pounds, being then com-

mitted to two persons, who are styled in the Exchequer roll præpositi of that town. Some

Ibid. p. 231. profits role from the farms, or yearly payments,

made to the crown, by gilds of tradefmen, in feveral towns of England. For example, in the eleventh year of King Henry the Second,

the bakers of London paid fix pounds for the farm of their gild, and the fame fum in the fif-

teenth

Ibidem, p. 226, et feq.

et leq.

et leg.

teenth and twenty fourth. Of the like payments BOOK II. made by weavers, in many cities and towns, notice has been taken in what was faid of the woollen manufacture during the reign of this

king.

Of customs, or duties on merchandises, imported or exported, I find in the rolls but little evidence during the times which I write of. But, in the nineteenth year of Henry the Se- See Madox's cond, it appears that Osbert de Brai, farmer of Excheq.c. 18. Windfor, accounted for four pounds fix shil- p. 531, 532. lings and fixpence, arifing by the cuftoms of Mag. Rot. 1. B. ships or barges, passing along the Thames. And in the eighth year of Richard the First, the chamberlain of London accounted for four hundred and twenty nine pounds arifing in two years from the fines and difmes paid by merchants for tin and other merchandifes, in the port of London, and ninety fix pounds and half a mark paid in fines by other merchants, for leave to import woad and fell it in England. Another chamberlain accounted, in the tenth year of that king, for feveral fines paid by merchants, for leave to See Hift. of export wool and hides. Whether these, or the Excheq. another imposition called prisage, which ap- 526. pears to have been paid to him, had been also paid to his father, I find no certain proof. Prifage was a liberty of taking from every ship, that held twenty tuns of wine, two tuns, one See Gilbert's before and one behind the mast, at the rate of treatise on twenty shillings each; so that the king had a c. 15, p. 205. pre-emption in a tenth at his own price.

Mention

BOOK II. Mention has been made of the aids, which, in virtue of the feudal law, were due to the king from his vaffals, and from inferior lords to theirs, during the times of which I write. As they made incidentally a large addition to the royal revenue, it will be necessary to fay fomething more of them here. The aid to King Henry the Second, for marrying his eldeft See Madox's daughter to the duke of Saxony and Bavaria, Excheq.c.15. was collected by an imposition of one mark

p.,00, etfeq. on each fee holden immediately of the crown, or that was in the hands of the king by escheat or wardship. It was also paid by the towns and lands which he held in demefne. Accord-

V.Hunt.1.vi. ing to Henry of Huntington and Roger Hoveden, (whom I quote on this point, because no record of it is extant in the Exchequer) King Henry the First, when his daughter was married to the emperor, levied this aid by a charge

of three shillings a hide on all the lands of England. But these must be understood to be lands that were holden of the crown.

Mr. Madox fays, in his History of the Ex-See Hift, of chequer, that, for the levying of the aid to the Excheq. marry the eldest daughter of King Henry the 6.15. p. 400. Second, the barons and tenants in chief were commanded to certify to that prince, what fees they had, how many of the old feoffment, and how many of the new, and of whom they were holden: whereupon many of the barons, and tenants in chief who had large feigneuries, made certificates of their fees, which were called Carta Baronum, and were ordered to

be

be laid up and preserved in the Exchequer. BOOK II. The originals of these, except one from the bishop of Chichester, are now lost. But they are entered, together with the names of some who fent no certificates, in the Red Book of the Exchequer, compiled by Alexander de Swereford in the reign of Henry the Third. It is observed by Mr. Madox, that the bishop Baronia, of Durham was charged to this aid with fe- Book I.p. 122 Hift. of the venty knights-fees, whereof he acknowledged Excheq.c.15 but ten; and it likewise appears by the rolls, P. 404. that the archbishop of York disallowed twenty three and a half, out of forty three and a half, wherewith he was charged. The number of knights-fees that belonged to the honor of Richmond could not be discovered. abovementioned author accounts for these un-Baron, books. certainties in this manner. He fays, "that c. 6. p. 115. when the fummons ad habendum servitium had been iffued, feveral of the barons and knights would appear before the conftable and marefchal of the king's hoft, and would proffer one half, a third, or may be a fmaller part of their due service. The constable and mareschal, for want of better information, oftentimes admitted these unfair proffers, being, probably, in hafte to complete their army, and march against the enemy." But I would observe, that the certificates, called Carta Baronum, muft, in the reign of this king, have remedied an abuse so prejudicial to the crown; as, doubtlefs, enquiry was made, by the barons of the Exchequer, into the reasons affigned for the difference

BOOK II. difference in the numbers of the fees allowed or

Hift. vol. i. P. 5 4. lec. 536.

ann. 1163.

disallowed by the parties concerned. And Mr. Carte has clearly proved, that this inqui-See Carte's fition was begun before the marriage of the daughter of Henry the Second to the duke of Diceto Col- Saxony and Bavaria. Indeed this monarch M. Paris fub was too careful both of the revenues of his crown, and of the military strength of his kingdom, to permit fuch a fraud to continue; and the fubfequent encrease of it was owing to the negligence and ill government of the three fucceeding kings, who, by departing from his principles and methods of policy, weakened and almost subverted the whole state of the realm. The aid to Henry the Third, See Hift. of for marrying his eldest daughter, was twenty the Excheq. fhillings per fee, instead of a mark, which it has been shewn was the Assessment under

c. 15. p.412.

18 Edw. I. Rot. 14. Hilt. of the p. 416.

cord, that forty shillings were granted out of every knight's fee to Edward the First, on a like occasion, by common affent of the barons Excheq.c.15 and other nobles of England; yet with a proviso, that this grant should not turn to their prejudice, but fo that, for the future, an aid to be granted in the like case might be encreased or lesiened, as they, at the time, should think meet. I find no account of what was taken by Henry the Second for another feudal due, viz. See Hift. of on the making his eldeft fon a knight. But

Henry the Second. And it appears by a re-

the Excheq. Mr. Madox has flewn, from the records of the Exchequer, that forty shillings were granted to

King Henry the Third from every knight's fee

fee on that occasion. It must be remarked, BOOK II. that neither of these aids were demandable from lands holden in frank almoigne or foccage. There were other aids paid to the crown of a different kind from thefe. For instance, in the Ibidem, c. 17. fourth year of King Henry the Second a donum P. 481, 482. was paid for counties, cities, towns, or burgs, and likwife by the barons and knights for their respective fees, and perhaps for other lands. This produced a great fum; for the city of London alone paid to it one thousand and forty three pounds. The county of Lincoln paid two hundred, the county of Somerfet one hundred, the county of Effex two hundred marks of filver, and the county of Kent fourscore pounds. The bishop of Bath paid five hundred marks, the abbot of St. Albans one hundred. It would be tedious to mention all; but I observe that there is a great inequality in the payments; which probably arose from these dona being confidered as benevolences, and therefore not levied according to any fettled rate, but to the will of the giver. Several Ibidem, c.15. others were paid during the reign of this king. p. 419,420. Mr. Madox fays, in his history of the Exchequer, that, in the times I write of, the word donum was used with great latitude, fignifying in general, according as it was applied, either aid, scutage, or tallage. But I believe that it never fignified scutage in the sense of a commutation for military service, but only as being paid by the military tenants and out of knights fees. Of that commutation a great deal has

Ibid. p. 480.

p. 482.

p. 480

10 487.

BOOK II. been faid before in this history, and in the notes to this book. I shall only add here, that this part of the revenue could not be levied for any civil use, but was appropriated to those services of a military nature, for which the feudatories who paid it were permitted to commute. But the dona arifing from knights-fees do not appear to have been appropriated to military fervices, or to have been rights, or necessary incidents, of feudal tenure. Henry the Second had no See Hist. of war in the fourth year of his reign, when the the Excheq, abovementioned donum was paid to him by his barons and knights for their fees. And I can hardly doubt that, in his time, fuch aids were

granted by parliament. As for tallage, it appears that it was pay-

Ibid. p. 502. able to the king for his manors in demefne.

Those that were in his hands, as escheats and Ibid. p. 492. wardships, were likewise talliated by him, and great fums were raifed from them, as well as from the former. But it is observable, that the payments made by cities, towns, or burgs, when the demesne lands paid tallage, Ibid. c. 17.

were frequently entered on the rolls, de dono. Whether this imported any distinction in the nature of the payment I cannot determine. In other rolls the word affifa, which fignifies an aflesiment, is made use of, and in some talla-

Ibid. p. 512. gium. Lands holden in frank almoigne, or holden by knights-service, were exempt from tallage. On this privilege of the latter it will be necessary to make some observations. That it was acknowledged to belong

to them in the reigns of King John and his BOOK II. fuccesfor appears undeniably, from the records of those reigns, which Mr. Madox has cited Ibid. p. 513. on this fubject. For example, it is declared in the roll of the first of King John, that the town of Wicomb was charged with thirty pounds and eight pence for tallage; but the grand jufficiary and the barons of the Exchequer determined, that this manor ought not to be talliated, because Alan Basset did knightfervice for it; as appeared by his charter. And there is other evidence as conclusive for a likeadmiffion of this privilege to those who held by the fame tenure under King Henry the Third. But I must observe, that by two laws of William the Conqueror, which have been mentioned before, and which are recited in the Appendix to the first volume of this Vid. Leges. history, the same exemption is granted to all 58. in Apthe freemen of the kingdom. And both these pen. 1. i. vol. laws feem to refer to a preceding statute, now i. num. II. loft, by which the feudal policy of the Normans had been established in England. Never- See Appenthelefs, in the charter of King Henry the dix, ut supra, First, the military tenants alone appear to be numb. IV. exempted from fuch impositions, and the exemption is granted only to the lands they hold in demesse. Whether this difference arose from any other statute, made by William the First after the two abovementioned, or from a narrow and unfavorable construction thereof, by a fubsequent usage, I cannot say. But in the rolls of the 40th year of Henry the Third I find VOL. III.

e

r

the Excheq. c. 17. p. 513. Notes d. e. Robert de Holt, Rich. de Wygeden.

BOOK II. I find two instances of a right of exemption from tallage allowed to perfons, who do not See Hift. of appear to have held by any military tenure; the records faying only, that one of them held in capite, and that the other was enfeoffed of a freehold (libere feoffatus). Mr. Madox indeed translates these words enfeoffed in chivalry, but by what authority I do not fee; fince it is certain that there were vaffals, who were libere feoffati, and yet did not hold in chivalry.

Ibid. p. 491. On the other hand, a record is cited by the fame author, which shews that, after great disputes, the mayor and citizens of London, in the thirty first year of King Henry the Third, acknowledged that they were talliable, and gave the king three thousand marks, which he had demanded of them, in confequence of a decree of his council at Merton, that he should talliate his demesnes, to answer the great expences he had been at in foreign parts. not appear that this council was a full parliament. But the record fays, that they offered in it to give two thousand marks by way of aid, and declared positively, they could and would give no more. But afterwards, in a council holden at Westminster, the only dispute was, whether the fum demanded by the king should be given as an aid, or as tallage: which was decided by the rolls of the Exchequer and the Chancery, from whence it was proved, that they had before been talliated; but the evidence went no higher than the fixteenth of King John. I am apt to believe, that till that time

time they had been exempt from tallage; but BOOK II. had paid aids and free gifts, auxilia and dona. However this may have been, it is declared most explicitly, by King Edward the First, in his confirmations of the charters, that the aids, free gifts, and other impositions irregularly taken or levied by him or his ministers, before that time, for his wars or other necessities, should not be drawn into precedent because they might be found recorded in the rolls: and he therein grants to the nobility and commonality of the realm, that for the future, he would not, for any necessity whatsoever, take any fuch aids or impositions, without the common affent of the whole kingdom, and to the common benefit thereof; with a referve of the ancient aids and impositions due by custom. What these were I have shewn before, namely the aids allowed by the great charter, for redeeming the person of the king from captivity, for marrying his eldest daughter, and for knighting his eldeft fon. As for danegeld, on what occasions, and in what manner, it was levied, has been already fet forth. It will be fufficient to add here, that in all or most of Ibidem, p. the accounts thereof, delivered to the Exche- 479. quer in the fecond year of King Henry the Second, a large deduction is made under the terms in vafto, which Madox rightly ascribes to the defolation of the country by the civil war in the reign of Stephen.

Another very confiderable fource of wealth to the crown arose from fines, or oblata (that

S 2

is,

BOOK II. is, voluntary proffers of money made to the king) and amercements for offences. Mani-Exchequer, c. 11. p. 273, 274.

See Hift. of fold fines were paid for grants and confirmations of liberties and franchifes. For example, in the reign of King Henry the Second, the burgesses of Bedford fined in forty marks, to have the fame liberties as the burgeffes of Oxford. The burgeffes of Shrewsbury fined in two marks of gold to have their town at farm. The men of Preston gave a hundred marks of filver to have the same liberties as the men of Newcastle: the burgesses of Cambridge three hundred and one of gold, to have their town at farm, and be exempt from the sheriff of the county's intermeddling. Robert, the fon of Buftard, fined in ten marks of filver, for a confirmation of his privileges, and that he might not be impleaded, except before the king, or his justiciary. These few instances are sufficient to shew the nature of such payments, and to what the value of them might amount upon a great number collectively. Mention has been made in another place of fines paid to the king, by those who held of him in chief, for licence to marry, or that they might not be compelled to marry against their inclination. Some notice has been also taken of fines relating to trade or merchandise; particularly of those that were paid by gilds of weavers. in many parts of England. These were an incumbrance upon traffick; but not a very grievous one in the times of which I write: for it does not appear that any of the payments

payments were excessive, till after the decease BOOK II. of Henry the Second. A great number of perfons fined in confiderable fums to obtain the favor of the king, or to induce him to remit his anger and displeasure. For example, in Hist. of the the reign of Henry the Second, Gilbert, the p. 327. fon of Fergus, is charged in one of the rolls, as debtor to that prince of nine hundred and nineteen pounds, nine shillings, for obtaining his good-will; and William de Chataignes in another, as owing one thousand marks, on account of Henry's remitting his anger against him, and confirming his charters. But the most enormous of these payments, recited by Mr. Madox, in his history of the Exchequer, P. 329. is in Henry the Third's reign, when the citizens of London fined in twenty thousand pounds, more than equivalent in those days to three hundred thousand in these, for obtaining the good will of that monarch. It would be tedious to enumerate every other species of fines, which continually brought money into the Exchequer, for the aid, the protection, or mediation of the crown, in various cases. The worst of all, and which are a scandalous difgrace to the government in the times of which I treat, were those that interfered with lawproceedings and the justice of the kingdom. Even in the reign of Henry the Second, we see the Hift. have instances of fines being paid to the king of the Exfrom feveral of his subjects, for stopping or de- c. 12, 13. laying of pleas, tryals and judgements; or for expediting

BOOK U. expediting and speeding them; or to have seifin or restitution of their lands or chattels; or that they might not be diffeifed; or to be replevied or bailed; or to be quit of certain crimes, or certain methods of trial; (as, for instance, by hot iron) or to have the assistance of the king in recovering their debts. Mr. Madox is of opinion, that the clause in Magna Carta, " Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum vel justiciam," had a reference to these fines. And he observes from the records of subsequent reigns, that it seems to have had its effect. I understand those

> words to have had a higher and more extensive fense, though this practice may have fallen within the purport of them: but however that may have been, the iniquity of it was certainly carried much further, by the fons and grandfons of Henry the Second, before the charters were eftablished, than during his reign. And he had the example of his grandfather, Henry the First, a just and wise prince, as well as of

Ibid. c. 12. P. 314.

other feudal governments over all parts of Europe, to plead in defence of these profits so dishonorable to the crown. There were like-P. 315, 335. wise concurrent fines, and counter fines; the first when both parties, concerned in any matter, fined to obtain the fame thing; the laft, when their requests or applications to the crown were directly opposite. But, upon considering the records, it appears to me, that although money was paid by each fuitor, it was always

returned to the party that was unfuccessful in BOOK II. the fuit. Many fines were paid for permission to hold or quit certain offices. Others are mentioned by Mr. Madox under the title of miscellaneous, as not being reducible to any P. 325. class or general head. Of these I do not find any, in the times contained in this history, worth particularifing here: but he recites one, under the reign of King John which is of a fingular nature. The wife of Hugh de Neville P. 326. a. fined to that monarchin two hundred hens, that fhe might lie one night with her husband; but the diffressed lady not being able to provide them immediately, her husband was pledge for the payment of one hundred of them, and Thomas de Sandford for the other hundred, within a limited time. It is probable that either Hugh de Neville, or his confort, was a ward of the crown, and had married without the king's confent. Other inflances might be produced from the rolls of the Exchequer in the fame reign, that no profits were thought below the acceptance of the king, and that he exercifed a kind of ludicrous tyranny in this traffick with his fubjects; but these must rather be imputed to the character of the man than to the law or custom of the times.

We learn from the dialogue de Scaccario, L. ii. c. 26. written in Henry the Second's reign, that when a fine of a hundred marks was offered to the king, a mark of gold was at the same time to be paid to the queen, and so in proportion for all above that sum: but whether any

S 4

thing

BOOK II. thing was due to her, upon the proffer of a fine below that fum, was then matter of doubt. The origin of this demand was, I presume, a Supposition, that, as fines were given for some favor requested of the king, or in mitigation of some penalty or burthen laid on the subject, the queen's good offices with him ought to

be purchased by the suitor.

Amercements for offences produced vast The fubject is too extensive to allow me to enter into particulars; and I shall have occasion, in a subsequent part of this work, to take notice of fome records relating to amercements in the History of the Exchequer, when I shall treat more distinctly of the criminal law of England during the times of which I write. It will be fufficient here to fay, that only from trespasses in the forests an ample revenue accrued to the king, and much more from the great variety of other misdemeanors, defaults, and trespasses, for which, by the law of those times, amercements were due, or for which composition was made by fines. But before I conclude this account of the royal revenues, a particular view must be given of the state of the Jews in England, from the reign of William the Conqueror to that of Henry the Second inclusively. The Inflitut.P.II, religious notions of the times, and laws found-Stat. of Mer- ed thereupon, forbidding usury to all Chri-

flians, and not diffinguishing between that and

without which neither commerce could well

Hift, of the Exchequer, p. 272.

See Coke's p. 89. Glanv, l. vii, a reasonable interest for money upon loans, €, 16,

be carried on, nor the fudden exigencies of the BOOK II. government, or of particular persons, be supplied, the Jews were necessary, as moneylenders: and a great number of them were fettled in the principal cities and towns of England, under the special protection of the That they had been here feveral centuries before the entrance of the Normans, (though probably not in fo great a number) feems to be proved by a canon published by Ecgbriht, archbishop of York, in the year 740, which forbids any Christians to be present at the Jewish feasts. Yet we have little account of them during the Saxon times, or in the reign of William the Conqueror: but the contemporary historians are full of indignation against William Rufus, for favoring them too much: and indeed, if the tales they tell are true, there was great indecency and impiety in his proceedings. We may at least conclude from thence, that he shewed more kindness to the Tews, than the temper of the age would well bear. It appears by a charter granted to them See Hift. of in the fecond year of King John, that they the Excheq. had also received charters from Henry the Se- c. 7. p. 174. cond and Henry the First. The preamble of it runs thus: "Know that we have granted " to all the Jews of England and Normandy, " to refide freely and honorably in our land, " and to hold of us every thing which " they held of King Henry the grandfather of " our father, and all which they now right-" fully or reasonably hold, in lands, in fiefs,

BOOK II. " and in their pledges, or purchases; and to " enjoy all their liberties and customs, as well, " as quietly, and as honorably, as they enjoyed " them in the time of the aforefaid king our " grandfather." The following articles of the charter contain very confiderable privileges, which the reader may fee in the Appendix to this book. Four thousand marks were given by all the Jews of England for this confirmation of their charters, as it is filled in the record of that payment. But this did not pre-

Ibidem, p. 155. Oblata 2 John. M.3.

Ibidem, p. 151. c. 7.

Ibidem, p. 153, 154.

vent very grievous and tyrannical oppressions of them in that and the following reign. They feem to have been treated much more favorably by the five first kings of the Norman race. To Henry the Second indeed they paid, by way of tallage, a fourth part of their chattels, in the thirty-third year of his reign; which was a heavy imposition: but it was for the recovery of the Holy Land, to which all his fubjects contributed in an extraordinary manner; and one cannot wonder that this people should be taxed higher than the rest on fuch an occasion. The former demands upon them, in this reign, appear not to have been great. Yet by fines and oblata, or by amercements for trespasses, they were very profitable to the crown. In the twenty third year of this king, Jurnet the Jew fined in two thou-fand marks, another Jew in three thousand, and another in five hundred pounds. We also find that, in the thirty first of the same reign, the whole body of the Jews stood charged with five

five thousand five hundred and twenty five BOOK II. marks, and half a mark, for the amercement of the abovementioned Jurnet; and they were to have his effects and charters to enable them to pay it. This man must have been immensely rich: for soon after the discharge of this amercement, in the fifth year of king Richard the First, he gave to that monarch a fine of eighteen hundred marks, for leave to refide in England with his good will. In the reign of Henry the Third the exactions from the Jews were prodigious. One fingle tallage laid P. 155. upon them, about the twenty seventh or twenty eighth year of that king, amounted to no less than fixty thousand marks. Putting the value of filver in those days at only five times above Hift. of the the present (and it should, I believe, be put Excheq. c. 7. higher) this fum will be equivalent to fix hun- P. 152, 153. dred thousand pounds in these times; as every pound contained the weight of three of ours. The usury of the Jews must have been enormous, and their profits in traffick very great, to enable them to bear fuch impositions without absolue ruin. Indeed (to use the words of Mr. Madox) " as they fleeced the subjects of " the realm, fo the king fleeced them." Pro- P. 150. c. 7. bably, in the reign of Henry the Second, when they were much less harraffed by the government, they contented themselves with a lower interest forthe use of their money. There was Hist, of the a particular place appointed for the management Excheq. c. 7. of the revenue arising from this people, called the P. 157. Exchequer of the Jews, which was a part or chamber

BOOK II chamber of the Great Exchequer. Certain persons were assigned to be curators of this revenue. They were usually styled custodes and justiciarii Judæorum. Mr. Madox fays, " that " in the more ancient times there were com-" monly Christians and Jews appointed to act " together in this office. Afterwards they " were, for the most part, Christians only." By the more ancient times I understand he means those which are treated of in this work. Upon the whole it feems, that the revenue annually accruing to the crown from all these different branches, exclusive of its demesne, or ancient landed estate, was at least equal to that in value. But from what has been faid on the nature of them this observation will occur, that it is a point of good policy, and of great benefit to a kingdom, that whatever is necessary for maintenance of the honor and dignity of the crown should be supplied by a fixed and stated income, instead of arising from a variety of incidental profits, which can hardly ever be taken without fome diminution of the majefty of the fovereign, fome vexation to the people, fome inconvenience or detriment to trade and commerce, or fome offence to justice.

Of the manner of paying out, or iffuing the king's money, I shall give a few instances from Mr. Madox's Hiftory of the Exchequer, which at the fame time will exhibit fome curious particulars of the way of living in those times, of the magnificence and liberality of our princes, and of the produce and traffick of the

country.

country. In the reign of Henry the First an BOOK II. allowance was made to the feveral sheriffs of Hist. of the Staffordshire, Northamptonshire, and Leicester- Excheq.c.10. shire, for mead and beer provided by order of from p. 249. the king; for money delivered to his vinedreffer at Rockingham, and for necessaries for the vineyard. In different years of King Henry the Second's reign allowances were made to the officer who farmed Windfor of that prince, for wine, perry, and cyder; to the farmer of the town of Hampton, for wines, and the carriage of them; which wines were chofen by the king's butler, and fent to feveral of the king's houses, namely, at Fekenham, Nottingham, Gattinton, Woodstock, Malborough, Titgrave, Luggershall, and Clarendon; and to the sheriff of Hampshire for corn, barley, and honey, to make ale with, for the use of the king's fon in law, the Duke of Saxony. For the helmet and belt of this monarch, and for furbishing and gilding his fwords, and for work done upon the points and hilts of them, the sheriffs of London disbursed, in the fifth and eighteenth year of his reign, nineteen pounds, and odd money, equivalent in those days to near three hundred pounds in these. They likewise paid twenty pounds, and upwards, in the first of these years, for a robe for the use of the queen; and, in the latter, fourfcore and eight pounds, odd money, for the coronation robes of the young king and of his queen, and eight pounds eight shillings for a riding dress and three filken cloaks for that prince. Richard.

BOOK II. chard, archdeacon of Poictiers, custos of the bishoprick of Winchester, disbursed two hundred pounds to Osbert, clerk of the chamber, and other chamberlains, for the king's use upon his journey back from Ireland, and for the

and other chamberlains, for the king's use upon his journey back from Ireland, and for the young king's curody, or maintenance, for three days before his coronation, and on the coronation day. For the entertainment of the king of Scotland fixteen days, the sheriff of Yorkshire disbursed a hundred pounds, and odd money, in the third year of this reign. Among other articles for the use of King Henry the Second and his family, mention is made of linnen napkins and linnen garments; of the fkins of mountain cats, of martins, and ermins; of red, fearlet, and green cloths; of filken garments, filken caps, dalmatiques, and tunicks. In one of the rolls there is a charge of ten pounds fix shillings, paid to Joseph the king's physician for spices and electuaries. I find no account of any painting in the palaces of this prince: but, in his grandfon's time, the sheriff of Nottinghamshire was ordered to cause the queen's chamber at Nottingham to be painted with the hiftory of Alexander. It feems that the rooms of Henry the Second's palaces were generally hung with cloth. The feveral sheriffs, and others who farmed the king's revenues in different parts of the realm, were likewife ordered to difburse considerable sums for provisions and expences relating to war, arms, garrisons, knighthoods, and the like. But it will be unnecessary to enter into further parti-

culars

culars on this or other iffues of the money of BOOK II. the crown. I will only take notice, that the forms and methods of accounting at the Exchequer, established in that age, were so excellently contrived for the preventing of frauds, and for good order and regularity, in the publick accounts, that they have continued unaltered even to this day, during the course of above five hundred years. The institution of them is ascribed to William the Conqueror; L. i. c. 4. and the author of the dialogue de Scaccario fays, he took the plan of them from the Exchequer in Normandy, yet with many differences, and even in points of great importance. The great power and dignity of the court of Exchequer, in those times, is thus set forth by that writer: "The authority of this " court is very eminent, as well in respect of " the image of the king imprest on his great " feal, which is constantly kept in the treasury, " as of the persons who fit there, by whose " wisdom the whole state of the realm is " preserved and maintained in safety. For " there refides the king's chief justiciary, who " is next to the king in jurisdiction; and all " the greatest men of the kingdom, who are " of his privy council, have also places there; " that whatfoever is decreed or determined in " the presence of so august an assembly may " remain inviolable. But fome fit there by " virtue of their offices, and others only by the " command of the king." He then tells us that the latter, who were generally persons of

Hift. of the Exchequer, c.20.p.548.

BOOK II. the highest rank and most reputation for prudence, either of the court or the clergy, were occasionally called to affift in the decision of nice and doubtful cases. Mr. Madox observes, that before the end of King Henry the Third's reign the Exchequer fell in great measure from its ancient grandeur, and from thenceforward continued in a ftate of declenfion.

> In describing the civil and political state of England, from the coming in of the Normans to the reign of Henry the Second, inclusively. it will be necessary to fay something more of the condition of cities and boroughs within that period: and first of London-The charters granted to that city by William the Conqueror and Henry the First have already been mentioned in a former part of this work. The reader may see them translated into Latin in the Appendix to this volume, together with another given to it by King Henry the Second. This last is a confirmation of all the liberties and free cuftoms which they had in the time of his grandfather, King Henry the First, with fome additional benefits and immunities. It is without a date; but there is reason to place it, as Spelman does, in the first year of Henry the Second. I shall only observe upon it here, that, confidering the attachment which the citizens of London had shewn to Stephen, and the manner in which they had driven the empress Matilda from her palace at Westminster, it is one of the strongest proofs, both of the clemency of her fon, and of his wife resolution to appeafe

Vid. etiam Wilkins Leges, p. 290. 235. 318.

peafe the troubles of his realm by a total obli-BOOK II. vion of all past offences, that, instead of abridging their liberties, he fo graciously confirmed and enlarged them. Of the state of this city in his reign we have an account from Fitz-Stephen, a contemporary writer, which has some particulars that deserve to be taken V. Stephan. notice of here. According to him, it was then ftrongly fortified on all fides, except to the river, the tides of which had undermined and destroyed the ancient wall, that had been erected along its banks or ftrand. On the eastern fide was the white tower, built by William the First, which he calls Arcem palatinam maximam et fortissimam: on the western were two other very strong castles (viz. those of Baynard and Mountfitchet) besides the walls, which were high and thick, and on the northern fide, at proper distances, strengthened with turrets. On this description I would observe, that in Henry the Second's reign, it was not necessary to repair the ruined wall of the city along the river, as there was no danger of an enemy's being able to fail up it, after the tower and bridge were built. The fame historian speaks of seven double gates, which are supposed to have been Aldgate, Bishop-See Maitgate, Cripplegate, Aldersgate, Newgate, Lud-land's Hift. gate, and the Postern near the Tower. He p. 15. also describes the royal palace of Westminster, rifing high and stretching wide over the banks of the river, at two miles distant from London, VOL. III. with

V. Stephan. ut fupra.

BOOK II. with a continued fuburb all the way, and calls it an imcomparable building, defended by an outward wall and turrets. When this palace was built is uncertain: but the hall was added by William Rufus. Along the whole extent of this fuburb were gardens of the citizens. To the north were open fields; and beyond these was a large forest, of which Endfield Chase is but a small remainder. Among the game contained therein Fitz-Stephen mentions wild boars. He also takes notice that it was full of yew trees, the growth of which was particularly encouraged in those days, and for many fucceeding ages, because the wood of them was effeemed the best for making bows. In reckoning up all the glories of the city, he fays, that no other in the world fent out its wealth and merchandise to a greater distance; and among the imports brought thither, by foreign merchants trading to it, he mentions gold, spices, and frankincense, from Arabia; precious stones from the Nile; purple vests from the East-Indies; oil of palms from Bagdat, or Babylon; furs and ermines from Norway and Russia; arms from Scythia, or Tartary; and wines from France. He adds, that it was famous for the chaftity of its matrons, and that its citizens were diffinguished above all others in England, by the superior elegance of their manners, their drefs, and their tables. But in the account he gives of the number of fighting men, who marched out of the city, upon a muster

a muster made by King Stephen, he exagge-BOOK II. rates most enormously; for he makes them fix- Vid. P. Blef. ty thousand foot, and twenty thousand horse- epist. 151.ad men; whereas Peter of Blois, at that time Innocent. III archdeacon of London, in a letter to the pope, papam. reckons all the inhabitants of that city at no more than forty thousand. If there was any muster, it must have contained the militias of Middlefex, Kent, and other adjacent counties, which may have been drawn together by that monarch, and united to the militia of London, on some occasion, during the course of the civil war between him and the empress. But this historian is supported by the archdeacon's authority, in affirming, that there were in the city and fuburbs a hundred and twenty fix churches, befides thirteen that belonged to convents. He speaks of three schools, or rather colleges, appertaining to London, which, he fays, were of ancient dignity, and wherein by particular privilege, was taught not only grammar, but poetry, rhetorick, and logick; befides which many other schools were occafionally opened by persons of note in philosophy, who were encouraged to teach and read lectures. The description given by this author of the military sports of the citizens has been inferted in a former part of this book. Among their diversions in time of peace he V. Stephan, mentions cock-fighting, and foot-ball: and ut. fupra. fays, that in fummer, the young girls danced by moon-light to the musick of the harp. In winter, the young men entertained themselves

after

BOOK II. after dinner, upon all festival days, with bear

baiting, bull baiting, and combats of dogs with wild boars; or with fliding or feating on the ice of a great pond, or lake, which was contiguous to the northern wall of the city. But the chief amulement, wherein the greater part of the citizens employed their leifure, was hunting and hawking, which they had a right to do in the counties of Middlesex, Hertford, and Kent, as far as the river Cray, and in all the district called the Chiltern. Fitz-Stephen tells us, that, instead of theatrical entertainments, they had representations of the miracles performed by faints, and of the fufferings of martyrs. It is observed by the author of a late excellent abridgement of the history of France, Chronol. de that a monk named Geoffry, who was afterwards abbot of St. Albans, being entrusted in these times with the education of youth, caused a kind of pious tragedies to be reprefented before them, and that the subject of the first of these dramatick pieces was the miracles of St. Catherine. He likewise takes notice, that these fpectacles, thus exhibited in this kingdom, were anterior, by more than a century, to the representations of the mysteries in that of Fitz-Stephen fays, that exceffive France. drinking and frequent fires were the only pefts of London. The latter must have been partly occasioned by the former, and partly by the houses being mostly built of wood. Yet there were some of stone, and of a handsome archi-

tecture, according to the tafte of those days;

for

Vid. Abrege France. t. i. fub. ann. 1179, 1180.

V. Stephan, ut fupra.

for the fame author affirms, that almost all the BOOK II. nobles of England, and particularly the bishops and abbots, had fine edifices in that city, or in the fuburbs thereof, where they made great expences, when they were fummoned to parliaments or to the fynods. He calls London the capital of the kingdom of England; (regni Anglorum sedes:) which title perhaps might have been formerly disputed by Winchester, the royal feat of the West-Saxons, and the place where the Norman kings had usually SeeCamden's Britannia. kept their regalia and treasure. But, the lat- HANTSHIRE ter, having fuffered a great diminution of its fplendour, in the civil war between Stephen and the empress Matilda, could no longer stand in competition with the former. The northern metropolis, York, was also much declined from its priftine greatness and opus lence, by the devastations it had suffered in the reign of William the Conqueror, and by a Ibidem, fire. which had confumed a part of it, in that YORKSHIRE. of Stephen.

As many of the cities, towns, or boroughs, as were not portions of the ancient demelne of the crown, belonged to the demesnes of some fpiritual or temporal lord, and were under his patronage and protection. But this tenure was no more a fervitude, than any other foccage tenure, either under the crown or the barons. Nor were the charters granted to many towns by the kings of the Norman race, whereby they were declared to be free boroughs, charters of infranchisement from a state of slavery,

BOOK II. as some have supposed, but grants or confirma-

tions of certain privileges, exemptions, and favors; fuch as freedom from tolls, and other impositions, which the reader may see enumerated in a charter of King John to the burgeffes of Dunwich, cited by Madox in the eleventh chapter of the History of the Exchequer, p. 276. from whence I have transcribed it, in the Appendix to this volume. That author fays, " that when the king granted liberties to any of his demesne manors or towns, he was moved to it by two reasons: One, the fine paid in hand; the other, the improvement, or

Hift, of the Exchequer, C, 11, p,291.

See Tyrrel's Append. to Eng. vol. iii. P, 152, 153.

(as they anciently called it) the amendment of the manor or town." But it appears from the abovementioned charter of King John, and feveral other records, that some of the towns, the Hift. of to which liberties of this nature were granted, were not only in a state of freedom, but had gilds or trading communities, before fuch grants were made to them. In fome of these charters an exemption from tallage was accorded, in others a right to talliate them was expresly re-The tallages affested upon the king's ancient demesnes were more heavy than those upon other persons in the counties, and therefore petitions were made against such impositions, when laid on those who did not hold by that species of tenure. Thus, in the ninth year of Edward the Second, the men of the towns of Okham, Egilton, and Langham 6. 17. p. 493. complained to the king, that, although their lands and tenements in those towns were not

See Hift. of the Excheq. 500. f.

of an ancient demesne of the crown of Eng-BOOK II. land; and when the king's progenitors caused their demesne lands to be talliated, they and their ancestors were not wont to be talliated, but, in all aids granted to the king and his progenitors by the community of the realm, were wont to contribute with the community of the county of Rutland; yet lately, when the king affeffed a tallage upon his demesnes, in the fixth year of his reign, they were talliated as tenants in ancient demesne, and such tallage was demanded of them by fummons of the Exchequer. Whereupon the king commanded the barons of the Exchequer to inspect Domesday-book, and if they found thereby that the faid towns were not of the ancient demesne of the crown, and that the men thereof had not been talliated in any former times together with the demesne-lands, but had always contributed to aids granted to the king's progenitors, and to himself, with the community of the said county, then to acquit them of the faid demand, and release the distresses. It also appears by the SeeRot. Parl. rolls of parliament, in the first year of the M. 7. fame king, that when the communities of the Tyrell's Hift. counties had granted a twentieth part of their of England, moveable goods, the citizens, burgesses, and pend. p. 176. communities of cities and burghs, and also the tenants of the ancient demesse of the crown, granted a fifteenth. Mr. Madox fays, " that, as the king had tallage of the demefne-men, fo fome subordinate or private lords had tallage of Hift. of the theirs: but that many of the lands which were Exchequer, c. 17. p. 516 talliable

BOOK II. talliable to private lords were fuch as at one time or other moved from the king, and were wont to be talliated to him while they were vested in the crown. As, when the king granted to a fubject a demesne manor or town, together with the homages, aids, tallages, and other profits thereof to hold to the grantee and his heirs; in fuch case the grantees and his heirs had power to talliate the men of fuch manor or town to their own use, when the king talliated his demefnes and manorsthroughout England; but not otherwise, or at other times. Upon the whole, the condition of citizens and burgeffes holding of the crown in those days was never worse, but often better, by diverse privileges and favors granted to them than that of all its other tenants in ancient demesne, who held by free soccage: and the fame may be affirmed of those who belonged to private lords. Yet, that all have been brought into a more perfect and a more regular state of freedom, by re-afferting of the ancient rights, impaired by ill practices, or by the application of feudal notions to the course of law in this kingdom, beyond what was authorifed by the confent of the nation in parliament, cannot, I think be denied. From the first entrance of the Normans, till long after the times contained in this history, the power of restraining and curbing the royal authority was chiefly in the barons, who often connived at an irregular exercise of it, that they themfelves might be permitted, and even aided by the crown, in like acts of fovereignty over their BOOK II. vaffals, particularly with regard to tallages, and other fuch impositions.

Lord Hale observes in his History of the P. 102, 103,

common law of England, "that William the c. 5.

" First, after his victory, did, as all wife princes

" would have done, endeavour to make a

" stricter union between England and Nor-

" mandy; and, in order thereunto, he en-

" deavoured to bring in the French, instead of

" the Saxon language then used in England:

" from whence arose the practice of pleading

" in our courts of law in the Norman or

" French tongue, which continued till the sta-" tute of the thirty fixth of Edward the Third."

But it has been mentioned before, upon the authority of Ingulphus, a contemporary hi- Ingulphus, storian, that even in the reign of Edward the p. 62. Gale's Confessor, French was spoken by most of the edition. English nobility, and the Norman forms were used in legal proceedings. This made it much

less difficult for William the First to establish the practice taken notice of by lord Hale, which indeed was abfolutely necessary to enable the Normans, whom he appointed his judges, or whom he enfeoffed with earldoms or baronies, or employed as sheriffs or vifcounts, to exercise the judicature which belonged to their offices or fiefs. It must how-

ever be observed, that most, of the laws and and charters of that age, and our oldest lawbooks, Glanville and Bracton, were written in Latin. Ingulphus tells us, that, in the reign

Gale's edit. p. 71.

BOOK II. of William the Conqueror, children were taught their first rudiments, not in the English but French language. Yet the defire, which, he fays, was shewn by the Normans, to abolish the use of the English was never effected: but on the contrary, from the intermixture of the two nations a language was formed, in which the Saxon was much more prevalent than the Norman or French. We have a charter of King Henry the Third in the English of that time, which, as it is curious to fee how near the language then written approached to that of the present century, I have given, with a translation of it into modern English, in the Appendix to this volume, from Mr. Tyrrel's Appendix to the third volume of his history of England. No small part of the difference between the original and the translation appears to be in the comparative length of the words, which we have now abridged, by leaving out fome of the vowels then inferted, and omitting the fyllable en at the end of many verbs; as, for example, writing land instead of loande, and fend instead of fenden: an alteration which has not added to the harmony of the tongue. But there are in the Cotton library some manuscript historical poems, composed in Norman French, by a reading clerk, named Wace, to whom (as he tells us himself) King Henry the Second gave a prebend at Bayeux, and many other benefactions. They have nothing to diffinguish them from the dullest chronicles of that age, but metre and rhymes. Yet as they are a specimen

cimen of what was then imagined to be poetry, BOOK II. I have transcribed some of them into the Appendix annexed to this volume. The poets of Provence wrote fomething better; of which we need no other proof than the verses composed in their style and dialect by King Richard the First: but the best of the French romanciers were very inferior in genius, and the fpirit of poetry, to the ancient Gallick and British bards, or even to the Saxon and Danish poets before their conversion to Christianity, which feems to have taken from them that wild greatness of imagination and sentiment, discoverable in some of their ancient poems. There is no book written in French or English profe, during the period which I treat of, that has come down to these times. Indeed those who in that age were best qualified to be authors all wrote in Latin. The familiar letters that paffed between Becket and his friends, and all the dispatches of business, sent to or from him in his exile; nay, the very love-letters between Abailard and his disciple and wife Heloisa, after their unfortunate separation, were written in that language. It is justly observed by Mr. Inett, in his Ecclefiaftical History, " that the " conclusion of the feventh and the beginning See Inett's

" of the eighth century, have a taste of learn- History of

" ing that is no where else to be met with the English church, po " in the English writers before the Norman 161, c. 10.

" conquest: but the writings of Adhelm bishop " of Sherburn, of Ceolfrid abbot of Jarrow,

" and tutor to Bede, and those of Egbert bishop

of York, and Eddius, and Bede, who all BOOK II. " lived during that period, fo exhausted the genius of the English nation, that except Alcuinus and Clemens, who were bred un-" der Egbert, not long after Bede, and who, " in the latter end of the eighth and the be-" ginning of the ninth century, made fo great a figure in France, we find nothing like it in

" the fucceeding ages, till the Norman inva-" fion brought the spirit of this age to life " again." One principal cause of that de-

Ælfredirebus glica, Normannica, &c.

clenfion was the ravages of the Danes. The V. Affer. de great Alfred expressed his grief, that whereas in gestis, p. 27. times past, foreigners came to England in search Camden. An- of wisdom and learning, the English themfelves, in his days, were forced to go abroad to feek for them; while fo gross an ignorance overspread the nation, that very few priests, fouth of the Humber, could understand the ordinary fervice of the church, and he knew none, fouth of the Thames, that could turn a piece of Latin into English. Through the indefatigable application of this admirable prince to the remedy of this evil, by bringing over learned foreigners, and by the example which he gave himself to his subjects, science began, under him, to revive in England; but it declined again, under his fucceffors, and continued in a low state till the entrance of the Normans.

> A late French writer takes notice, that William the Conqueror protected letters, and that they had great need of his patronage, in a time

when

when books were fo rare, that Græcia, countess BOOK II. of Anjou, bought a collection of homilies at the price of two hundred sheep, a bushel of wheat, Abregé another of rye, a third of millet, and some l'Histoire de ikins of martins. But it is probable, that the France, p. dearness of this particular book was rather ow- 1087. ing to an extraordinary value fet upon it, by those who fold it, or recommended it to the countefs, than to the general fcarcity of books at that time: for we know that few of the greater convents, in France or in England, were unfurnished with libraries, and the difficulty was rather to find men who could read However this may have been, it is certain that the Normans brought with them into England a tafte for learning. The nobles, indeed, were, for the most part, illiterate; but they valued knowledge in the clergy; and as King Henry the First had himself attained to a good proficiency in it, his example induced some of the lords of his court to cause their children to be inftructed in all the learning of those times. William of Malmfbury tells us, that in an interview between Henry and Pope v. L. v. de Calixtus the Second, the young fons of the H. I. f. 90. earl of Meulant were brought forth by the feet. 20. B. king to dispute with the cardinals in logick, which they did with fo much vivacity and fubtilty of reasoning, that it raised a great admiration in their antagonists, and obliged them to acknowledge, that learning flourished more in these western parts of the world, than they, in Italy, had heard or imagined. In the eighteenth

e

BOOK II. year of that reign died Florence of Worcester, who compiled in Latin a Chronological Hiflory of the World, and brought it down, with a particular and no contemptible account of the affairs of this island, to the year of our Lord eleven hundred and seventeen. A contemporary history of the chief events relating to the church of England, in the reigns of William the First and his two successors, till the year eleven hundred and twenty two, was not inelegantly written in the fame language by Eadmer, a monk of Canterbury. But the civil commotions in Stephen's reign where unfavorable to letters, and fropt the progress which probably they would have made under the patronage of King Henry's fon, the earl of Glocester, if that nobleman, who inherited all his father's good qualities without his faults, had been more at leifure to cultivate the arts of peace. Nevertheless, even that unhappy and turbulent time did not prevent him from encouraging the best genius for history that ever England had yet produced, by the favor he shewed to William of Malmfbury, whose merit I have already had oocafion to speak of, in the former parts of this work. Another ornament of the reign of Stephen was Ethelred abbot of Rivaux, who is equal, if not fuperior, to William of Malmsbury in the elegance of his stile, but falls fhort of him in judgement and weight of fenfe. Simeon of Durham and Henry of Huntington, no mean historians, wrote also in those times. Roger de Hoveden, who was a chaplain

chaplain to King Henry the Second, has left us BOOK II. two books of annals, carried on from the year feven hundred and thirty two to the year twelve hundred and one, the fourth of King John; in the first of which he has borrowed much v. Neubrig. from the two writers abovementioned, and in 1. ii.c. 16. et the fecond from Benedict abbot of Peterbo- 25. rough, who wrote a hiftory of the reigns of Henry the fecond and his fon Richard, beginning in the year eleven hundred and feventy, and ending in eleven hundred and ninety two. But, though much was stolen by this author, he added enough of his own to give him a confiderable rank, in the opinion of Sir H. Saville and Mr. Selden, among the many historians who flourished in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. William of Newbury, one of thefe, deferves to be mentioned with particular praife, for having had the courage, though a monk, to express an approbation of King Henry the Second's defign of reforming his clergy, by bringing them under the coertion of the fecular power; and to cenfure Becket, after Rome had declared him a faint, for want of moderation and discretion in many parts of his conduct. Another instance of the good judgement, and honest regard to truth, which appears in this author, is the having treated the fables of Geoffry of Monmouth with the contempt they deserve, although they were then so much in vogue, that to oppose the delusion was little less dangerous, than to call in question any error of popular superstition established or authorifed

Canutus, a monk of Canterbury, is faid to

BOOK II. thorised by the church. This fincerity has drawn upon him, in much later and less ignorant times than his own, the displeasure of Humphrey Lhuyd, and some other Welsh writers: fo hard is it to eradicate, from the minds of an ancient people, the fond belief of any fiction, in which they imagine that the

glory of their nation is concerned!

See Aubrey's have made an abridgement of Pliny's Natural Memoirs of History, and to have dedicated his work to the county of Wilts.p.221. King Henry the Second. Of Giraldus Cam-223.etBalzus brensis some mention has been made in former Cent.3. n. 4. parts of this work; and I shall have occasion to fay more in giving an account of the affairs of Ireland, which he has recorded. It will be fufficient to observe in this place, that if too much love of the marvellous, and a rancorous hatred of King Henry the Second, which he contracted before the end of that prince's life, had not corrupted his veracity and dishonored his judgement, he would have stood high in the catalogue of English historians who flourished during that reign. Several others might be named who excelled in wit or learning about the same period; but of all these the most eminent were Peter of Blois and John of Salisbury. Peter of Blois had been made præceptor to William the Second, King of Siv. Præfation. cily, in the year eleven hundred and fixty eight, through the recommendation of Stephen

archbishop of Palermo, and chancellor of that

kingdom; but the following year, upon the

difgrace

ad opera Petri Blefenfis.

difgrace and banishment of his patron, he re- BOOK II. tired into France; from whence he was pre- v. Petri Blef. fently invited into England by Henry the Se- epift. 127. cond, who afterwards employed him, as his pri- 149. vate secretary, in many important affairs. From one of his letters it appears, that he had undertaken to write a history of the acts of that prince, and had almost completed it before the Epist. 14. end of his reign. Whether it ever was published is uncertain; for no other trace of it has come down to our times: which may be justly lamented, as, from the confidence Henry had in him, he must have been better informed, than any other historian in those days, both of facts and counfels; nor was any more capable of conveying them to pofterity with spirit and energy, which all his works are very full of, befides a great erudition, and an admirable fervor of virtue and piety. There is likewise in them a noble freedom, becoming a Christian philosopher, in reprehending the faults of persons in high stations, and rigorously censuring the disorders and corruptions of the clergy: but, unhappily, he did not reckon a defire of independence on the civil authority, and an absolute subjection to the pope, among those corruptions. On the contrary he efteemed them effential parts of their duty. The same notions also prevailed in his friend, John of Salisbury, who appears to have been little inferior to him in learning, and superior in the graces and ele-VOL. III. gance

ge

le

i-

y

n

at

he

BOOK II gance of his style: tho' neither was he quite exempt from the barbarifms of the age. Some of his letters are animated with a spirit of liberty, which would have done honor to a Greek or Roman republican, but with regard to the church he extended his ideas of liberty to an exemption from all obedience to the fecular power. This rendered him to zealous in Becket's cause, that he attended him in his exile; and it will be feen in the following book of this history, that he was the most active of his agents in France, and trufted by him the most deeply. Nor did this attachment ceafe even after the death of that prelate; for he became one of the many who wrote accounts of his life, with much more regard to his honor than to truth or fincerity. Indeed what he has left on that fubject is unworthy of his own character; and the offence his whole conduct had justly given to the king, during the course of the difference between that prince and Becket, excluded him from those favors which his merit would have otherwise entitled him to, in a court where none was neglected, and where a particular regard was fhewn to genius and learning. It is observable, that his writings, as well as those of Peter of Blois, are full of citations from the Latin classicks, a taste for which was then rifing in France and England, and would, probably, have gone far towards refining the age, if the minds of men had not been turned from cultivating those studies to

the fubtleties of school divinity, which Rome BOOK II. encouraged as more profitable for the maintenance of her doctrines. The first teachers of this new art were two archbishops of Canterbury, Lanfranc and Anfelm; to whom fucceeded Peter Abailard, the brightest wit of those times: but the most illustrious master of it was Peter Lombard, made bishop of Paris in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine. It was a great misfortnne to religion, and to learning in general, that men of fuch acute understandings as Abailard and Lombard, who might have done much to reform the errors of the church, and to reftore science in Europe, should have depraved both by applying their admirable parts to weave these cobwebs of sophistry, and confound the clear simplicity of evangelical truths by a false philosophy, and a captious logick. I cannot mention Abailard, without taking notice, that if his fair disciple Heloise, instead of being compelled to read the fathers, or the legends of faints, in a nunnery, had been fuffered to improve her genius by a continued application to polite literature, one may venture to fay, from what appears in her letters, that the would have excelled in it more than any man of that age.

Of the state of learning at Oxford, in the reign of which I am treating, I find little men- SeeCamden's tion. But Ingulphus fays, that he learned Britannia, Aristotle in the schools of that city; and ano- SHIRE. ther writer informs us, that, under the auspices

.

a

a

d

r

ı,

ot

to

ne

U 2

of

BOOK II. of King Henry the First, the divinity lecture, which had been discontinued a long time in Oxford, began again to flourish. The civil war in the reign of Stephen must have difturbed and interrupted the studies there; but probably they revived again under Henry the Second: for we find, that in King John's time the number of students was three thousand: And Matthew Paris calls the university of Oxford, the second school of the church, nay, rather a groundwork of the church, next after Paris.

Of the schools at Cambridge, from the reign of Henry of First till that of Henry the Second, inclusively, Peter of Blois, in his continuation of Ingulphus, has given an account, which is thus translated in the last edition of Camden's Britannia: " Abbot Joffred fent over

SHIRE.

SeeCamden's " to his manor of Cotenham, nigh Cam-CAMBRIDGE " bridge, Gislebert, his fellow-monk and di-" vinity-professor, with three other monks, " who followed him into England; and " being well furnished with philosophical " learning, and other ancient sciences, they " daily repaired to Cambridge: where they " hired a publick barn, made open profession " of the sciences, and in a little time drew a " great number of scholars together. "than two years their number encreased so " much, out of all that country as well as "the town, that there was not a house, barn, " or church big enough to hold them all.

"Upon which they dispersed themselves into

feveral

" feveral parts of the town, imitating the uni- BOOK II.

" versity of Orleans. Betimes in the morning Frier Odo, an excellent grammarian

" and fatyric poet, read grammar to the boys and younger fort, who were affigned him;

" according to the doctrine of Priscian and Re-

" migius upon him. At one a clock Ter-" ricus, a fubtle fophist, read Aristotle's lo-

" gick to the elder fort, according to Por-"phyry's and Averroe's introductions and

" comments. At three of the clock Frier

"William read lectures in Tully's rhetorick,

" and Quintilian's inftitutions; and Gislebert,

" the principal mafter, preached to the people upon all fundays and holidays. From this

" small fountain we see large flowing streams,

" making glad the city of God, and enriching

" the whole kingdom with many mafters and

" teachers, who came out of Cambridge, as

" as from the holy paradife, &c.

Whether this was the first beginning, or only a revival of learning in this town, it will not be necessary to investigate here. But Mr. Camden takes notice, that the name of univer-SeeCamden's sity was not used till about the time of Henry Britannia, Oxford-

the Third, and then not for the place, but for shire. the body and fociety of students.

In a letter to Becket from John of Salisbury Epist. T. this description is given of the state of learning Becket e rate Paris: "When I beheld (says he) the reve-1. i. epist. 24e

" rence paid to the clergy, the majesty and glo" ry of the whole church, and the various occu-

" pations of those who applied themselves to U 3 " philosophy

BOOK II. " philosophy in that city, it raised my admira-" tion, as if I had seen the ladder of Jacob, the " top of which reached to heaven, and the steps " were covered with angels afcending and de-" fcending." On this passage I would observe, that the learning of the clergy in those days was a mighty affiftance to their power, and to what this writer calls the majesty and the glory of the church. For, as it was almost confined to them, princes were under a necessity to employ them in much of their business; and the fuperiority it gave them over the ignorant laity, though great in reality, was greater still in opinion. The degree of it which Henry the Second had attained to, helped to shew him the enormity of the encroachments they had made on the civil authority, and ffrengthened his mind to refift them. It was likewise of no little advantage to him, that fome of his nobles had a fufficient tincture of knowledge, to be able to ferve him, in the highest offices of law and justice. Upon the whole, it may be faid, that a beam of light, in the twelfth century, began to break through the clouds of Gothic ignorance and barbarism, but was soon afterwards obscured by a thicker darkness.

The great encrease of religious houses must be reckoned among the evils of this age. The V. Præsat.ad author of the Notitia Monastica computes the Not. Monast. number of such houses, built in England during the reigns of Henry the First, Stephen, and Henry the Second, at no less than three hundred. And Mr. Inett asserts, that more

mona-

monasteries and other religious societies were BOOK H. founded in that kingdom during the fingle reign of Henry the First, than in five hundred the English years before. But he rightly observes, that Church, p. The 172. c. 9. this was not peculiar to this nation. high opinion of the merit of fuch foundations, infused into the minds of the laity, by the divines of those days; the hopes of compounding in this manner with the Deity for the greatest offences; but more especially the liberty, granted by the pope, of commuting for vows made to go to the Holy wars by benefactions of this kind, filled all Europe with convents. In the year eleven hundred and fifty two, the Ciftertian order, which had been founded in one thousand and ninety eight, had no fewer than five hundred. Among other causes of the encrease of monasteries in SeeDugdale's this kingdom may be reckoned the civil war, Baronage, with which it was afflicted during the reign of Monasticen. King Stephen. For many of the nobility engaged in those troubles endeavoured to attone for the pillage of the people, and other crimes they had committed, by raifing or endowing religious houses; and others defired to secure for themselves and their children a quiet alylum in these places. The pernicious confequences of fuch numbers of men and women being confined to a life of celibacy were grievoully felt in the reign of Henry the Second, by continuing and encreasing the depopulation of the country, which the commotions in that of his predecessor had occasioned. Nor was it U4 a fmall

BOOK II.a small inconvenience to the government of this monarch, in his disputes with the pope, that he had so many persons in his realm, who, by their separation from society, and the nature of their institutions, were more devoted to the fee of Rome than the fecular clergy; : which difference shewed itself, upon several occasions, in the conduct of both. And the practice of exempting monks from the proper authority of the diocefan bishops encreased this mischief. Such exemptions took their rife from what was done by William the Conqueror in favor of Battle abbey; which made others, and more especially those of greater antiquity, endeavour likewife to free themselves from the episcopal jurisdiction, by pretended ancient charters, the forgery of which was not discovered, or not regarded, by our kings, who thought that they advanced the royal prerogative by fupporting these claims, and making other grants of a like nature. In the year eleven hundred and fifty four, the abbot of St. Albans obtained a bull from Pope Adrian, to exempt the abbey and their dependants, not only from the jurifdiction of the bishop of Lincoln, their diocefan, but from all episcopal authority, and to fubject them only to that of the apostolical fee; an innovation in the constitution and discipline of the church till then unknown in England, and which in France had been justly condemned by Bernard, who declared in one of his writings, "that the uniting of religious 66 houses to the Holy see, in this manner, was

" as monstrous and unnatural a deformity in BOOK II.

" the church, as it would be in the natural

" body to unite the finger to the head!"

Besides the danger to the state from the independence of these communities on all power but the papal, which was thus procured and established during the times I write of, the great proportion of land, over and above all the former possessions of the church, now thrown into mortmain, and the quantity of filver taken out of circulation, by the ornaments with which fo many convents were decorated, must have been very hurtful to the trade and reve-

nues of the kingdom.

There is in the Cotton library a manuscript V.MSS.Cotton. Julius treatife of Giraldus Cambrenfis, which affirms B. xiii. that William Rufus had conceived a defign of taking from all the monasteries, or religious houses in England, founded and endowed by the English, all their lands and possessions, or the greater part thereof, and converting them into knights-fees; faying, that near one half of the kingdom had been bestowed on the church, from all which little or nothing could be drawn by the government, in any exigence whatfoever, for the defence of the flate. If this were true, it would account, more than any other reason, for the odious colours in which his character has been painted by the monks: but nothing is faid of it by any contemporary writer; and even in the time of Richard the Second, after vast additions had been made to the wealth of the church, and particularly by the foundation

BOOK II. tion of fo many more religious houses, all the possessions of the regular and secular clergy were not estimated at more than a third of the kingdom, as appears by a protestation of the

See the Parl. History of England.vol. i. p. 383.

house of commons in that reign. Besides it was false, that in the time of William Rufus little or nothing could be drawn from the lands of the church to the defence of the kingdom: for all the bishopricks and abbies of royal foundation being then converted into baronies, they contributed to it equally with the other baronial possessions. Nevertheless it is possible that this story may have had some grounds of of truth: for William Rufus might naturally entertain a defire, if not a fettled purpose, of taking away some of the lands of such abbies and convents, as were not charged by his father with any military fervice, and turning them into knights-fees. However this may have been, it is certain that the opulence of the monks, as well as the number of them, in the times of Henry the Second, was enormous. And the luxury, in which men professing poverty lived, was scandalous and offensive to the common fense of mankind. We have in v. G.Camb. one of the treatifes of Giraldus Cambrenfis a

De rebus à se description of the table, which was kept by the gestis, partII. monks of Canterbury, and which confisted re-AngliaSacra, gularly of fixteen covers, or more, of the vol.ii.p.480. most costly dainties. These, he tells us, were

dreffed with the most exquisite cookery, to provoke the appetite and please the taste. also speaks of an excessive abundance of wine,

particularly

particularly claret; of mulberry wine, of mead, BOOK II. and of other strong liquors, the variety of which was fo great in these repasts, that no place could be found for ale; though he informs us, that the best was made in England,

and particularly in Kent.

There is likewife an account in the same author, "that the prior and monks of St. Swi-" thin at Winchester threw themselves pro-" ftrate at the feet of King Henry the Second, " and with many tears complained to him, " that the bishop of that diocese, to whom they were fubject as their abbot, had with-" drawn from them three of the usual number " of their dishes. Henry enquired of them, " how many there still remained: and being " informed they had ten, he faid, that he him-" felf was contented with three, and imprecated a curse on the bishop if he did not reduce " them to that number." I repeat this ftory, rather to shew the temperance of the king than the excess of the monks.

In what manner the laity feafted in those days John of Salisbury has given us a short description. He fays, the houses, on such occasions, were strewed with flowers; and the jovial company drunk wine out of gilded horns, and fung fongs when they became inebriated with their liquor. This is a better account of the feftivity of our ancestors, than that given by Froisfard, who fays that the English, in the time of Edward the Third, s'enyvroient moult trissement, a la façon de leur pays;

BOOK II. pays; got drunk in great sadness, after the manner of their country. In the time of Henry the Second, and for ages afterwards, the great halls of the castles, or principal manor houses, in which the nobility and gentry refided, were crouded with vast numbers of their vassals and tenants, who were daily fed at their cost; and, in order to supply the constant plenty required for fuch profuse hospitality, they kept in their hands large demesnes, which were cultivated by their villeins: and received their rents, not in money, but in divers kinds of provisions, from many of those farmers to whom they had granted freehold lands, adjacent to their feats. This way of living, still more than the feudal obligations, attached the vaffals to their lords, and enabled thefe to become formidable to the power of the crown. When the weather permitted it, the chace drew together all the neighbouring gentry; nor was it difficult, in fuch meetings, to form or put into action those factious confederacies, to which the genius of the people was strongly inclined. It must be observed, that to be skilful in the arts of hunting and hawking, was anciently effeemed one of the requisite qualifications for chivalry, and preferred to all other knowledge. Lombard laws and the capitularies forbad a gentleman to fell his fword, or his hawk, even for the payment of his ranfom, fort of chace, which was a diffinguishing privilege of the nobles, delighted them the more, as the ladies took part in it, and appear to

have made it their principal amusement. The BOOK II. high and romantic gallantry, which prevailed in those times, must have given the fair fex fuch ideas of themselves, as were much above the character of mere good housewives, though most of their time was employed in houshold cares. And, from what we read of some ladies in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, there is reason to believe, that their minds were elevated by those ideas to a more than ordinary pitch of greatness. It is likewise probable, that the imitation of royal state which the great barons kept up in the establishment of their households, and the whole manner of living at their habitations in the country, not only drew to them the reverence of the people, but so raised their thoughts of their own dignity that it was difficult for them, in their attendance on the king, to confider themselves as his fubjects, and much more to descend to any fervile obedience.

Some of the nobles in the age of which I write, and especially the bishops, were magnificent builders. Giraldus Cambrensis informs us, that Henry de Blois, bishop of V.G. Camb. Winchester and brother to King Stephen, had, Episcop. coëduring the anarchy of those times, presumed taneorumin Anglia Sacra to pull down the royal palace of Winchester, vol. 2.p.421. which was not inferior to that of London, either in the extent or quality of the buildings, because it stood too near his church; and, that nothing might be wanting to compleat this offence against the majesty of the crown, even

ventured

in erecting a fine palace, for himself and his fuccessors, in another part of that city. The same author speaks of other works of this prelate, for the embellishment of his seats, which appeared to exceed the power of kings themselves; particularly vast ponds, supplied by aqueducts, carried on with much difficulty and expence, or by waters brought through various windings, and from a great distance, under ground. He had likewise menageries of birds and beasts from all parts of the world; a kind of magnificence which he seems to have taken from his uncle, King Henry the First, L. v. de H. I. who, (as William of Malmsbury tells us) had

L.v.de H.I. who, (as William of Malmfbury tells us) had an enclosure in his palace of Woodstock, where he kept a variety of rare animals, presented to him by foreign kings, at his own earnest request; among which lions, seopards, synces, camels, and a porcupine, are mentioned by that historian. He also describes

stephen, by Roger bishop of Salisbury; the ftones of which were so closely and so artfully

joined together, that they seemed to be all one folidrock.

By many evidences it appears, that a luxury in apparel was very general among the nobles and gentry of that age. Even the nuns were not free from it, as may be inferred from a canon of the legatine fynod at Westminster, in the year eleven hundred and thirty eight, which

See Spelman's Councils, vol. ii. p. 41.

of mirror

which, under pain of an anathema, forbids BOOK II. them to use the parti-coloured fables, called in French petit gris, martin, ermine, and beaver skins, or golden rings; or to curl or curioufly fet their hair. William of Poictou takes no- vid. Pictav. tice, that the English women in his time, viz. gest. Gul. in the reign of William the Conqueror, excel-Ducis, p.211. led in embroidery; and tells us, that the garments of those English noblemen whom that prince carried over with him into Normandy, in the first year of his reign, were richly inwoven and incrufted with gold. He fays alfo, that among the men of that nation there were good artificers of all forts; that Germans, or Dutchmen, very skilful in all the finer manufactures, were used to settle among them; and that foreign manufactures were imported from the most distant countries, by merchants trading to England. As one can hardly imagine that this writer, who came over with the duke of Normandy, was partial to the English, I think this account of their opulence, commerce, and industry, which he gives us as an eye-witness, is of no small weight. Nevertheless, as we are told by William of Malmfbury, in a passage I have cited before, that the garments of the English, before their intermixture with the Normans, were generally plain, I prefume that the embroidery, and other fine manufactures, fpoken of by William of Poictou, were only worn by the nobility of the first rank. But it appears, that, in the times of Henry the Second, the whole

L. viii. p. 682. fub. ann. 108g.

BOOK II. whole gentry of England, having adopted the fashions of the Normans, were as magnificent in their dress as their fortunes could bear. And we are informed by Ordericus Vitalis, that, during the reign of William Rufus, the mode of apparal was changed, not only in England, but all the western parts of Europe; so that, instead of close coats, which had been used till that time, as most commodious for exercise and a military life, trailing garments with long fleeves, after the manner of the Afiaticks, were univerfally worn. The men also were very nice in curling and dividing their hair, which on the fore part of their heads they fuffered to grow very long, but cut short behind. extraordinary fervour of zeal expressed by Anfelm, and other churchmen of that age, against this fashion, seems ridiculous; but we find from the words of the abovementioned V. Ord. Vit. historians, that they combined it with the idea of an affected effeminacy, and supposed it to indicate a disposition to an unnatural vice, which was very prevalent in those times. The good prelate, whose piety was so much scandalized at it, would have done well to confider, how much more the celibacy to which he forced the clergy, and the number of monasteries in this kingdom, might contribute to encrease that abominable wickedness, than any mode of dress. And indeed we are told that his preaching prevailed with the English to cut their hair, but could not reform their morals.

ut fupra.

0101177

I find no grounds upon which I can form BOOK II. any estimate of the number of people in England, during the reign of King Henry the Second. One cannot judge of it by the number of inhabitants in the capital; because, from the manners and policy of the times, the people lived more dispersed than they usually do in these times. The king's court was not fixed, and every diffrict had a leffer court of its own, in the caftle of an earl orgreat baron; which rendered the country more populous, in proportion to the Metropolis or other principal cities, than it is at prefent. In general it may be faid, that the police then established, which forced the common people into an orderly way of living, and the hardy and healthy education given to persons of both sexes, must have been greatly conducive to propagation.

Sir Henry Spelman observes, from the lef- V. Gloff. fer Domesday-book, that in all the county of Domesday. Norfolk, which is above fifty miles in length, and about thirty in breadth, there were, at the time when that register was compiled, but fixty fix lords of manors, who had the property of the foil. Under these all the rest of the free inhabitants of that province held by fubinfeudation; nor was the proportion much greater in other parts of the kingdom. But, during the reigns of Henry the First and his two next successors, property became more divided, and the number of landholders in chief was confiderably augmented: yet it appears VOL. III. from

BOOK II. from Dugdale's Baronage, that, till long after the death of Henry the Second, the earls and barons were possessed of vast estates; and the far greater part of all the lands of England was held by them in demesne, or under them by meine tenants. Of the exact number of the peerage in Henry the Second's reign I find no account. But Mr. Selden has shewn from the See Titles of close rolls of the forty feventh year of Henry the Third, that a hundred and thirty temporal, with fifty spiritual barons, were summoned by that king to perform the military fervice due by their tenures. And it appears by a record, that in the thirty fifth year of Edward the See the Par- First, eighty fix temporal barons, twenty

bishops, and forty eight abbots, were sum-

honor, par.

II. c. 5.

liament. History,

vol.i. p. 151. moned to a parliament convened at Carlifle. I shall conclude this account of the civil and political state of the kingdom, during the times of which I write, with two remarks; first, that the privileges granted, or confirmed to the nation, within that period, though often violated by our kings, were perpetually reclaimed, and restored, from time to time, by new confirmations, the last of which was the bill of rights, that great compendium of our ancient, constitutional liberties, the glory of this, and the envy of every other state: Secondly, that for some ages after the fettlement of our government by King Henry the First, the high spirit of the nobles, and the ferocity of the people, were stronger fences to both against oppression and tyranny than laws

or charters; but, at the fame time had fuch a BOOK II. tendancy to diffurb the tranquility and order of fociety, that these could hardly be preserved, even in the reigns of good princes, without fome fuch exertions of the royal authority, as approached too near to an illegal and arbritary power. But in later times, as the temper of the nation grew milder, the fame rigour in government was no longer requisite, or fit to be used; and liberty ceasing to border upon anarchy, the regal part of our conflitution could, with fafety to the publick, be fet at still a further distance from absolute monarchy. In the present state of our whole political fyftem we have nothing to wish, but that the fpirit of liberty may be moderated with fuch discretion, and supported with such firmness, as that we may never again find it necessary to feek a remedy against anarchy in an extension of prerogative; nor yet be drawn by the corruption and diffoluteness of manners, which too naturally attend a high degree of politeness, to relax the ancient British vigour and dignity of mind, which hitherto neither violence has been able to fubdue, nor prosperity to enervate.

END of the SECOND BOOK.

OF KING BENEVILL

or clarity if both at the timesting and form a cook it. 15 The Committee Court of the C by the fact that they said be said to even in the reigns of good princes i wastering tioned field by comons of the color and party and washed to be density of the rest got bull-so tog. grown and the terms to the training of the million grew milder, the first ridging in grant ver like told in held the line in the team of th re taid; and there examp to borde two analyders and to our conference analyders. The result of our conference analyders. could, with party to the publick, be see at The think of statistic result nomine respective this hi the prepare there of our whole petitiest frfirm the lave nothing to with the there in that day be selected by the fact. differ from that topported with facil tembers. or well we that never spring that it accepted to nonbedge a reing to late of the en extention of precognitive; not yet be charm by the cordollar transport le decentable bus noisque two naturally attend a high degree of politonals, vitroit his mount district marine art states of o'mends winds blackers midden violence the been the to farcues, new profession to compense of

Individual San

Place Page

HAD OF GEODES SEED OF ALL contains a property of the second of the sec

and the state of t

NOTES

TO THE

THIRD VOLUME

OF THE

History of the Life of King HENRY the Second.

PAGE 13. who remained in the monastery of BOOK II.
Bourgdieu, in Berry.

This convent is called, by the historians of those times, Monasterium Dolense; and pope Alexander's letters from thence are dated apud Dolum, because it was founded by the lords of Deols: (V. Boulanvilliers Etat de la France, t. ii. p. 201.) A castle adjacent to it is, for the same reason, called Castrum Dolense. It must not be consounded with Dole in Franche Compté.

P. 21. Nay even these biographers themselves acknowledge, that one reason which induced Henry to promote him to Canterbury, was, because he hoped, that, by his means, he should manage ecclesiastical, as well as secular affairs, to his own satisfaction.

This is confirmed by Fitstephen, another of V. Stephan. Becket's historians, in the following words: "Sta- in vitâ 5. T. tuit ergo rex Angliæ cancellarium suum in archiepiscopatum promovere, intuitu meritorum personæ.

X 3 months with the " con-

BOOK II. " confidens, quod sibi ad placitum et nutum, ut can-" cellarius fecerat, archiepiscopus obsequeratur."

> P. 28. they did not deny the words which were laid to their charge; but alledged, that they were spoken when their minds were heated and disordered with wine.

Giraldus Cambrensis, from whom I relate this

V. G. Cam- particular, tells us, that one of the company faid Angl. facra,

brens.de Vitis to Henry, " Perhaps we did say the words we are fex Episcop. " charged with; and we should have said still worse, coëtaneor. in " if our wine bad not failed us." As Plutarch par.ii.p. 427. afcribes the very fame answer to some subjects of Pyrrhus, in his Life of that prince; I rather fuppose, that this historian applied the expression he found in that author to a fimilar case, than that it was used in this instance. But as it is certain he was far from being disposed to invent any fiction to King Henry's advantage, I make no question that this story is true in the main; to wit, that some perfons, accused before that monarch of having talked of him too freely, over their cups, pleaded their ebriety in excuse of it, and were generously forgiven. It is observable, that Giraldus particularly mentions the bishop of Worcester, as implicated in this affair; a prelate whose name he would hardly have made use of, without good authority, upon fuch an occasion.

Another remarkable instance of Henry's clemency and good-nature towards the fame prelate is given by Fitstephen. He tells us, that the bishop, who was fon to Robert earl of Glocester, and had been bred up with the King under his father's tuition, reproached that monarch, in an angry conversation between them, with having acted unkindly towards his brothers and himself, which was an ill requital of the great services done by that nobleman to him

P. 64, 65.

and

and his family; and also with detaining the re-BOOK II. venues of the church. These complaints being made in the hearing of many persons, with great freedom and fharpness, a knight of Aquitaine, who was prefent, and did not know the bishop, enquired, who it was, that spoke to the king in such a manner? And being told, he made answer, that it was happy for the king the man was a priest; for had he been a foldier, he would not have left that prince two acres of land. Whereupon another of the court thinking to recommend himself by it to Henry, chid and threatened the bishop. But the king took his part, and feverely reprimanded the officious zeal of the courtier.

P. 40. The king's good humour feems indeed to have been sometimes too playful in the eye of the public.

Of this Fitstephen has given us an extraordinary V. Stephan. instance. He tells us, that one day, as the king in vita S. T. and Becket, his chancellor, were riding together fis, p. 16, 17. through the streets of London, in cold and stormy weather, the king faw coming towards them a poor old man, in a thin coat, worn to tatters. Would it not be a great charity (faid he to the chancellor) to give this naked wretch, who is fo needy and infirm, a good warm cloak? Certainly, answered that minister, and you do the duty of a king in turning your eyes and thoughts to fuch objects. While they were thus talking the man came near; the king asked him, if he wished to have a good cloak? and turning to the chancellor faid; You shall have the merit of this good deed of charity: then fuddenly laying hold on a fine new fcarlet cloak lined with furr, which Becket had on, he tried to pull it from him, and after some struggle, in which they had both like to have fallen from their horses, prevailed. The poor man had X 4 the

BOOK II. " confidens, quod sibi ad placitum et nutum, ut can-" cellarius fecerat, archiepiscopus obsequeratur."

P. 38. they did not deny the words which were laid to their charge; but alledged, that they were spoken when their minds were heated and disordered with wine.

Giraldus Cambrensis, from whom I relate this V. G. Cam- particular, tells us, that one of the company said brens. de Vitis to Henry, "Perhaps we did say the words we are sex Episcop. "charged with; and we should have said still worse, coëtaneor. in "if our wine had not failed us." As Plutarch Angl. sacra, par.ii.p. 427. ascribes the very same answer to some subjects of

coëtaneor. in " if our wine had not failed us." As Plutarch par.ii.p.427. afcribes the very fame answer to some subjects of Pyrrhus, in his Life of that prince; I rather fuppose, that this historian applied the expression he found in that author to a fimilar case, than that it was used in this instance. But as it is certain he was far from being disposed to invent any fiction to King Henry's advantage, I make no question that this story is true in the main; to wit, that some perfons, accused before that monarch of having talked of him too freely, over their cups, pleaded their ebriety in excuse of it, and were generously forgiven. It is observable, that Giraldus particularly mentions the bishop of Worcester, as implicated in this affair; a prelate whose name he would hardly have made use of, without good authority, upon fuch an occasion.

Another remarkable instance of Henry's clemency and good-nature towards the same prelate is given by Fitstephen. He tells us, that the bishop, who was son to Robert earl of Glocester, and had been bred up with the King under his father's tuition, reproached that monarch, in an angry conversation between them, with having acted unkindly towards his brothers and himself, which was an ill requital of the great services done by that nobleman to him

P. 64, 65.

and

and his family; and also with detaining the re-BOOK II. venues of the church. These complaints being made in the hearing of many persons, with great freedom and sharpness, a knight of Aquitaine, who was prefent, and did not know the bishop, enquired, who it was, that spoke to the king in such a manner? And being told, he made answer, that it was happy for the king the man was a priest; for had he been a foldier, he would not have left that prince two acres of land. Whereupon another of the court thinking to recommend himself by it to Henry, chid and threatened the bishop. But the king took his part, and feverely reprimanded the officious zeal of the courtier.

P. 40. The king's good humour feems indeed to have been sometimes too playful in the eye of the public.

Of this Fitstephen has given us an extraordinary V. Stephan. instance. He tells us, that one day, as the king in vita S. T. and Becket, his chancellor, were riding together fis, p. 16, 17. through the streets of London, in cold and stormy weather, the king faw coming towards them a poor old man, in a thin coat, worn to tatters. Would it not be a great charity (faid he to the chancellor) to give this naked wretch, who is fo needy and infirm, a good warm cloak? Certainly, answered that minister, and you do the duty of a king in turning your eyes and thoughts to fuch objects. While they were thus talking the man came near; the king asked him, if he wished to have a good cloak? and turning to the chancellor faid; You shall have the merit of this good deed of charity: then fuddenly laying hold on a fine new fearlet cloak lined with furr, which Becket had on, he tried to pull it from him, and after some struggle, in which they had both like to have fallen from their horses, prevailed. The poor man had X 4 the

BOOK II. the cloak, and the courtiers laughed like good courtiers, at the pleasantry of the king.

> P. 44. Before her death she retired to the Nunnery of Godstow, near Oxford, and there she died --- Henry bestowed large revenues on the convent, &c.

In the Differtation concerning Fair Rosamond, annexed to the fifth volume of Rapin's Hiftory of England, translated by Mr. Tindal, fourth edition, the authority of Nicholas Trivet is quoted to prove, that the Nunnery of Godstow was founded by King John. But that it was founded in the reign of King Stephen, and that the body of Rosamond was deposited there during the life of her lover, King Henry the Second, appears undeniably from feveral records, that are published by Dugdale, in the first volume of his Monasticon, and in the additions to it annexed to the fecond, Another mistake made in the Differtation abovementioned, is the supposing that the bishop of Lincoln, who t,i, ii. p.884. ordered the body of Rosamond to be removed out of the church, was the famous Groftest, who held that fee under Henry the Third; whereas it was done in the reign of Richard the First, anno dom. 1191, by Hugh, bishop of Lincoln; as we are informed by Roger de Hoveden, who lived at that time. (V. Hoveden, f. 405. b. lin, 20 sub ann. 1191. ann. pars poster.) There is in the Monasticon a fragment from Leland, which fays: "Rof-" amonde's Tombe at Godestowe Nunnery was

> "taken up a late: it is a stone with this inscription "Tumba Rosemunda. Her bones were closed in " lede, and withyn that, bones were closed yn " letter [leather]: when it was opened there was a

66 very swete smell came out of it."

V. Monast. t. i. p. 525. ad 529. Additament. ad P. 58. A late ingenious French writer has very justly BOOK II. observed, that wearing such ensigns on their shields, and appropriating them to distinguish particular families, could not have been the general practice in Europe till after the death of William the Conqueror: for if it had, his fon Robert must have known him by his armour and could not have ignorantly thrown bim to the ground, as bath been related in the book

prefixed to this history. To this observation I will add, that the laborious Herald, Mr. Sandford, fays, in his Genealogical History of the Kings and Queens of England, that "Gules 2 Lions passant regardant or are the arms " assigned to Willam the Conqueror, as also to "Robert duke of Normandy, King William the "Second, and King Henry the First, derived (as " tradition tells us) hereditarily from Rollo, the first "duke of Normandy; who is faid to have born in " his escocheon or shield the same charge, affect-"ing, as feveral other Norman princes did, that "Sovereign beaft, the lion." But then he adds, "I term these arms attributed or assigned, because I cannot find, either by monuments, coins, feals, or any contemporary author, that fuch were in use with these several princes; but that following ages did affign or fix them upon the norman line, to " diffinguish it from the succeeding Plantagenets, "that did bear Gules 3 Lions passant regardant or " (King Henry the Second, the first of that race, " adding to the Norman arms the lion of Aquitane " of the same metal, in a field of that colour, in "the right of Eleanor his wife heir of that coun-"try) and for this cause are they painted for the " conqueror, upon the tomb of Queen Elizabeth, "in Henry the Seventh's chapel at Westminster, " impaled with those of Queen Maud of Flanders " his wife; arms attributed to the forresters and "Frison: and the arms also of King Henry the "Frison: and the arms also of King Henry the "Frist, impaling them of Queen Maud of Scotland: "when indeed impalements were not known before the "time of Henry the Third, if so soon; as I shall "prove in its proper place." Yet the same author shews, that devices were used in the time of the conqueror, but taken up and laid down at pleasure. And says, "Tis probable the reason why the shields "of that age were left blanks, or of one simple colour "or metal, was to receive the impress of every fancy, "that either pleased the bearer or the painter."

P. 71.72. This feems to have been the constant support of the Navy: but upon extraordinary occasions danegeld was levied; and, although at the end of that century the name was lost, a like provision was often made, in every age, by our parliaments, for the defence of the British seas and security of the dom.

Rot. Parl. 45 Edw. III. the commons pray, that the franchises of the sea towns and havens may be allowed to them as heretofore; and fay, that by default thereof the navy of England is much decayed," &c. These franchises were, the being discharged of armies and defence at land, and of all subfidies granted in parliament. But Mr. St. John, in his fecond day's argument for ship-money, observes, that these were for ordinary service: bidage and danegeld for extraordinary. Bracton speaks of bidages as not being feudal dues, but among those grants that were de necessitate et ex communi consensu totius regni introducta. (V. Bracton, 1. ii. p. 37.) Danegeld was a kidage, being laid upon hides of SeeFortescue land. Fortescue says, that in his time (under Henry the Sixth and Edward the Fourth) the king

had the fubfidy of tonnage and poundage for the

keeping

See Fortescue of absolute and limited Monarchy, p. 44, c. 6. keeping of the sea, and that it ought to be applied BOOK II. to no other purpose.

P. 82. Aknight's-fee seemes to have been usually composed in those days of two bides of land, or of two bides and a half.

Sir Henry Spelman fays, "that the Normans " changed the name of a hide of land, and called " it carue, a ploughland; and, as it feemeth, in

" erecting and laying forth their knight's-fees, " affigned ordinarily two carues or ploughlands to a

" knight's-fee. For 'tis noted out of the Black " register of St. Edmond's-bury, that William the

" Conqueror gave to Baldwin, then abbot there,

" eighty ploughlands, from which he might consti-" tute forty knight's-fees, octoginta carucatas terra,

" unde feodaret quadraginta milites." (V. Spelman's treatise on Feuds and Tenures, c. 27.) But V. Madox it appears from the rolls in the reign of Henry the Hift. of the Second, that two knights held five hides of the Excheq.c.15. bishop of Chichester for two knight's-fees, and ten p. 400. hides had been taken from the demesne of that see. to enfeoff four knights, foon after the Norman conquest. By the Red Book of the Exchequer it appears, that in Henry the Second's reign a distinction was made between knight's-fees of the old and of the new feoffment. The latter were those that had been granted after the death of Henry the First; and some of them, being smaller than the former, paid only twelve shillings for scutage, when the former paid twenty. But others of them when the former paid twenty. But others of them, V. Mag.Rot. were charged at the same rate to those payments, V. Mag.Rot. and must therefore have been nearly of the same Rot. 6. de value. I likewise observe in the rolls, that several auxilioMatilbarons, who had fees of the old feeffment, were also dæfiliæ regis possessor forme of the new feoffment; and, upon Earl of Warthe whole, I see no reason to believe, that a distinct William de

and Albiney.

BOOK II. and inferior order of barons was constituted by these - tenures, as fome have thought.

> P. 83. Sir H. Spelman says, that a mesne tenant, who had more than a fingle knight's-fee, was called a vavasor, which, he thinks, was a degree above knights: yet we generally find that name applied to any vassal, who held a military fief of a tenant in

chief of the crown. Many proofs might be given of this from books and records of that age. Mr. Selden fays, in his Titles of Honor, part II. c. v. fect. xvii. "There " were two kinds of knight's-fees (as to this " day also there are); those that were held in chief " of the king; and those that were held by a " mesne tenure, called also anciently vavasories. " Of the first kind only, these baronies (as also the " baronies or honors of earls) were made; and they, " by subinfeudation for the most part, made the " fecond. And by themselves and others provided " at their own charge, or by their tenants (whom " they made by fuch fubinfeudation), they per-" formed the services reserved by the king. As, " if the king gave twenty knight's-fees to be held " in chief, or lands to be held by the service of " twenty knights or men at arms (which was the " fame kind of gift), if the patentee infeoffed others " of part to be held under him (for example) by " the service of fifteen knights, then the king was " ferved, at the charge of his baron the patentee, " with five knights; and the other fifteen were " fupplied by those that held the rest by mesne " tenures; yet so, that, if they failed in their ser-" vice, the baron was to pay escuage, according " to their number, to the king, and to have his " like remedy against them." Concerning escuage much is faid in other places of this work; but I would

would observe here, that, in using the word paten- BOOK II. tee, Mr. Selden could not mean, that, in the times when this military policy was established, there were any barons by patent, but it is fignificant of the terms on which the lands were granted.

P. 84. But these instances were extraordinary; the far greater number of the military tenants in chief having

many subvassals.

The learned Mr. Justice Wright has well observed, in his Introduction to the law of tenures, that, fince it is clear that fubinfeudations were warranted by the feudal law, and that they were an original and necessary branch of the feudal policy itfelf, the doubt whether the king's tenants had a liberty of disposing of any of their lands to hold of themselves could not be very ancient: and he argues strongly to shew, that the first commencement of it was not earlier than the time of Henry the Third, from the statute 34 Edw. III. cap. 15. which makes good all fuch alienations (or fubinfeudations) made by those who held of the king's great grandfarther, or of other kings before him, expresly faving his prerogative of the time of his grandfather, father, and of his own time.

It will be well worth the reader's while to fee all that is faid by the abovementioned writer concerning the restraint laid by Magna Charta, c. 32. on the practice of alienating fo much of a fief, as not to leave enough for the performance of the fervice due to the lord from his vaffal, and afterwards by the statutes, Quia emptores terrarum, and De prerogativa regis. I will only observe, that, though the power of fubinfeudation feems to have been confonant to the original policy of fiefs, without any distinction between those who held of the king, or BOOK II. of other inferior lords, yet the limitation of it, in all cases, within the bounds prescribed in those laws, for the security of the service on which the tenure was founded, appears also to have been a necessary and inherent condition of all such grants, the departure from which, if not checkt, would have been finally destructive to the whole seudal system.

P. 86. But, in the case of invasions, the common law of the land continued undoubtedly to oblige, not only those tenants, but all the other freeholders, to assist in repelling and driving out the invaders.

The limitation expressed in the statute of I Edw. III. viz. that no man be compelled to go out of his shire. but where necessity warranteth and sudden coming of strange enemies into the realm, I take to have been always the law of England. The statute concludes with a reference to the ancient practice of that law. And then it shall be done as bath been used in times past for the defence of the realm. The first clause of the same act, viz. The king wills that no man from benceforth shall be charged to arm himself otherwise than he was wont in the time of his progenitors, kings of England, refers also to ancient usage, and to the affife of arms in the reign of King Henry the Second, which is again referred to and confirmed by the statute at Winchester in the 13 Edw. I. c. vi.

believe what is said by the compiler of some laws ascribed to Edward the Confessor) the militia of every county was commanded by an annual officer called Heretoch, who was chosen into that office by all the freeholders, in the folkmote or county court.

The

The authority of that collection of laws, in BOOK II. which this paffage occurs, I think indeed very flight; but what makes me believe, that the compiler of it did not err in this point, is its agreement with the ancient German laws, which Sir H. Spelman takes notice of, and feems on that account to confider it as authentick. (V. Spelman's Gloff. p. 288. DE HERETOCHIIS.)

Ibid. Sir H. Spelman supposes, that, after the Normans came in, this command devolved to the earl. And there is great reason to think, that the military power of every county was principally in the earl, during the times of which I write, though it was occasionally exercised by the sheriff or viscount.

Spelman's words here referred to are in his Gloffary under COMES, "Quo edoctus exemplo "Gulielmus I, novi utique apud Anglos regni " fundamenta ponens, commilitones suos feodali-" bus exornavit dignitatibus; forte etiam auctiori-

" bus quam ævo Saxonum. Nam cessisse jam vide-" tur munus Heretochi comitatus in manu ipfius

" comitis." That the earls had the chief command of the militia of the county in the reign of William the First, and till after the decease of Henry the Second, appears, I think, from many clear historical proofs: and in all the accounts of those times I meet with no trace of any officer elected to fuch a command.

P. 87. But, whether even the Saxon Heretoch was not fubordinate to the earl in his military functions, appears to me very doubtful.

Several reasons induce me to this doubt, and among others this passage in Florence of Worcester, V. Fl. Wig.

" Talia gerii in suo comitatu Godwinus graviter 1054. " ferens, nimiaque commotus irâ, de toto suo comi-

320

BOOK II. " tatu, scilicet de Cantia &c. et filius ejus primoge-" nitus Swanus de suo &c. alterque filius Hiraldus " de suo &c. innumerabilem congregaverunt exerci-" tum." From hence it should seem, that the power of the earls over the military force, in their feveral counties, was, in the Saxon times, fuperior to that of the Heretoch elected by the people. Perhaps that officer was no more than lieutenant under the earl. But indeed (to use the words of the Honor, c. 5. learned Mr. Selden) " most parts of the state of " the Saxon government are fo obscure, that we " can fee only steps or torn reliques of them " rather than so much as might give a full satis-" faction.

Titles of part ii.

> P. 90. Archerswere drawn from the yeomanry, and seem to have served on foot, as attendants on the vassals who held by knight's-service, and at their charge; or, sometimes, under the pay and at the charge of the

king.

I read of no archers on horseback in the age of which I write, unless they were comprehended under the term servientes, some of which were light See Cotton's horsemen: but in Edward the Third's reign mention is made, in aroll of parliament, of two hundred archers on horseback; and, in the seventh year of p. 21. 13 E. Richard the Second, the bishop of Norwich offered III. & p.281. to ferve the king abroad with 3000 men at arms, and 2500 archers, well horsed and appointed. And when Lionel earl of Clarence went with an army into Ireland, he carried with him thither many archers on horseback, whose pay was fix-pence a man per diem, the squires in the same army being rated at one shilling a man per diem, the knights at two shillings, and the bannerets at four shillings. There were likewise some archers at four-pence per diem, who, I presume, served on foot. The earl

abridgment of the records in the Tower, 7. R. II.

of

of Ormonde had under him, befides his knights and BOOK II. squires, twenty hoblers armed, and twenty not armed; the pay of the former being fix-pence a piece per diem, and of the latter four-pence. These boblers were Irish horsemen, so called because they they served on bobbies. How much the strength of the nation was then thought to depend on the skill and valour of its archers appears from a letter of the duke of Guelderland to King Richard the Second, in which he uses this expression, " The tried valour " of your people, and the sharpness of their victori. " ous arrows, have so far advanced the fame of " your magnanimous nation above any of the "West, that terror and dread cannot but seize your " adversaries." In Henry the Sixth's reign the Ibid. p. 560. commons granted to the king twenty thousand ar- 31 He VI. chers for half a year in his fervice. And Fortescue fays, in his treatife of Absolute and limited monarchy, written in the reign of Edward the Fourth, that the might of the realm of England most standeth C. 12. upon archers.

P. 92. Father Daniel observes, that this establishment passed from the demesse of the kings of France into those of his greatest vassals, the dukes of Burgundy, the dukes of Normandy, and several others.

It must not from hence be supposed (as it has been by some writers) that, till these privileges were granted by Louis le Gros, none had been enjoyed by any cities in France or Normandy. Ordericus Vitalis takes notice, that in the year eleven L. xi. p. 821, hundred and six King Henry the First, being fa-822. apud vorably received by the citizens of Rouen, restored buchesne. the ancient dignities of that city. "Rex siquidem cum duce Rotomagum adiit, et à civibus fa-Vol. III.

Ibid. p. 788. fub an. 1101.

BOOK II. " vorabiliter acceptus paternas leges renovavit, " pristinasque urbis dignitates restauravit." The same author fays of the fame king, that, in a treaty with his brother, the duke of Normandy, he retained only the town and castle of Dumfront in that dutchy, because he had promised the citizens upon oath, that he would never feperate them from his demesnes, nor change their laws and customs: " Quia Damfrontanis, quando illum intromiferunt, " jurejurando pipegerat, quod nunquam eos de " manu fua projiceret, nec leges eorum vel confuetu-" dines mutaret." These laws and customs must have been privileges granted to the townsmen, which others did not enjoy; as they were fo defirous to prevent their being changed. The enfranchisements of ferfs in towns, and the establishing therein of a new military power independant on the nobility, were indeed innovations, which took their rife from the institutions of Louis le Gros, and came from France into Normandy, and even into England. Some corporations which did not before exist, might be also created at that time; but many others, I prefume, were only new modelled. This feems to have been the case of the city of Rouen at still a later period. For Duchesne has given us a charter, which directs in what manner a mayor shall be elected, and establishes other regulations for the government of that city, but with a reference to the constitution of a former community upon a different model. It is without date, but feems to be from Philip Augustus, by some of the terms therein used. (See Duchesne H. Norm. p. 1066.) It is certain, that in England many cities and towns were bodies corporate and communities long before the alteration introduced into France by the charters of Louis le Gros.

n

P. 94. But these the courses of elder times were BOOK II: about this time much altered, and the king for the most part, was supplied in his wars by contract with the nobility and gentry, to serve him with so many men, and so long, and at such a rate, as he and they by indenture accorded.

Sir Robert Cotton seems to date this alteration from the reign of Richard the Second; but we find, See Cotton's by the records of parliament, that, in the thirteenth abridgement year of Edward the Third, seven thousand four of the reparation of the countries of the transfer, and two thousand halberters, were set 13 E. III. out by certain nobles of the counties of York, Nottingham, Derby, Lancaster, and Cumberland, by particular rate.

Ibid. Indeed the practice varied much in different ages; though it is plain, from our law books, that the principle of knight-service, due, by the nature of the feudal policy, from all the possessor of military tenures, remained much the same, from the reign of William the First to that of Henry the Seventh; nay, even till Charles the Second abolished those tenures.

Among the variations from the custom of the ancient seudal tenures we may reckon a clause in a statute of the eighteenth of Edward the Third, which says, that men of arms, hoblers, and archers, chosen to go in the king's service out of England, shall be at the king's wages, from the day that they depart out of the counties, where they were chosen, till they return. These, I apprehend, were not men, who served on the ancient plan, according to the duty of their tenures; but were raised by the new practice of agreements and covenants between the king and his barons, or tenants in chief by X 2

Latement !

BOOK II. knight-service, and picked from the several counties. They were not therefore bound, as under the ancient establishment, to serve forty days at their own charges. Yet no changes in the mode produced any in the nature of feudal military fervice, on which foundation and principle all these contracts were made. By the act of the twenty fifth of Edward the Third, " it is accorded and affented, " that no man shall be constrained to find men of arms, " hoblers, or archers, other than those which hold by " such services, if it be not by common affent and grant ower. p. 11. " made in parliament:" which is comfirmed by a . Iti . H : statute of the fourth of Henry the Fourth, with the following proviso, " so that the lords nor any other, "that have lands or possessions of the country of "Wales, or in the marches thereof, shall in no wife " be excused of their service and devoirs, due of their " faid lands and possessions, nor of any other devoirs or things, whereto they or any of them be bound to our " lord the king; though that the same lords and other " have other lands and possessions within the realm of " England. And that the lords, or other, of what estate or condition that they be, which hold by escuage, or other service due to the king, any lands or possessions within the faid realm, be in no wife excused to do their services and devoirs, due of their said lands or possessions. And that the lords, " knights, esquires, nor other persons, of what estate " or condition they be, which hold and have of the grant, or confirmation of our lord the king, lands, " possessions, fees, annuities, pensions, or other yearly profits, be not excused to do their service to our lord the king in such manner as they are bounden because " of the faid lands, &c. which was not repealed till the act of the twelfth of Charles the Second.

P. 100. The treatife ascribed to Glanville, &c. tells BOOK II. us, that the relief of a knight's-fee was then fixed at a hundred shillings, and of lands held in soccage at a year's value, by the custom of the kingdom; but that, with regard to baronies and to serjeanties, there was no determinate rule of law, those who held by such tenures satisfying the king, for the relief due to him from them. at his discretion.

This disagrees with the charter of Henry the See this chat-First, which Henry the second has confirmed: for there it is said, that, upon the death of a baron, first volume. or any other tenant who held in chief of the Crown, his heir shall not redeem his land, as had been done in the reign of William the Second, but shall only pay a just and lawful relief; words which evidently imply a known rule of law to direct and ascertain the payment. It is likewise declared, in the most authentic original manuscripts of King John's See the char-Magna Charta, that one hundred pounds shall be ter in Black-

Magna Charta, that one hundred pounds shall be ter in Black-paid, on the death of a baron, by the heir, as the flone's edit. ancient relief. We also find by the Norman lawbook called Le Coutumier, that this was agreeable ham manuto the practice in Normandy, from whence these scripts. feudal payments were derived to the English. V. Coutum. How then did it happen, that, with regard to the reliefs of baronies and of serjeanties, the course of law, in the reign of Henry the Second, was different from that practice, and from the charter of his grandfather, which he had confirmed?

r

12

7

ıt

by

ds

Se

of

ls,

te

be

ls,

·ly

rd

iste ill

0.

The best solution I can give of this difficulty is suggested to me by observing, that, from the records which Mr. Madox has given us in his History of the Exchequer, it seems that Henry the Sec Hist. of Second took no more for any barony than one hundred the Exchequer, which being less than the relief referred to in c. 10. the charter, the barons could have no reason to complain of his departing from that rule in this point.

Another See p. 213.

BOOK II. Another reason, which is mentioned in another

part of this book, might induce them to leave it discretionary in the crown, so long as that discretion was favorably used. But the abuse, that was made of their confidence by King John, may have obliged them to deny it to him and his fucceffors. For many other instances are to be found in the history of the English Constitution, that ancient laws, or customs, which, in the reigns of good princes, had given way to beneficent acts of prerogative, have been claimed and reftored, in after times, as necessary bulwarks to the people against oppression. SeePine's En- The words of King John's charter, as they stand in Magna Carta the two Cottonian manuscripts and in that of the Johan in Dr. church of Sarum, by which Mr. Tyrrel corrected Blackstone's Matthew Paris's copy, are these: "Siguis comi-" tum vel baronum nostrorum, five eliorum tenentium de nobis in capite per servitium militare, mortuus fuerit, et cum decesserit hæres suus plenæ ætatis fuerit, et relevium debeat, habeat hæreditatem suam per antiquum relevium; scili-" cet hæres vel hæredes comitis, de baronia " comitis integra, per centum libras; hæres vel " hæredes baronis, de baronia integra, per centum " libras; hæres vel hæredes militis, de feodo mili-" tis integro, per centum folidos ad plus; et qui " minus debuerit, minus det secundum antiquam " consuetudinem feodorum." The two Cottonian manuscripts are the only originals of this charter now extant, that of Sarum being missing, But the copy of it, which is entered in the Red Book of the Exchequer, agrees with these, only instead of libras it has libram in the fection concerning the relief for a barony; which is plainly an error.

charter of the first year of Henry the Third, which

Another

Dr. Blackstone has printed from the only original extant, viz. that in the archives of the cathedral at

Durham,

· Michael graving and edition, See also Tyrrel'sAppend. to his third volume.

Durham, agrees entirely with King John's in the BOOK II. clause here recited, and so does the entry of it in the Red Book of the Exchequer at Dublin, only that, instead of the words de baronia integra, it has de baronia baronis integra, but the relief is the same, viz. centum libras.

The fecond charter of Henry the Third, granted in the year 1217, which Dr. Blackstone has printed from the original in the Bodleian library, the only one extant, has the fame clause word for word; and so has the Talbot manuscript, from which Dr. Blackston has published the charter of the ninth year of that king, and also the Durham manuscript, which the Doctor calls an original of inestimable value. But the entry made in the ancient book at Guildhall of the charter of the year 1217, instead of libras has marcas, in the fection which relates to the relief of a barony; and the same reading is found in all the See these charters of inspeximus of the twenty fifth and twen-charters in ty eighth of Edward the First, which have been Blackstone's collated by Dr. Blackstone with the Till edition. collated by Dr. Blackstone with the Talbot manuscript of the charter of the ninth year of Henry the Third, and also with that of Durham. What gives fome authority to this alteration of pounds to marks is a record which Mr. Madox has cited, and by Hist, of the which it appears, that, in the thirty fifth year of Exchequer, king Edward the First, John de Ratynden, cousin and c. x. p. 220. heir of Alice Mucegross, paid x1l. 11s. 11d. ob. q. relief, for all the lands which Alice held of the king in rapite, viz. for the fixth part of the barony which Hugh Dyve formerly held. In the twenty first year of king Edward I, Alice was charged with xxv marks relief for the faid fixth part of a barony; the relief for a whole barony being then charged at one bundred pounds. But now, by the Magna Carta regis de libertatibus Angliæ (which charter the king by his writ, quod eft inter communia de

NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. anno XXIX, commands to be observed in all points), the king would take but one hundred marks for the relief of a barony: and therefore John de Ratyndenis charged for the same portion of the said barony at the rate of a hundred marks, et non de tanta sum-

11.

ma pecunia, as Alice was charged at, viz. a hundred See Titles of pounds. Mr. Selden also cites, in his Titles of Honour, c.v. Honour, a roll of the fixth year of Edward the par. ii. fect. Third, by which it appears, that in the twelfth year of Edward the First, Humfrey de Bohun was charged with fifty marks for a third part of the fiefs which had belonged to Ada de Portu, and with fifty marks for a third part of the honor of Breghnoc, which was a greater fum than was paid by John de Bohun to Edward the Third, " eo quod re-" levium prædictum oneratum fuit tanquam pro tertia " parte prædictarum baroniarum ante confectionem " Magnæ Cartæ de libertatibus Angliæ, quo tempore " relevium pro baronia affessium fuit ad c libras, et " post confectionem ejusdem Cartæ ad c marcas " tantum, juxta quarum c marcarum ratam præ-" dictus Johannes de Bohun oneratur superius de " relevio dicti avi fui pro partibus dictarum baro-" niarum, &c." In this record the words ante et post confectionem Magnæ Cartæ de libertatibus Angliæ, can mean nothing but before and after the confirmation of the great charter of Henry the Third, which (as it is expressed in the other record cited by Mr. Madox) the king, by his writ quod eft inter communia de anno x x 1x, commands to be observed in all points. For by this record it appears, that in the twelfth, and by the other, that in the twenty first year of Edward the First, the relief for a barony was a hundred pounds. The alteration therefore was made by the charters of inspeximus, but how they came to vary from the original charter of the ninth of Henry the Third, so much to the prejudice of

the

the royal revenue, it is hard to conceive. On the BOOK II. other hand, many reasons forbid one to suppose that it stood so in that charter. For, besides that the two original manuscripts, cited before, have it libras, the same reading is found in the authentick entry of it in the Red Book of the Exchequer at Westminster: and it likewise appears by the rolls, that in the very year when the faid charter was granted, viz. the ninth of Henry the Third, Wal- See Madox's ter de Clyfford was charged with a hundred pounds Exchequer, for his relief as for a barony. I have shewn before, c. x. p. 218. that the authentick transcripts of all the former charters have libras not marcas. And if in King John's time the ancient relief was a hundred pounds. it was fo in Henry the Third's and Edward the First's. But further, it is evident by the great roll of the tenth year of Richard the First, that a hundred pounds was then thought the reasonable relief for a barony. Edward the First must have been therefore deceived in this matter by those who made the charters of inspeximus for him. being no stops in the manuscripts, it is hard to determine, whether the words adplus after centum folidos relate only to them, or to the preceding reliefs of earldoms and baronies in the fame clause; but I rather think that they only belong to the centum folidos.

By the laws of William the Conqueror, the reliefs of earls, barons, and vavafors, are to be only their hories and arms, like the Heriots of the Saxons: which feems to have been injoined by that prince to accommodate the Norman custom in this respect to the Saxon; forafmuch, as many of those laws are Edward the Confessor's revived and confirmed. (See Ingulph. and Wilkins Leg. Gul. Conq. p. 22, 23, 24.) But it is observable, that the number of horses and arms required thereby as the lawful relief for an earl, is double to that for a baron, and

BOOK II. that for a baron is double to a vavafor's: whereas it has been shewn, that the charters make the relief for a baron the same with that for an earl (or but a third less, even if we read marks instead of pounds), and allow no more than a hundred shillings for any knight's fee, which falls very short of one half of the baron's relief. I therefore prefume that those laws were repealed, and that the just and lawful relief in the charter of Henry the First did not refer to what they had established, but to the Norman relief, which was the same as the subsequent charters declare.

C. x. p. 220.

Mr. Madox takes notice, in his History of the Exchequer, that although baronies differed much in the number of fees whereof they confifted, the relief of each was the same: which proves, that it could not be a fourth part of the value of the annual income arifing out of the barony; as some

have supposed.

According to the author of the Dialogue de Scaccario, the relief of a hundred shillings was only fixed in his time (under King Henry the Second) for knight's fees held of the barons, or escheated to the king; not for those that were immediately held of the crown. But no fuch distinction is made by Glanville, and in the charters of King John and Henry the Third it is faid, "that the heir or heirs See the char- " of an entire knight's-fee, which was held in chief

tion.

ters of Blak- " of the king, should pay the ancient relief, which Rone's edi- " was but a bundred shillings at most, and that he " who owed less should pay less, according to the

" ancient custom of fiefs."

P. 107. He tells us also, that it was a duty incumbent on the lord, to offer a proper match to a female ward in his custody, as soon as she was of an age to marry, and also to pay her a reasonable portion

tion. These regulations, and the profit given, by a BOOK II. feudal custom in these times, to the king and inferior lords, on the marriage of their vassals, conduced to promote propagation, and the encrease of the people; for it is probable that few remained long unmarried.

The duty of the lord to offer a proper match to a female ward in his custody is enforced by the statute of Westminster, in the third of Edward the First, c. 22. which fays, that if the lord, to whom the marriage belongeth, will not marry beirs female, after they have accomplished the age of fourteen years, but for covetife of the land will keep them unmarried. he shall not keep, by reason of marriage, the lands of fuch heirs female more than two years after the term of the said fourteen years. And if he do not marry them within the said two years, then shall they have an action to recover their inheritance quite, without giving any thing for their wardship or their marriage. And if they, of malice, or by evil counsel, will not be married by their chief lords (where they shall not be disparaged) then their lords may hold their land and inheritance until they have accomplished the age of an heir male, to wit, of one and twenty years, and further, until they have taken the value of the marriage. The foundation of this power, given to the lords of heirs female refufing to marry, where no disparagement would enfue, was the profit that fuch lords would have made of their marriage; and the reason of allowing fuch profit was the loss sustained by their lords in giving up their lands, on the marriage of the wards, before the wardship was determined. With regard to heirs male, it had before been declared by C. vii. the statute of Merton, "that if an heir (of what " age foever he be) will not marry at the request of

" his lord, he shall not be compelled thereunto; " but when he cometh to full age, he shall give to

s bis lord and pay him as much as any would have " given

332 NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. " given him for the marriage before the receipt of his " land; and that, whether he will marry himself or

" not: for the marriage of him that is within age of

" mere right pertaineth to the lord of the fee."

The same statute also said, "that if an heir, being fourteen years old, or above, unto his

" full age, should marry without licence of his

" lord, to defraud him of the marriage, and his lord offer him reasonable and convenient marriage,

" without disparagement, then his lord shall hold

" his land beyond the term of his age, that is to

" fay, of one and twenty years, so long that he may

" receive the double value of the marriage after the elimation of lawful men, or after as it hath been of-

" fered before, without fraud or collusion, and after as

" it may be proved in the king's court."

From hence it is evident, that what may be concluded, from the filence of Glanville, not to have been law in the reign of Henry the Second, was now become law, namely, that the marriage of a male ward, within age, of a mere right pertaineth to the lord of the fee; and was so far considered as a profit due to him, that, although he could not compel his ward to marry, yet he might demand as much as any one would have given him for the marriage, and the ward was to pay it to him, whether he married or not. It appears also, that, when the statute of Merton was made, the value of fuch marriage might be legally estimated; and it was declared, that the penalty of defrauding the lord thereof, by a marriage without his confent, if a reasonable and convenient one was offered by him, should be double that value. But if a female heir was married. though with her father's confent without that of her lord, the penalty was a forfeiture of the whole fief for ever, secundum jus et consuetudinem regni, fays

. . .

C. vi.

Glanville, l. vii. c. 12. which still continued to be BOOK IL. law under Henry the Third.

Ibid. Glanville takes notice of only three kinds of aids, which the feudal lord had a right to demand from his

There is a remarkable conformity between the

vassals, &c.

ideas of ancient Rome, on the reciprocal duties of patrons and clients, and those of the feudal law on the reciprocal duties of lords and vassals. I cannot better shew this than by repeating the words of the learned Dr. Chapman, in his Eslay on the Roman fenate. He fays (p. 10, 11.) that, by the inftitutions of that commonwealth, " the patrons were " obliged to affift their clients gratis with their ad-" vice and credit upon all occasions, and defend "their persons and properties in all civil and cri-" minal profecutions; in return for which, the " clients were directed to contribute to the fortunes " of their patron's daughters, if their own circum-" stances did not enable them to marry them suit-" able to their birth; to their ransom, or that of " their children, who happened to be taken by the " enemy; to the payment of any fines, or costs " of fuit, they might be cast in; or the expence " incurred by canvaffing for any public office." Agreeable to these in a great degree are the obligations of defence, protection, and warranty, on the part of the feudal lords, and the aids mentioned by Glanville in the passage referred to above, together with the aid of ransom, on the part of the feudal vaf-Yet it must be observed, that the whole syflem of feudal duties arose from the tenure of lands; whereas those established between the Roman patrons and their clients were neither founded upon any territorial connexion, nor were they of a military nature, as all feuds originally were; nor was

BOOK II. any jurisdiction inherent in the patrons over their clients, as in the feudal lords over their vaffals; nor was any oath of fealty due from the clients to their patrons, as from the feudal vaffals to their V. Craig, De lords. On which accounts the learned Craig has Jur. Feudali, justly rejected the notion of the policy of feuds 1. i. tit. 5. being derived from these institutions. c. 6.

> P. 108. Another was to contribute towards his expence in making his eldeft son a knight, &c.

> According to Britton, no lord could demand this aid of his tenant, if he were not himself a knight.

Chap. des prifes des avres.

> P. 110. which ceremonies denoted (according to Bracton) on the part of the lord, protection, defence, and

warranty; &c.

Warranty fignified an obligation on the feoffer to warrant the feifin or possession of the feossee in his land: or, if he could not maintain it, to make him fatisfaction by rendering to the value of the fee if it was evicted. (See Wright on Tenures, p. 153. 154.)

P. 111. After the vaffal had faid this, he was to receive a kiss from his lord, and then rising up was to take the oath of fealty in the following words:

" Hear this, my lord, &c.

Lord Hale fays, in his History of the Pleas of the Crown, c. x. p. 62, 63. " The oath of fidelity " or fealty is of two kinds, that which is due by " tenure, whether of the king or mesne lords, " which is ratione feodi vel vaffallagii, and hath a " fpecial relation to the lands fo held, and is fet " down by Lyttelton, c. 19. Hear you my Lord, &c. " Touching this feudal fealty, or fealty by reason of " tenure, I have not much to do in this place.

"The other kind of fealty is that oath, which is

called fidelitas ligea, or alligiance, and performed BOOK II. only to a fovereign prince, and therefore regu-" larly ought to be performed by all men above " the age of twelve years, whether they hold any " lands or not. The tenor of this oath, according " to Fleta, l. iii. c. 16. fect. 22. runs thus: Hoc " auditis, circumstantes, quod fidem regi portabo de " vita et membris, et terreno honore, et arma contra " ipsum non portabo: Sic me Deus" &c. Thus Lord Hale-but I would observe hereupon, that I find no proof that the words et arma contra ipsum non portabo were in any oath of allegiance till after the times of King Henry the Second. His lordship goes on, " According to Britton, who wrote about " the fifth of Edward the First, c. 29. (which is " also mentioned in Calvin's case, 7 Co. Rep. 6.) " the common form of the oath of allegiance taken " in Leets, runs thus : Ceo oyez vous, N. bailife, que i jeo A de ceo jour en avant serray feal et leal a notre " seigniour E. roy d' Angleterre et a ses heires, et foy " et lealte luy porterai de vie et de membre, et de ter-" rien honour, et que jeo lour mal ne lour damage ne " saverai ne ovray, que jeo ne le defendray a mon " poyer: si moy ayde dieu et ses saynts. This is the " form of the ancient oath of allegiance or fidelity " to the king, and as it is used at this day, &c. It " is comprehensive of the whole duty of a subject " to his prince, and therefore hath obtained for " above fix hundred years in this kingdom." For the better explaining of the difference between the two kinds of fealty, I will suppose, that, in the times when the feudal law was in its vigour, a fubject of England, who had held a fief of the king, should, by some offence or defect of his duty as a vassal, have incurred a forfeiture of that fief, and have actually lost it; it that case it is evident, the feudal connexion between him and his fovereign

BOOK II. would have ceased and determined; but he would fill have been under the bond of fealty and allegiance, which he owed to the king as his subject.

P. 112. Homage done to the king was called lige

homage, &c.

I do not mean to affert (as some very eminent writers on feuds have done), that there was no other lige homage than what was done to the king. For the contrary appears by many ancient records, particularly by the charter containing the agreement between King Stephen and Henry Plantagenet, then duke of Normandy, in which it is faid by the former, " Comites etiam et barones mei " ligium homagium duci fecerunt, salva mea fideli-" tate, quam diu vixero et regnum tenebo." Which words also demonstrate that there might be a referve or faving in lige homage. A late French historian mentions a charter, in which the Sire d'Apremont engages to do lige bomage to the king of France for his land of Briente fur Meufe, and promiles to serve him against all men, except the bishop of Metz. The fame author fays, on the authority. of the Assists of Ferusalem, that, in the case of a war between two lige lords of the same vassal, such vassal was bound to aid and fuccour him to whom he first had engaged his allegiance, because (say the Assises) be could not do homage to the second, but with a faving of his fealty to the first.

Abbe Velli Histoir. de France, t.vi. p. 219.Louis IX.

P. 114. We are assured by contemporary writers of the greatest authority, that, in the reign of William the Conqueror, lige homage was done, and fealty was sworn to that king, not only by his own immediate tenants, but all the considerable subvassals: &c.

Ingulphus

Ingulphus fays, " Reversusque in Angliam BOOK II. (Rex Wilhelmus scilicet) apud Londonias homi-" nium fibi facere et contra ontnes homines fidelitatem P. 79. " jurare omnem Angliæ incolam imperans totam ter-" ram descripfit," &c. What we are to underfland by omnem Angliæ incolam, is explained by other historans. Florence of Worcester and Simeon VFlor. Wig. of Durham fay, " In hebdomada Pentecostes suum & S. Dune. " filium Henric. ap. Westm. ubi curiam suam sub. ann. " tenuit, armis militaribus honoravit. Nec multo 1086. " post mandavit ut archiepiscopi, episcopi, ab-" bates, comites, barones, cum suis militibus, " die cal. Aug. fibi occurrerint Saresbiriæ. Quo " cum venissent, milites illorum sibi fidelitatem con-" tra omnes homines jurare coegit." And Hoveden V. Hoveden. has the same words; only, after barones, he adds Ann. sub vice-comites. The Saxon chronicle also says, speak-ann. 1086. Chron. Sax. ing of the same assembly held at Salisbury, by sub ann. William the First, "Ubi ei obviam venerunt ejus 1085. " proceres, et omnes prædia tenentes, quotquot essent " notæ melioris per totam Angliam bujus viri servi " fuerunt, omnesque se illi subdidere, ejusque facti " funt vassalli, ac ei fidelitatis juramentum præstite-" runt se contra alios quoscunque illi sidos futuros." Henry of Huntington fays, "Wilhelmus rex for- V. Hunting. " tis, anno decimo nono regni sui, cum de more Hist. f. 212. " tenuisset curiam suam in natali apud Glocestre; sub ann. Wil. " ad Pascha apud Wincestre, ad Pentecosten apud I. 19. " Londoniam, Henricum filium fuum juniorem " virilibus induit armis. Deinde accipiens hominium " omnium terarriorum Angliæ, cujuscunque feudi es-" fent, juramentum etiam fidelitatis recipere non diftu-" lit." We are therefore to understand omnem Angliæ incolam in Ingulphus to mean all the military tenants of the barons, and all the confiderable landholders in England, of whatever lord they

VOL. III.

338

BOOK II. held their fiefs. For, though Ingulphus mentions London as the place where this was done, and Henry of Huntington feems to confirm that account, I make no doubt it was at Salisbury, as is affirmed by the other historians abovementioned, and that he and Henry of Huntington have confounded that affembly with the curia held at London a little before.

> Ibid. It also appears from the words of William of Malmsbury, that homage was done and fealty fworn to the heir apparent of the crown, in the reign of Henry the First, by all the freemen of England and Normandy, of whatever order or rank they were, and to whatever lord they were vaffals.

The words of Malmfbury are these: "Filium

V. Malmib. f. 93.

de H. I. I. v. " habuit Rex Hen. ex Matilde, nomine Wilhel-" mum, dulci spe et ingenti curà in successionem " educatum et pervectum. Nam et ei, vix dum " XII annorum esset, omnes liberi homines Angliæ et " Normanniæ, cujuscunque ordinis et dignitatis, cu-" juscunque domini fideles, manibus et sacramento se de-" dere coacti funt." Certainly these words, like the omnem Angliæ incolam, in the citation from Ingulphus given in the last note, must not be construed too literally, but mean the omnes pradia tenentes, quodquot essent nota melioris, the most considerable landholders of every order and degree. For the numbers of the liberi homines Anglia et Normamia were too great to be brought all together, in either country, without extreme inconvenience; and the performance of the ceremonies of doing homage, and fwearing fealty, would have taken up too much time, and been too fatiguing to the prince who received it from them.

P. 115.

P. 115. Glanville tells us, that women could take the BOOK II. oath of fealty, but could not do homage; and that, if they were married, their husbands were to do homage for them.

Sir Thomas Lyttelton says, in his Book upon L.ii. sect. 87.

Tenures, "that if a woman fole shall do homage, "she shall not say, I become your woman; for it is "not sitting that a woman should say, that she "will become a woman to any man but her hus-

" band, when she is married: but she shall say, I "do to you homage, and to you shall be faithful and

"true," &c. Upon which Lord Coke remarks, that when Glanville fays, a woman shall not do homage, he is to be understood, that she shall

" not do compleat homage." But I should rather believe, that, in Glanville's time, single women

did none, and that the alteration in the form, which is mentioned by Lyttelton, was an expedient found afterwards, to avoid the objection of an indecency in their homage; as it was also in the case of ecclesiasticks. From the obligation laid on the husband to do homage for the wife it naturally followed, that the barony of a wife, as well as every other sief requiring homage, was, in effect, made over to the husband; and therefore in those days many barons came to parliament in right of their wives, and by virtue of their marriage were accounted peers of the realm. It has been observed in this History, that the same notion extended to dukedoms and principalities in many parts of the continent.

P. 120. And it was a general maxim of the feudal law, that a forfeiture of the property of the lord in the fief, and of all his dominion over his vassal, was necessary an effect of any great breach or neglect

NOTES TO THE LIFE

of the duty which he owed to the vassal, as a forfeiture of the fief was of a similar crime or neglect

in the vassal.

The learned Craig, in his excellent book on the Feudal Law, has these words: " De dominorum " autem offensâ hæc generalis regulâ observanda " est, ubicunque vassallus ex sua offensa, sive de-" licto in dominum, feudo privatur, e regione " dominus, fi idem in vaffallum deliquerit, direc-" to dominio privatur, etiamfi dominus offenfum " commiserit antequam vassallus sive vassalli hæres " juraverit, nisi forte jurare requisitus non jurave-" rit." And then he enumerates several offences, which if the lord committed against the vastal, he was thereby deprived of his superiority or dominion over him. (V. Craig, de Jure Feudal, lib. iii. tit. 6. fect. 13.)

Lord Coke, in his learned notes on the chapter concerning Homage in Lyttelton's Tenures, calls fealty and homage a perpetual league between the lord and the tenant. " And fo firm and ftrong (fays " he) was this league, that by the ancient law of

" England, Nil facere potest tenans quod vertatur " domino ad exhæreditationem vel etiam atrocem in-

" juriam. Nec dominus tenenti e converso. Quod si

" fecerit, dissolvitur et extinguitur homagium omnino, " et homagii connectio et obligatio, et erit inde justum

" judicium cum venerit contra homagium et fidelitatis " sacramentum, quod in ea in quo delinquunt punian-

" tur, sc. in persona domini, quod amittat dominium, et " in persona tenentis, quod amittat tenementum." (V.

Bracton Fol. 80. Britton Fol. 174.)

From hence arose the feudal term to defy (diffidere); that is, to declare the diffolution of the faith reciprocally pledged between the lord and the vaffal. And thus we find, that, in the times when the

the feudal law was in its vigour, not only BOOK II. the vaffals defied their lords, upon certain occafions, but the fame ceremony was used by the lords to their vassals, nay even by the king himself.

For Matthew Paris says, that in the year 1233 Hist. Ang. King Henry the Third defied the Earl Mareschall. H. III. His words are these: "Et his ita gestis, rex de p. 388. " confilio episcopi Wintoniensis, Mareschallum diffi-" davit per episcopum Menevensem, et sic justit con-" trà eum arma movere, et ejus castella obsidere." Nor can any thing better shew the opinion of that age concerning the right of refistance in the vasfal against his lord, tho' that lord was the king himself, than the account which the fame author gives us of V.Mat. Paris a discourse between the above-mentioned earl, and Hist. Angl. one fent from the king to expostulate with him, and H. III. fhew that he ought to submit himself to the king's P. 391. mercy. I will therefore transcribe here some pasfages from it, and add a few remarks. The meffenger faid, that he had heard feveral of the court declare, it was the duty of the mareschall to make this submission, " quia fecit injuriam domino suo, qui, " antequam rex invaderet terram aut personam " mareschalli, ipse invasit terram domini regis, com-" bustit, destruxit, et homines interfecit." Here the complaint of the earl's having committed an offence in taking up arms against the king is made to confift entirely in his being the aggressor. But he goes on to fay, " Et si ille dicit, se hoc fecisse ad tutionem " corporis fui et hæreditatis fuæ, dicunt quod non; " quia in ipfius corpus et exhæredationem non fuit " unquam aliquid machinatum. Nec ob hoc tamen " deberet, prorumpere contra dominum suum, donec " oculata fide cognosceret regem contra ipsum talia " cogitare, et ex tunc liceret talia attemptare." In these words, the only limitation put to the right of the earl to defend his person or property against the king

BOOK II. king is, that he ought not to break out into an open revolt, till he had ocular proof of the king's defigning fuch things against him. His answer (as given us by Matthew Paris) was first to deny the charge of his being the aggreffor: " Non est " verum, quia rex ipse, cum semper paratus essem " stare juri et judicio parium meorum in curia sua, et ber intermentios plures pluries petii illud, quod ab " ipso mihi semper extitit denegatum, terram meam " violenter ingressius contra omnem justitiam invasit." He pleaded, that the king had denied his petition to be brought to a legal trial in the king's court by the judgment of his peers; and had, against all justice, invaded his lands; notwithstanding which, he had made peace with him to his own prejudice; but conditionally, that, if the king should not obferve the articles of that peace, he should be free of homage to him, as he had been before: "Quod ego " essem extra homagium suum et dissiduciatus ab eo; " ficut prius fui per dominum episcopum Meneven-" fem." Wherefore, the king having broken most of the articles of the peace or agreement between them, and eagerly defiring to deprive him of his estate and liberty (as he could prove), he had a right to recover his own, and to weaken the king's power by all possible means: " Unde cum fere in " omnibus articulis in forma pacis deficeret, licuit " mihi, juxta conventionem meam, quod meum erat re-" cuperare et posse suum modis omnibus debilitare; " maxime cum ad meam destructionem et exhæreditastionem et corporis captionem anhelarit: et hoc pro " certo didici, et, si necesse est, probare possum." He goes on to fay, that foon after the peace, before he had taken arms to defend himself, the king had deprived him of his hereditary office of mareschall, without judgment of law, and refused to restore it to him: which shewed a determination to keep no

peace with him; for which reasons he thought him + BOOK II. felf absolved from his homage by the king himself, as he had been before their late agreement; and alledged, that it was lawful for him to defend himself, and by all means to refift the malice of the king's counsellors: " Et quod magis est, post pacem per dies quinde-" cim, antequam Walliam intrarem, aut ab aliquo " me defenderem, fine judicio spoliavit me ab officio " Mareschalli, quod jure hæreditario ad me perti-" net et possedi; nec aliquo modo ad illud me resti-" tuere voluit requifitus. Unde apperte didici, " quod nullam pacem voluit mihi observare, cum " post pacem deterius quam ante me pertractarat. "Unde homo suus non fui, sed ab ipsius homagio per " ipsum absolutus; cum ad primam dissidationem re-" direm, juxta dictam conventionem, ut prædictum " eft. Quapropter licuit et licet me defendere, " et malitiæ confiliatorem suorum modis omnibus " obviare."

Being told of the great wealth and power of the king, which would enable that prince to bring against him more foreigners than he could procure to aid him, he answered, that the king was richer and more powerful than he; but less powerful than God, in whose justice he trusted while he maintained and vindicated his own rights and those of the nation: That he did not confide in foreigners, nor feek their confederacy; nor would he ask their affiftance, if not compelled thereto by an unforefeen and immutable necessity: "Rest ditior me est " et potentior : verum est. Sed non potentior est Deo, " qui est ipsa justitia, in quam consido in conservatione " et persequutione juris mei et regni. Nec confido in

" alienigenis, nec ipsorum appeto confæderationem, V.Mat. Paris " nist, quod absit, inopinata et immutabili suero com- Hist. Angl. " pulsus necessitate." And in answer to the charge Hen. III.

brought against him by the king's counsellors, P. 392.

Z 4 that,

BOOK II. that, in hatred and to the damage of the king and kingdom, he had confederated himself with the king's enemies, particularly the French, the Scotch, and the Welfh, he denied the fact as to the French, and justified his confederacy with the King of Scotland and the prince of North-Wales, because they were, not enemies, but vassals to the king, till, by injuries which they had received from the king and his counfellors, they had been driven from their fealty, (as he also had been) against their will, and by compulsion. For which reason he had confederated himself with them; that, being united together, they might better profecute and defend their rights, of which they had been VMat. Paris, unjustly deprived, than they could being seperate:"

Hift. Angl. H. III. P. 393.

"Item proponunt contra mareschallum consiliarii re-" gis, quod confæderatus est capitaneis inimicis ejus; " videlicet Francigenis, Scotis, et Wallensibus, et vi-" detur eis hoc fecisse in odium et damnum domini res gis et regni. Ad hoc dicit mareschallus, quod de " Francigenis falsum est simpliciter. Quod dictum est " de Scotis et Wallensibus, et (quod) videtur hoc fecisse " in odium et damnum regis, similiter falsum est, " præterquam de rege Scotiæ et Leolino principe " Northwallia, qui non inimici, sed fideles ejus fue-" runt, quousque per injuries ipsies a rege et ejus con-" siliariis illatas a fidelitate sua invita et coacti, sicut " et ego, alienati sunt. Et propter hoc cum illis con-" fæderatus sum, ut melius simul, quam separati, " jura nostra perquiramus et defendamus; a quibus " injuste privati sumus, et in magna parte spoliati."

From hence it appears, that the law of England in those days allowed no confederacy of the vaffals of the crown with any foreign power, unless in the case where a foreign king, or prince, being himfelf a vaffal of the crown, was opprest unjustly by the king, and forced to confederate himself with

his con-vassals, for their mutual defence; which BOOK II. kind of affociation was deemed to be legal, and no treason against the king or kingdom. But I would observe, that this must have rendered it very dangerous for the king to have a foreign prince his vaffal, particularly one who was fo near a neighbour to him as the king of Scotland.

I shall conclude my citations from this very remarkable part of M. Paris's History with some words of the Earl Mareschall, which shew that he thought it not only lawful, but a duty, to refift the

invasion of his rights by the king.

" Nec hoc effet honor regis, quod voluntati sua Ibidem, " consentirem que non esset ratione subnixa: imo, fa- P. 392.

" cerem sibi injuriam et justitiæ, quam ipse in subdi-" tor exercere debet et conservare. Et malum exem-" plum darem omnibus, videlicet deserendi justitiam et

" juris persequutionem, propter voluntatem erroneam, contra omnem justitiam, et (in) injuriam subditorum.

" Nam ex hoc appareret, nos diligere plus possessiones

" nostras mundanas, quam ipsam justitiam."

P. 130. Yet it must be understood, that the honor, or barony, so created by the crown, or so delivered back again out of the hands of the king, was annexed to certain lands, which were composed of knight'sfees, and held of the crown by knight-service.

That baronies of England, distinct from grandferjeanties, were all territorial till long after the times of which I write, cannot be disputed, "But V. Baronia, " (to use the words of Mr. Madox) "It is to be c.i.p.17,18.

" remembered, that a city or town could not be

" the head of a barony. When a town was part " of a barony, it was only part of the demesnes of

" a barony. But if there was a castle there, the " caftle was usually the head of the barony. For

" example, the town of Richmond in Yorkshire was

" part

BOOK It. " part of the demesne of the honor of Richmond:

but the castle was the capit honoris."

To which I will add, that anciently Arundel Castle seems to have been the head of the barony annexed to the earldom of Sussex; for which reason the earls of Sussex were called earls of Arundel. Mr. Selden says, in his Titles of Honor, "But

C.v. fect. 17. "otherwise (faving in this case where grand"serjeanty was alone reserved) the baronies, as I
"conceive, consisted of such knight's fees as we
"have yet spoken of, but not of any certain num"ber of them. And the chief seats of the barons in
"any part of those fees, were called capita baro"num." It was not therefore necessary that the
bead of a barony should be a castle; but, when there
was a castle upon a barony, that was deemed the
bead of it in the common usage of those times.

Ibid. Besides the military service, which every baron was obliged to, in virtue of his sief, he was also bound to attend the king in his parliament and supreme court of justice, to assist in his judgements, and give him faithful counsel in all matters concerning the dignity of his crown and the good of his realm.

Lord Burleigh says, in one of his Letters, that nobility was nothing else but ancient wealth in a family. By this he meant, that nobility was in ancient times territorial, and annext to the possession of hereditary lands. Monsieur Voltaire, in his additions to his General History, after observing that at Venice, and in the ancient republicks of Italy, nobility was attached to dignity, to employment, and not to lands, says, "that every where else nobility became the right of possessions of land. The Herren in Germany, the Ricos Hombres in Spain, the Barons in France and England enjoyed an hereditary nobility, by no other

P. 142.

other right, than that their lands, feudal or not feu-BOOK II'

" dal, remained in their families."

On these passages it may be necessary to make fome observations. It is undoubtedly true that in England as well as other countries, the hereditary possession of noble fiefs gave nobility to families: but, I apprehend, the reason of this distinction was, an obligation annext to those lands, namely, that the possessors of them were to fight for their country, at their own charges, and to administer justice to the people. For to these honorable functions the idea of nobility might justly be attached; but not to the mere posfession of hereditary lands. Fiefs wers divided into noble and non noble. A mere socage tenure, though hereditary, could not give nobility. Justice was administred to the people by all the possessors of noble fiefs, in the king's court, in the county and hundred courts, or in the court baron. Some hereditary offices, fuch as fhrievalties of particular counties, to which judicature was annexed, gave nobility to the families of those who held them; and fo, I prefume, did all offices held by the tenure of grand serjeanty in the king's court, or about his person. Many of these were neither military nor judicial: but they ennobled the poffesfors by a dignity derived from their relation to the crown and person of the king.

P. 133. Robert earl of Montagne, on whom he beflowed the earldom of Cornwall, had, in that and other counties, seven hundred and thirty three manors.

Mr. Madox observes, in his Baronia, " that there L. i. c. p. 3.

" were in England certain honors, which were

" often called by Norman, or other foreign names,

" that is to fay, fometimes by the English, and

" fometimes by the foreign name, for example, "William

348

BOOK II. " William de Forz, Deforce, or de Fortibus, was lord " of the honor of Albemarle in Normandy. He " was also lord of another honor in England; to " wit, the honor of Skipton in Craven. These " honors were fometimes called by the Norman " name, the honor of Albemarle, or the ho-" nor of the earl of Albemarle." I have quoted this passage to account to the reader for some fuch titles which occur in this history.

> P. 134. For by the accounts in the Exchequer we find, that much less was taken by King Henry the Second for the farms of other earldoms escheated to the crown.

> R. de Glanville, who farmed of King Henry the Second the great earldom of Richmond, was charged no more than four hundred and thirty three pounds feventeen shillings and three pence, for the manors of which the honor, or barony was composed, and for the third penny of Gippefwiz (the county court) and the fervice of the Drenges, a species of tenants belonging to the manors. (See Madox, Baron. l.i. p. 72. Magn. Rot. 4. 2. Rot. 5. 6.)

> P. 135, 136. But the Saxon earldoms were not hereditary: for, though they were sometimes permitted to descend from father to son, it was not by any right, or claim of inheritance, but only by the indulgence

and favor of the king.

Even so late as in the reign of Edward the Confessor, we find, that, upon the death of Siward earl of Northumberland, because his son Waltheoff was then an infant, that earldom was given to Tosti, the fon of earl Godwin; and, on the death of Godwin, Algar, the fon of Leofric earl of Chester, was invested with that earldom.

P. 137. "The form of girding them with a sword, BOOK II. when they were invested with their earldoms, was likewise strongly expressive of a military commission appertaining to the office and dignity of an earl.

An old Historian, cited by Mr. Selden, says, that when Sir Andrew Harcloy, earl of Carlisle in Titles of Honor, Part II. the reign of Edward the Second, was degraded for treason, "the fword which the king him gave, to keep and defend his land therewith, when he made him earl of Cardoil, was broke over his head." This shews how long the opinion continued, that the defence of the county was committed to the earl with the sword he received at his investiture. The earl of Chester, Hugh Lupus, had that county from William the Conqueror given to him and his heirs, "Adeo liberam ad gladium, ficut ipse rex totam tenebat Angliam ad coronam suam," as Mr. Selden cites the words from an old author. (Titles of Honor, Part II. c. v. sect. 8.)

P. 141. It is a most remarkable thing, that all the charters now extant for the creation of earls (the most ancient of which were granted by Matilda) make no mentiou of any determined number of knights which the earls were bound to provide.

Of these the first in date is the charter of creation to Geoffry de Magnavilla, for the earldom of Essex, which was in these words: "Ego Matildis, silia "Regis Henerici, et Anglorum domina, do et concedo Gaustredo de Magnavilla pro servitio suo, et hæredibus suis post eum hæreditabiliter, ut sit "Comes de Essexia, et habeat sertium denarium "Vicecomitatus de Placitis, sicut comes habere de-"bet in comitatu suo: &c." The rest relates to other grants which the empress made to the earl. Mr. Rymer, by mistake, has published in his Fœ-T. I. p. 8. dera another charter of Matilda creating Milo Fitz-

o Fitzwalter BOOK II. walter earl of Hereford, as the most ancient now extant. It runs in these words: " Sciatis me fe-

" cisse Milonem de Glocestrià Comitem de Here-" ford, et dedisse ei motam Hereford, cum toto

" castello, in feodo et hæreditate sibi et hæredibus

" suis ad tenendum de me et hæredibus meis.

"Dedi etiam ei tertium denarium redditûs burgi

" Hereford quicquid unquam reddat, et tertium " denarium placitorum totius comitatûsHereford."

The charter of Henry the Second to William de Albiney earl of Arundel is fo particular as to be worth inferting here. The words are these:

See Selden's " Henricus rex Angliæ, et dux Normanniæ, et Titles ofHo- " comes Andegaviæ, archiepiscopis, episcopis, &c. nor, Part II. " falutem. Sciatis me dediffe Willielmo Comiti c.v. sect. 10. " Arundel castellum de Arundel, cum toto honore " Arundelli, et cum omnibus pertinentiis suis, te-" nendum fibi et hæredibus fuis, de me et hæredi-" bus meis, in feodo et hæreditate, et tertium de-" narium de placitis de Suthsex, unde Comes est." This was not a charter of creation to an earldom, like that before recited: for William de Albiney is flyled in it earl of Arundel: but it gives or confirms to him the castle of Arundel with the honor (or barony) thereunto belonging, and all its other appertenances, together with the third penny of the county of Suffex, which is faid to be his earldom, though his title is taken from the castle. Note, that in this record the castle appears to be an appendix to the earldom, not the earldom to the caftle.

> Mr. Selden observes, that this lord was sometimes styled earl of Suffex, and sometimes of Chichefter, which denoted the fame person. Yet he was more usually called earl of Arundel, the reason of which I have given in another note to this

book.

20319

P. 142. And as other baronies differed in the num-BOOK II. ber of knight's-fees by which they were held, so

likewise did these.

For instance, it appears by records, that, during King Henry the Second's reign, the barony of the earl of Cornwall comprised two hundred and fifteen knight's-fees, and a third part of a fee; that of the earl of Norfolk one hundred and twenty-five fees; and that of the earl of Warwick one hundred and two fees and a fraction.

P. 143. We find in some charters, that the magistrates, or chief citizens of London, York, Warwick, and other principal cities, were honored with that title.

In King Henry the First's charter to the city of London it is said, " Ecclesiæ et barones et cives

" teneant et habeant bene et in pace socnas suas Spelm. Gloff.

" cum omnibus consuetudinibus, &c." " In BARONES DE

" which" (fays Sir H. Spelman) " I understand London.

" barones pro civibus præstantioribus qui socnas su-" as et consuetudines, id est, curias habent et pri-

" vilegia, eorum instar qui in comitatu barones co-" mitatus dicuntur, et liberi tenentes, quique de re

"feudali cognoscebant in civitate, ut alii illi baro"nes in comitatu." He mentions also another charter, viz. that of H. III. de libertatibus London, which says, Barones civitatis London eligant sibi fingulis annis de se ipsis majorem. And a writ of H. I. addrest, Fulchero filio Walteri, et Fustachio vicecomiti suo et omnibus baronibus de London. After which, he says, "Sic barones de Eboraco, de Ces"triâ, de Warwicâ, de Feversham, et plurium villarum regiis privilegiis insignium, &c."

Matthew Paris, speaking in general of the Londoners, says, "Londonienses, quos propter civitatis dignitatem, et civium antiquitatem, barones

" con-

See the Parliamentary Hift. vol. i. p. 369.

116.

"confuevimus appellare." Yet I hardly think the inferior citizens could ever be called barons, but only the magistrates, or those in whom the power of the city resided. In the poll tax of the first year of King Richard the Second, each of the aldermen of London was rated as a baron; the mayor as an earl; and all other mayors of great towns in England, each as a baron.

P. 147. Madox says, he (the constable) was a high officer both in war and peace, and observes that

the word fignifies a captain or commander.

The Author of the dialogue de Scaccario uses a strange expression in describing this officer at the Exchequer. He fays, that next to the chancellor fat " miles gregarius quem contestabularium dicimus." It is amazing that he should call so high an officer a common foldier, especially as he tells us in the fame place, that the constable had the precedence of the king's mareschall, " post bunc duo cammerarii, " &c. post hos miles, qui vulgo dicitur marescallus;" and (in another part of his book) that he could not eafily be drawn from the king to attend the leffer affairs of his office at the Exchequer, because of greater and more urgent bufiness; "quia contesta-" bularies a rege non facile potest avelli propter ma-" jora et magis urgentia:" (V. Dial. de Scaccario in fine Madox Hift, of the Excheq. 1. i. p. 8. and 10.) Sir William Dugdale takes notice that he is styled in some records princeps militiæ domus regia.

See Madox's P. 150. In it first sense it signified master of the horse to the king.

c. 6. p. 115,

There were also inferior mareschalls in the king's stables and employed in the care of his hawks, &c. over all whom the great mareschall presided; and therefore

John magistratus mariscalciæ.

P. 156. In the reign of Henry the Sixth, John Baker held certain lands in Kent of the King, by the service of holding the king's head in the ship, which carried him in his passage between Dover and Whitsand. This was adjudged to be grand-ser-

jeanty; &c. acomin

Madox mentions a record of the reign of Edward the Second, by which it appears that Thomas de Warbylnton held the manor of Shirefield in Hampshire of the king in chief, by the serjeanty of being mareschall of the whores in the king's household, and of dismembring malefactors condemned, and of measuring the galons and bushels in the king's household. But he very improperly places this tenure among the grand serjeanties, which the record does not warrant. The words are: "Per serjentiam essendi marescallus de me-" retricibus in hospitio regis, et dismembrare malefactores " adjudicatos, et mensurare galones et bussellos in ho-" spitio regis." Certainly Sir H. Spelman would not have called this the highest and most Illustrious feudal service, as he describes grand-serjeanty. It was a petty serjeanty of the meanest and most dishonorable nature. The record traces it up as high as to the reign of King Henry the Second.

P. 166. And other examples occur of the same power being exercised, for several ages, by private persons in England, without the authority of a royal commission.

I do not mean that it was so exercised for several ages after the times of which I write, but in those times, and before. Mr. Selden says, "the persons Titles of Ho"that gave this dignity anciently were sometimes nor, part ii.
Vol. III. A a "subjects c. v. sect. 33.

BOOK II, " fubjects (and these gave it without any superior " authority granted to them) as well as fovereigns. "Though long fince it hath grown to be clear, none " gives it with us but the fovereign, or fome other by his command or commission." pears that the liberty of receiving it from a subject, uncommissioned by the king, was sooner taken from the king's immediate tenants in chief, than from others. Mr. Selden mentions a writ of the twenty ninth of Henry the Third, in which those of the second kind (that is, such as held military fees of subjects) were to be distrained, quod tunc fint ibi parati ad recipiendum arma de quibuscunque voluerint. In which form the writs went to all the fubiects of England. He likewife cites writs of fummons or distringus from the close rolls of the forty fourth of Henry the Third, of the fixth of Edward the First, and of the fixth of Edward the Second, in which a distinction is made, that some were to come and receive knighthood from the king; and others, being not tenants to the king, should be summoned or distrained ad se milites faciendos, or, ad arma suscipienda.

> Ibid. Nay our kings themselves have been knighted by the hands of their subjects, as Henry the Sixth by the duke of Bedford's, and Edward the Sixth by the duke

of Somerset's.

In France, the great restorer and patron of chivalry, Francis the First, chose to receive the order of knighthood from his subject, Monsieur Bayard, illuftrious only by his valour and conduct without Titles of Ho- reproach. Mr. Selden quotes a passage from M. Paris, in which it is faid, that, in the year 1252, Alexander the Third, king of Scotland, having been knighted by Henry the Third, king of England, fubiefts c. v. left. 95.

c. v. fect. 34.

Tieles of Ho.

the Earl Mareschal demanded the king of Scotland's BOOR 11. horse, and accourrements, as a fee due to him by ancient cuftom: but that prince answered, that he conceived no fuch fee could be due to the Earl Mareschall from him, because, at his own pleasure, he might have received his knighthood, either from any other catholick prince, or from any of his oven nobles. Mr. Selden by nobles understands gentlemen, and I believe, very rightly.

P. 167. The poet Gunther, who was contemporary with Henry the Second, Jays, in a Latin poem, that the Emperor Frederick Barbaroffa, the better to repel the enemy from his borders, and defend his country by the superior force of his arms, granted knighthood to many persons of low and vulgar birth, which in France would have been thought a flain to that dignity.

By some old laws of France, if any man, who See Selden's was not a gentleman by his father (though he was Titles of Hofo by his mother) had been made a knight, his lord nor, part ii. might degrade him, by cutting off his spurs on a c. iii feet. 24. dunghill. Du Cange afferts, that it was necessary V. Du Cange for a person who aspired to the order of knighthood fur l'Histoire to prove, that not only his father and mother, but de St. Louis. his grandfather and grandmother, were nobly born; which Father Daniel confirms, but observes, that V. Hift. de la in France and other countries, this rule in time was Milice Franrelaxed, and that the French kings dispensed with it coise, t. i. telaxed, and that the French kings dispensed with it 1. iii. c. 4. on many occasions.

Ibid. And in the nineteenth year of the same king (Henry the Third) all the sheriffs of England were commanded to make proclamation in their respective counties, that all who held of the king in chief one knight's-fee or more, and were not yet knighted, should take arms and get themselves knighted Aa2

356 BOOK II.

NOTES TO THE LIFE

knighted before the next Christmas, as they loved the tenements, or fees, which they held of the king. It feems that this injunction was afterwards thought too hard on the poorer knights. For it was declared by act of parliament, in the first year of king Edward the Second, " that none " should be forced to take upon them the order " and arms of a knight who had not twenty " pounds yearly in fee, or for term of life; or " before they came to the age of one and twenty " years. And fuch as had holden their lands but " a fmall time, or alledged great age or default of " their members, or any other incurable disease, " or charge of their children, or fuits, or any other " fuch necessary excuses, were only to pay a rea-" fonable fine." But it must be observed, that this act does not confine the obligation of receiving SeeBritton c. knighthood to tenants in chief of the crown. Britdes prises des ton, who wrote about the time of Edward the Selden's Ti- First, says, that a lord could not legally compel his tles of Ho. tenant to give him the aid due by tenure for making nor, part if. his fon a knight, if he was not a knight himc. v. fed. 36. felf.

P. 168. Whether, in the times that I write of, any compulsion was used to oblige men to be knighted I cannot positively affirm: but as Mr. Madox, in his history of the Exchequer, has given no records of any fines being levied on that account, or proclamations is used to enjoin it, till the reign of Henry the Third, and many in and after that reign, the presumption is strong, that it had not been the practice before the death of King John.

See his Bri- Camden dates this compulsion from the reign of tannia, States Henry the Third, and observes, that from that and Degrees time it seemed a title of burthen rather than of honor. Indeed we may reckon a practice, so contrary to the

fpirit

fpirit and policy of knighthood, among the bad BOOK II. methods made use of, by the rapacious ministers of that king to draw money from the subject.

P. 170. Every knight had his lady, to whom he vowed faithful service, whose favors he wore in tournaments and in battles, a d for whose honor he was always prepared to combat with no less zeal and enthusiasm, than for the defence of the catho-

lick religion itself.

Even in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, a challenge was fent by the earl of Essex, to the count de Brancas Villars, who was governor of Rouen, then befieged by king Henry the Fourth of France and his English confederates under the command of that earl, in which he offered to maintain, among other points, that he had a more beautiful mistress than Villars. It must however be observed, that, in doing this, he shewed himself, not only a good knight, but a good courtier: for he knew the queen would think, that she was the mistress of whose beauty he proposed to be the champion. This, and some other similar passages of that reign, shew us the reason why Spenser, who was a man of good fense, as well as a fine poet, thought he could not make his court more agreeably to his fovereign, who loved the notions of romantick gallantry and honor, than by representing her in his Fairy Queen, as the patroness of the most sublime chivalry, and as fending forth the moral virtues, illustrated under the characters of different knights, to free the world from vice and oppression, and to merit her favor by heroic actions. In this light the Fairy Queen is as much a state poem, as the Aneis of Virgil.

P. 175. The ransoms paid to knights for the priseners they took, and the shares assigned to them, by A a 3 custom custom, of all the booty and spoils which were gained from an enemy, fur nished them with ample means of advancing their fortunes: but they had moreover rich presents made to them by the princes, or nobles, they served, upon the performance of

any eminent feats of valour.

After the battle of Poictiers the English said, that they would not fet so high a price upon a knight or gentleman, but that he might fill be able to live according to his rank, and to follow the wars in a equipage agreeable to his quality. This is mentioned by Froiffard as an act of generous courtefy. Mr. Selden fays, that, by the law of arms, those captives whose ransom came to above 10,000 crowns, belonged to the king. Barnes tells us, after Froisfard, that by their victory at Poictiers all the prince's men were enriched, as well by ranfoming, as by the spoil they found there, confifting of gold, filver, plate, and Jewels, befides horses, armour, and what they found about the dead. At the end of the action the prince embraced the Lord Audley, and faid to him, Sir James, both I myfelf and all others acknowledge you, in the business of this day, to have been the best doer in arms. Wherefore, with intent to furnish you the better to purfue the wars, I retain you for ever to be my knight, with five bundred marks yearly revenues, which I shall assign you out of my inheritance in England. Five hundred marks per annum in those days was a very noble estate. But the generous knight, though much pleased with the honor of the gift, divided it all among his four esquires, who had ferved him well in the battle. When the prince knew this, he confirmed the grant to them, and fettled on him a further pension of fix bundred marks, which was confirmed to him by the king for the term of his life, and for a twelvemonth after, to be eceived out of the coynage of the stannaries in Cornwall,

Cornwall, and the prince's lands in that county. BOOK II.

Many more instances might be given, to shew the
profits that knights might gain by their chivalry,
when these institutions were in force. The trade of
war seems at present to be more gainful to the general, but much less to the officers, or private soldiers of an army, than it was in those days.

P. 176. Indeed it never quite sunk, till the spirit of chivalry began to grow out of fashion, and was

even rendered the object of ridicule.

w-countries, be

The fear of this consequence made the duke d'Alva say, that Don Quixote would ruin Spain; though, in truth, the ridicule of that ingenious book is not pointed against the spirit of chivalry, but against the absurd representation of it in the Spanish romances.

P. 178. I will add, that the two last, who appear to have fashioned themselves upon the same model, and to have possessed in perfection all the virtues of their order, were, in France, the Chevalier Bayard, and in England, Sir Philip Sidney.

In valour, courtefy, generofity, and a high and noble sense of honor, the peculiar virtues of chivalry, these two knights may be well compared together; but Sir Philip Sidney's character, upon
the whole, is much superior to Bayard's, because
he not only excelled in wit and learning, but was
also endowed with great talents and abilities for
state affairs, as we know from the testimony of the
greatest statesman of that age, William prince of
Orange, who sent this message to Queen Elizabeth
by Sir Fulk Greville, "that (in his judgement) her Lord Brook's
majesty had one the ripest and greatest counsellors of Life of Sirp.

"majesty had one the ripest and greatest counsellors of Life of Sirp.
"state in Sir Philip Sidney that then lived in Europe, Sidney. c. ii.

" to the trial of which he was pleased to leave his P. 31.

Aa4 " own

P. 34.

BOOK II. " own credit engaged, until her majesty might " please to employ this gentleman either amongst "her friends or enemies." with an angular salls afford

The credit of the prince of Orange wants no support; but I will add, from the fame author, Sir Fulk Greville, the testimony of the earl of Leis cefter, who faid to Sir Fulk, " that when he un-" dertook the goverment of the Low-countries, he " carried his nephew (Sir Philip Sidney) over with him, as one amongst the rest; not only despising " his youth for a counfellor, but withal bearing " a hand over him as a forward young man. Not-" withstanding, in short time be faw this sun so risen " above his borison, that both be and all his stars were " glad to fetch light from bim, And in the end ac-"knowledged, that he held up the honor of this " cafual authority by him whilf he lived, and found " reason to withdraw himself from that burthen after " bis death." But lest this praise might be sufpected, as coming from a relation, Sir Fulk fays further: "In what extraordinary estimation his " worth was, even amongst enemies, will appear " by his death; when Mendofa, a fecretary of " many treasons against us, acknowledged openly, " that, howfoever he was glad King Philip, his " master, had lost in a private gentleman a dange-" rous enemy to his flate; yet he could not but lament " to see Christendam deprived of so rare a light in " theje cloudy times, and bewail poor Widow Eng-

the word villain in this place). We may therefore conclude, that in the faculties of his mind, Sir Philip Sidney rose above the highest pitch of knightly accomplishments, and

" land (so he termed her) that, having been many " years in breeding one eminent (pirit, was in a mo-" ment bereaved of him by the hands of a villain." (or low common foldier; for that is the meaning of

C. iii, p. 3.

was not only un Chevalier sans peur et sans reproche, BOOK IIbut fit for the greatest offices of state and government. It feems indeed no lefs dishonorable to the memory of queen Elizabeth, that she should have let fuch a spirit and fuch talents as his remain so long unemployed, than that fhe should have trusted so much of her most arduous business to her unworthy favorite the earl of Leicester! As for the Chevalier Bayard, he does not appear to have had any extraordinary parts, or to have been rankt among the flatesmen of the times in which he lived: nor had he any fuperior degree of knowledge, to distinguish him much from the ignorant nobility of his country: whereas Sir Philip had acquired fuch a reputation for science and taste in the fine arts, that (to use the words of the abovementioned author) " the universities abroad and at home ac-" counted him a general Mecenas of learning; dedi-" cated their books to him, and communicated every " invention or improvement of knowledge with him. "There was not a cunning painter, a skilful engineer, " an excellent musician, or any other artificer of ex-" traordinary fame, that made not himself known to " this famous spirit and found him his true friend " without hire, and the common rendevous of worth " in his time." Since I wrote this, the publick. has been entertained with the life of a very extraordinary man, the Lord Herbert of Cherbury, written by himself, from which he appears to have been as strongly possessed with the high spirit of chivalry as Sir Philip Sidney, and was also a man of parts and learning. But he feems to have had weaknesses and defects in his character, arising chiefly from vanity, which are not to be found in Sidney, none of whose actions were improper, and much less were they ridiculous. Yet it must

BOOK II. be owned, if these gentleman are compared as writers, that Lord Herbert's History of King Henry the Eighth is superior upon the whole to any work of Sir Philip Sidney.

P. 179. Whether, in the times of which I write, we had any knights bannerets is not very clear. The name does not occur in our histories or records before

the reign of Edward the First.

Hist. of the Exchequer, p. 614. note

Mr. Madox has cited a roll of the twenty fifth year of that king, in which they are mentioned, together with knights bachilers, which latter denomination was relative to the former, fignifying knights of an inferior rank to the bannerets. But milites vexilliferi are mentioned by Matthew Paris before that time, and one can hardly doubt that these words are a Latin translation of knights bannerets. Father Daniel says, in his Treatise on the Militia of France, that he can find no mention of them in any historian before the reign of Philip Augustus. But he observes, that the writers of that time do not speak of them as a novelty; and therefore he supposes, that this institution commenced when the regulations for tournaments and other matters of chivalry were made in France. We probably received it from thence: but under what reign is uncertain. Mr. Camden erred much in supposing it so late as Edward the Third's. (See his Account of Degrees of States in England, prefixed to his Britannia.)

P. 180. In reality this was not a new order of knight-hood, but only a higher rank, conferred by the fove-reign, or by the general of a royal army, on some of that order, who were richer than others, and were followed into the field by a greater number of vasfals.

It

It appears by a record which Selden has cited on BOOK II this fubject, that in Edward the Second's reign the pay of a banneret was four shillings a day, the pay Titles of Hoof each of the knights, who served under his ban- nor, part ii. ner, two shillings, and of each esquire one shil-c. v. ling. In the great roll of the fixteenth of Edward the Third, the same sums are allowed by the king to a banneret, for his own pay, and for that of See Madox's three knights and thirty fix men at arms. Camden Baronia, cites a charter of the same king, by which he ad- P. 160. vanced Sir John Coupland to the flate of a banneret, because, in a battle fought at Durham, he had V. Britannia, taken prisoner the king of Scotland, and it runs in P. 171. old these words: " Being willing to reward the faid " John, who took David de Bruce prisoner, and " frankly delivered him unto us, for the deferts of " his honest and valiant service, in such fort as " others may take example by this precedent to do " us faithful service in time to come; we have " promoted the faid John to the state and degree of

" a banneret; and for the maintenance of the fame state we have granted for us and our heirs to the same John sive hundred pounds by the year, to be received for him and his

" heirs, &c.

Here we see that the means of maintaining the dignity was a grant from the crown made after the promotion: but generally the knight so promoted was qualified with a sufficient estate before his advancement. Mr. Selden quotes an ancient book, to shew, that, in France, it was required that a banneret should have a sufficient estate to maintain sifty gentlemen to accompany his banner. (Titles of Honor, part ii. c. iii. sect. 23.) But another, which he also cites, informs us, that, in Burgundy, it was enough if he was attended with twenty

BOOK II. twenty five. From the grant having been made to Coupland and his heirs, and from the mention of inheritance in the speech of Sir John Chandos to the Black Prince, which I have recited in this book, one fhould think that the dignity of banneret was hereditary: but Mr. Selden affirms it never was fo in England. The difficulty may perhaps be folved in this manner. The honor of knighthood was perfonal, and never descended to the heir of a knight without a new creation: but when the heir of a banneret was made a knight; he was entitled to the flate and degree of a banneret by right of inheritance. Thus it appears by a writ, that, under Richard the Second, Thomas Camoys was a banneret, as many of his ancestors had been before him; and for that reason he was discharged from being knight of the shire for the county of Surrey. " Rex "Vicecomiti Surriæ salutem: Quia, ut accepi-" mus, tu Thomam Camovs Chivaler, qui bannerettus est, sicut quamplures antecessorum suorum " extiterint ad effendum unum militum venien-" tium ad proximum parlamentum nostrum pro " communitate comitatûs prædicti, de affenfu " ejuidem comitatûs, elegisti; nos advertentes " quod hujusmodi banneretti, ante hæc tempora, " ratione alicujus parlamenti eligi minimè confue-" verunt, ipsum de officio militis, ad dictum par-" lamentum pro communitate comitatûs prædicti " venturi, exonerari volumus." But there is much obscurity in this matter. For Mr. Selden fhews, that this Thomas Camovs was a baron and a peer of that parliament, and that many of his ancestors had likewise been peers. (Titles of Honor, part ii. c. v. fect. 25.) It is therefore equally

strange that he should have been elected a knight of the shire, or discharged from that service as a banneret, and not as a baron. Mr. Selden indeed ob- BOOK II. ferves, that the name of banneret is given to some Titles of Hotemporal barons, as if in them it were equivalent nor, c. v. and fynonymous to baron. In the first of Richard the Second divers earls and barons are mentioned by name in the parliament roll, "et plusieurs au-" tres seigneurs barons et bannerets etans au dit parle-"ment assemblés." But this was after the introduction of barons by writ. The same learned wri-fect. 23. ter shews, that in France the form of making bannerets was by cutting off the point or end of the pennon or streamer, and so altering the shape of it into a square banner. But it does not appear that this ceremony was used in making Sir John Chandos a banneret, as is related in this book, p. 247. Father Daniel fays, that in France the V. Hiff. de la eldest sons of bannerets, before they were knighted, Milice Franwere of inferior rank to knights bachilers, and coife, 1. 3. ferved under their pay, being called Esquires Ban- c. v. t. i. nerets: but when they had received the order of knighthood with the usual ceremonies, they had a right of advancing their banners after the death of their fathers, and fo the dignity of banneret may be faid to have been patrimonial and feudal.

P. 181. This alternative was, I prefume, the real motive, that induced them to be guilty of such glaring absurdity, as to inflict these penances upon foldiers, for killing or firiking their enemies in the profecution of a war, which they themselves admitted to be lawful; &c.

In one of the fubfequent canons three years penance is injoined by the mercy of the bishops, to the Normans who fought at Hastings, instead of one year for every man whom they knew they had flain in that battle, and forty days for every man they "pugnaverunt, pro misericordia tres annos pœniten"tiæ eis episcopi statuerunt." Publico bello here fignisses the war against Harold, and particularly the battle of Hastings, which in one of the former cannons is called magno prælio; and mentioned in Ibidem, c.ix. another by these words, excepto hoc prælio ante regis consecrationem. The fixth Canon, of which notice is taken a little lower in the page here referred to, runs in the following words: "Qui autem tan"tum præmio adducti pugnaverunt, cognoscant fe tanquam pro homicidio pæmitere debere." I have followed the learned Mr. Johnson in translating homicidium murder, because it is generally so used

by the writers of that age.

P. 186. Yet in Domefday-book they are distinguished from other free tenants, called there liberi homines, by not having the power, which, these enjoyed, of giving away, or selling, their estates, without leave of their lords. It seems that these liberi homines were a remainder of the alodial tenants of the Saxon folkland, that is, land of the vulgar, opposed to bocland or thaneland. certain number of them was necessary to constitute a manor; and therefore when that number was incompleat, some who held in villenage was infranchifed, to make it up; as appears by the testimony of the record abovementioned. We also find there, that some who were in possession of this alodial freedom thought it more eligible to feek a defence and protection, by recommending themselves to the patronage of some feudal lord, or even of two lords, if the fituation of their lands made it necessary for them to have two protectors. The services which were performed by them to the lord of the manor, in

their alodial state; were predial and rustick. ABOOK IIcertain number of free formen, as well as of these,
appears to have been necessary to every lord of a
manor, for holding the pleas of the manor court,
&c.

I will give some proofs of all these several affertions. In the lesser Domesday-book frequent mention is made of the liberi homines in these terms: "Iste liber homo suit: suus homo suit; potuit vertere "se quo voluit; potuit terram suam vendere vel dare; potuit recedere sine licentia domini." All these expressions denote an alodial tenure; the feudal tenants being more closely bound to their lords, and not having such liberty.

In the manor of Simpling in Norfolk it is said, "Quatuor liberi homines liberati fuere ad hoc ma"nerium perficiendum." Before these men were liberati, infranchised, they must have been in vil-

lenage, flaves, or bondmen.

In the lesser Domesday-book mention is made of liberi homines commendati, dimidii commendati, and even dimidii subcommendati. The nature of this commendatio is thus explained: "Liber homo hanc "terram tenebat, et quo vellet abire valebat: "fummist se in manu Walteri de Dowai, pro de- fensione sui."

It appears by the Survey, that in Glocestershire See Domesthere were liberi homines in the time of Edward the Day-book, Confessor called Radechenisters, and that they f. 18.

Tit. Glowe, ploughed, harrowed, and sowed, the demessee lands Bercheley et for their lords.

Derhurst.

That a certain number of free socmen, as well as Spelman's of these, were necessary to a manor, may be proved Gloss Rade from this passage in the Survey, "Tres istorum the survey of th

P. 188.

NOTES TOTHE LIFE

BOOK II. P. 188 In Domesday-book, that great record of the ancient state of this kingdom, a cistination is made between villeins, who were affixed to a manor, and others of still a lower and more servile condition, diffinguished by the names of bordarii, co-

tarii, and fervi; &c. In a note to one of the laws of William the

See Appendix to vol. 1.

on laws. See the Statute of Merton. Glanville,

1. 5. Coke's Inft. vol. i. l. ii. C. 11.

Conqueror, transcribed in the Appendix to the first volume of this History, I have observed, that sometimes the word villanus fignifies not a flave, but a farmer, inhabiting a village, which is the first sense See the Sax- of the word, and in which it must be taken, where persons called by that name seem to be equalled with burgesses. But, in Glanville and other law books, villanus or villein, was a man regardant to a manor, so as to go along with it whenever it changed its mafter, and in fuch absolute servitude, that his person, children, and goods belonged to his master. Indeed Judge Lyttelton fays, "that if a man is. " feized of a manor to which a villein is regar-" dant, and granteth the fame villein by his deed " to another, then he is a villein in grofs, and not " regardant." But this alteration of the name made none in his state, with respect either to the liberty of his person and family, or the property of his goods.

> P. 189. Yet in other places he gives the appellation of bondmen to all below the degree of ceorls or free focmen.

This learned author (Sir H. Spelman) describes See his Treatife on Fends the ceorls " as husbandmen, who lived upon the and Tenures, " outlands of the Saxon thanes, and were customary c.v. " tenants at the will of their lords, rendering unto

"them a certain portion of victuals, and things " necessary for hospitality. This rent, or retribu-

" tion, they call feorme, from whence we derive " the the name of farm and farmers. But this fervice BOOK II. was no bondage. For the ceorl, or hufbandman,

might as well leave his land at his will, as the " lord might put him from it at his will; and

" therefore it was provided, by the laws of Ina, " in what manner he should leave the land, when

" he departed from it to another place. And the

writ of waste in Fitzherbert seemeth to shew, that " they might depart, if they were not well used."

These ceorls have been often confounded with flaves and bondmen, under an inaccurate use of the word villani, and so have other free inhabitants of villages, in those days. The free socmen were of an order superior to these; and many of them, in the times of which I write, possessed hereditary estates of a strict feudal nature, which therefore they could not leave at pleasure, without the consent of their lords; but which they could not be put out of, without a legal forfeiture. The account given of the ceorls, in the passages above-cited, seems to agree with what is faid in Domesday-book, of the liberi bomines, or alodial tenants, at the time when that record was compiled. But some of these may have been of a higher rank and degree, according to the extent of the lands or farms they possessed. Bracton takes notice of a species of tenure in the demesne lands of the king, called villein socage. Those L. iv. p. 209. who held by this tenure were gleba ascriptitii, affixed to the land, and performed villein services, but certain and determined. Their privilege was, that, while they would and could perform these services, they could not be turned out. Nor could they be compelled against their will to hold such tenements: for which reason they were called free. Yet they could not dispose of their tenements, nor transfer them to others by way of gift, no more than mere villeins; but, if they removed, they Vol. III. delivered

who gave them to others to be held in villenage. Bracton distinguishes these villein socmen from those who held of the king in free socage; and from some whom he calls adventitii, who held by covenant in the same manner as villein socmen, but had not the same privilege.

P. 190. Nay we are told by Glanville, that in his time if a freeman married a woman born in villenage, and who actually lived in that state, he lost thereby the benefit of the law (that is, all the legal rights of a freeman) and was considered as a villein by birth, during the life-time of his wife, on

account of her villenage.

Bracton fays, that a child begotten by a free-L. i. p. 5. man, whether in marriage or not, upon a woman born in villenage, and living in that condition, was born a flave: but if the woman was out of the power of her lord, though born in fervitude, and was married to a freeman, the iffue of that free bed would be free. This explains what is faid more indiftinctly by Glanville.

I dwell on these circumstances as they make a very curious part of the history of those times, and (God be thanked) are little known at present to

my countrymen.

P. 191. But he says, that no villein could acquire his freedom with his own money: for, notwith-flanding his purchase, he might according to the law and customs of the kingdom, he brought back into villenage: because all the goods of a villein born belonged to his lord; &c.

L. ii.c. 11. fect. 172.

Sir Thomas Lyttelton fays, "that no land bolden in villenage or villein land, nor any custom arising out of the land, thall ever make a freeman

" freeman villein; but a villein may make free BOOK II.

" land to be villein land to his lord. And where

" a villein purchaseth land in fee simple, or in fee

" tail, the lord of the villein may enter into the " land, and ouft the villein and his heirs for ever.

" And after, the lord, if he will, may let the fame " land to the villein to hold in villenage." I would observe, that neither here, nor in any other part of this author's book, relating to villenage, is any distinction made by him between villeins and fervi nativi or bondmen; but he uses the former word as comprehending all those whose persons, children, and goods, were the property of their lords, whether they held lands or no; which shews that, in his time, the legal fense of the term villein was the fame as in Glanville's, and that the fervitude of those who were in that state, continued unaltered, though he speaks of it as a tenure when lands were held by the villein; and mentions also villein service, " as

" the city, or out of his lord's manor, unto the land " of his lord, and to fpread the fame upon the " land, and fuch like." Bracton also speaks of L. iv. p. 190. flaves who held in villenage of their lord, and uses 192. the Latin words villanus and fervus as synonymous

" to carry or recarry the dung of his lord out of

terms. He likewise puts a case, of a lord giving Ibidem. his flave land to be held by free fervice, without having infranchifed him; and fays, "that fuch a " gift or grant does not alter the fervile state of the

" tenant, because a tenure in villenage does not take " away any liberty from a free person, nor does a

" freehold confer liberty upon a villein. But if,

" without manumission, a lord gives a freehold to " be held by the flave and his heirs, it might be

" prefumed that he meant to infranchise him; be-

" cause a slave could have no heirs without being

" infranchifed."

BOOK II. P. 195. but yet, as in Lyttelton's Tenures, which were written during the reign of King Edward the Fourth, there is a whole chapter concerning the state of persons in servitude, it is evident that many fuch were fill remaining in those days.

See Parliamentary Hist. p. 386, 387. vol. i.

Many flaves were infranchifed in confequence of the rebellion headed by Wat Tyler and Jack Straw, in the fourth year of the reign of King Richard the Second. But the next year the king complained to his parliament, that he had been forced to grant charters of liberty and manumission, under the great feal of England, to the rebels, who were only bondtenants and villeins of the realm: which knowing to be done against law, he defired them to feek remedy, and provide for the confirmation or revocation thereof. Whereupon the lords and commons unanimoufly resolved, that all grants of liberties and manumission to the said villeins and bond-tenants, obtained by force, were in differison of them, the lords and commons, and destruction of the realm; and therefore to be nulled and made void by authority of parliament. Nevertheless it is probable, that the apprehensions of such another infurrection had no small effect to incline the lords of manors, both to treat their flaves better, and to leffen the number of them, from this time forwards. But the progress of this disposition was gradual and flow, as all fuch changes must be.

P. 217. If we look to the best accounts of the original customs of the ancient German nations, we shall find that, in their communities, all the freeholdert enjoyed an equal right with the nobles. to affift in deliberations on affairs of great moment.

V. Cluver. Tacitus fays, that in Germany (under which German. name, in his time, were comprehended all those Antiq. 1. i. P. 94, c. 11. countries from whence the Saxons and Angli ori-Sheringham, ginally P. 77.

ginally came) " de minoribus rebus principes con-BOOKIL " fultant, de majoribus omnes; ita tamen, ut ea quo-" que, quorum apud plebem arbitrium est, apud " principes pertractentur." By the word plebem here used, we must understand the inferior orders of freemen: for the fame author fays, that even the liberti were of no account in their commonwealth. "Liberti non multum suprà servos sunt, raro ali- Tacitus de " quod momentum in domo, nunquam in civitate," moribusGer-&c. And the sense of the word here is further explained by a paffage in Cæfar's Commentaries concerning the Germans, He fays, " Neque quif-" quam agri modum certum, aut fines proprios " habet; fed magistratus ac principes, in annos " fingulos, gentibus, cognationibusque hominum " qui unà coierunt, quantum eis et quo loco vi-" fum est, attribuunt agri, at anno post alio trans-" ire cogant, cujus rei multas afferunt causas," &c. one of which is " ut animi æquitate plebem conti-" neant, quum suas quisque opes cum potentissimis " æquari videat." In this place it is evident, that plebem fignifies those who had a share in the annual distribution of lands, and consequently were freemen, but of the inferior orders. And that all those, by the German customs, concurred with the nobles in confulting upon and determining affairs of great moment, appears undeniably from the passage of Tacitus above-cited.

P. 218. We are assured, by a record which Dr. Brady has cited, that so late as in the sisteenth year of King John, not only the greater barons, but all the inferior tenants in chief of the crown, had a right to be summoned to parliament by particular writs.

The words are these: "Rex baronibus, militibus V. Rot, Par. "et omnibus fidelibus totius Angliæ salutem, &c. 15 Johan.

Bb 3

Uni- P- 2. m. 2.

NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. " Unicuique vestrum, fi fieri potest, literas noftras

" super hæc transmissemus; fed ut negotium illud,

" quod et nobis et vobis ad commodum cedat et

" honorem, cum majori expediretur festinatione, bas

" literas," &c.

Mr. Selden has given us, in his Titles of honor, Titles of Ho- another writ of fummons fent the same year, viz. nor, last edit. the fifteenth of King John. the words of it are:

par.ii.p.738. « Rex Vicecomiti Oxon. falut. Præcipimus tibi " quod omnes milites ballivæ tuæ, qui summoniti

" fuerunt effe apud Oxoniam ad nos a die omnium

se fanctorum in xv dies, venire facias cum armis " fuis; carpora vero baronum fine armis fingulariter;

se et iv discretos miltes de comitatu tua illuc venire

" facias ad nos ad eundem terminum, ad loquendum " nobifcum de negotiis regni nostri. Teste meipso

g apud Wilton x1 die Novembris."

Eodem modo scribitur omnibus vicecomitibus. Mr. Selden calls this a frange writ of fummons, and fays, that, for ought he has feen, it is without example. So strange indeed it is, that I am unable to understand what it means. If the quatuor difcreti milites, whom the sheriff was to fend out of every county, were representatives of each county, or knights of the shire, what were the other milites qui summoniti fuerunt esse apud Oxoniam, &c? If these latter knights were, as Mr. Selden seems to suppose, the inferior tenants in chief, who, by the clause in King John's Magna Charta, are distinguished from the greater barons, and are to have only a general fummons to parliament, what were the four whom the sheriff was required to fend out of every county, ad loquendum nobiscum de negotiis regni noftri? There is no notice taken, that they were to be chosen de legalioribus et discretioribus militibus fingulorum comitatuum, as in the fummons of the forty ninth of Henry the Third, nor who

were to chuse them; but it rather seems to have BOOK III been left to the sheriff himself. Neither does it appear, why the other milites qui summoniti fuerunt were ordered to come with their arms and the barons unarmed, nor who these barons were. If they were the king's barons, the barones majores, they ought to have been summoned by letters from the king, and not by the sheriff. The whole is so obscure, that I can draw no inference from it, except that there was, at that time, an irregularity and arbitrary variation in the summons to parliament, which might be owing to the consused and unsettled state of the kingdom.

Two years afterwards, a method of fummons for tenants in chief of the king, with a distinction between his greater barons, and other vaffals of a degree inferior to those, was settled by one of the clauses in King John's Magna Charta, which I shall transcribe from the manuscripts of the greatest authority, viz. those which Dr. Blackstone has followed in his edition. " Et ad habendum com-" mune confilium regni de auxilio affidendo, aliter " quam in tribus cafibus prædictis, vel de scutagio " affidendo, summoneri faciemus archiepiscopos, epif-" copos, abbates, comites et majores barones figilatim " per literas nostras : et præterea faciemus summoneri " in generali per vicecomites et ballivos nostros omnes " illos qui de nobis tenent in capite ad certum diem, " scilicet ad terminum quadraginta dierum ad mi-" nus, et ad certum locum; et in omnibus literis " illius fummonitionis caufam fummonitionis ex-" primemus: et fic facta fummonitione negotium " ad diem affignatum procedat fecundum confilium " illorum qui præsentes fuerint etsi non omnes summa-" niti venerint."

The words facientus summoneri in generali, per vicecomites et ballivos nostros, omnes illos qui de nobis Bb4 376

BOOK II tenant in capite, do not express an election of reprefentation, but only direct that the fummons to these should be general by the sheriffs or bailiffs of the king in each county; whereas the others were to be fummoned by particular writs. In the first writ cited here, of the fifteenth of King John, the fummons was general, as well to the greater barons, as to the king's inferior tenants in chief; but it is declared in the writ itself, that this was done against the proper form, and only for more expedition: "Ut negotium illud, quod et nobis et vobis ad commodum cedat et honorem, cum ma-" jori expediretur festinatione," This clause of King John's charter re-establishes and confirms the ancient method with relation to the greater barons, but admits of the general fummons with relation to all others who held in capite of the crown. There is in it no intimation, that they were to be represented by knights of the shire, as Spelman and others suppose: nay, the last words thereof seem rather inconfistent with any kind of representation, et sic facta fummonitione, negotium ad diem " affignatum procedat secundum confilium illorum " qui præsentes fuerint, etsi non omnes summoniti vene-" rint." This was proper to be declared in the case of a general fummons to a large number of perions, because there the non-attendance of many among them might well be apprehended: but had they been required to appear by representatives from every county, fuch a declaration would not have been necessary, nor could it have been prudently made.

The term of forty days which is affigned in the clause for their coming to parliament after their fummons, and the promise there given, that the cause of their summons should be expressed in the writs, I suppose were agreeable to the usage of the

kingdom

Kingdom in cases of the same nature, that is, in the BOOK II. fummons to all the greater barons, to the knights of the shires, and to the representatives of cities and boroughs. But it must be observed, that, in all the ancient copies of the feveral charters of King Henry the Third, this clause is left out. I have feen an accurate transcript of that which he granted in the first year of his reign, taken from the archives of the church of Durham; and there I find the reason why this and some other clauses of King John's Magna Charta were omitted therein. The words are these: " Quia vero quædam capitula in " priore carta continebantur, quæ gravia et dubita-" bilia videbantur, scilicet de scutagiis et auxiliis " affidendis, de debitis Judæorum, et aliorum, et " de libertate exeundi de regno nostro vel redeundi " in regnum, et de forestis et forestariis, warennis " et warennariis, et de consuetudinibus comita-" thum, et de ripariis et eorum custodibus, pla-" cuit supradictis prælatis et magnatibus ea esse in " respectu quousque plenius confilium habueri-" mus, et tunc faciemus plenissime tam de hiis " quam de aliis quæ occurrerint emendanda, quæ ad " communem omnium utilitatem pertinuerint et " pacem et statum nostrum et regni nostri." (For the ease of the reader I give this clause without the abbreviations in old writing). The difficulty therefore concerning the affestment of scutage and aids, about which there was a doubt, and which the king's ministers might think an encroachment upon his prerogative, occasioned this clause to be left out, together with fome others. In the fubfequent charters of King Henry the Third, provision is made, that scutage shall be taken as it was in the time of King Henry the Second: " Scutagium de cætero. st capiatur, sicut capi solebat tempore regis Henrici " avi

BOOK II. " avi noftri." And there is a general faving to all persons of the liberties and free customs they had before enjoyed. " Et salvæ sint archiepiscopis, " episcopis, abbatibus, prioribus, comitibus, ba-" ronibus, templariis, hospitalariis, et omnibus " aliis, tam ecclefiafticis quam fæcularibus per-" sonis, libertates et libera consuetudines quas prius " habuerunt." This was a medium between an express declaration (such as had been inserted into King John's Magna Charta) of the right of the fubject to have no aids or scutage taken without consent of parliament, and a denial of that right on the part of the crown, But the clause in King John's charter concerning the method of summons to parliament was entirely dropt in all the fublequent charters both of King Henry the Third and King Edward the First. Sir H. Spelman assigns ments, p. 65, it as a reason for this omission, that this whole branch of King John's Magna Charta was not comprized in the articles between him and his barons, whereupon that charter was grounded, but gained from him afterwards. Yet, as I see no cause why King Henry the Third, or his son, should have been unwilling to agree to this method of fummons, which was much more easy and convenient to them than the former, I rather ascribe it to a diffatisfaction in some of the inferior tenants in chief, who might think it an injurious diminution of their privileges, not to be summoned as the greater barons were, and as these usually had been, by particular writs. This might probably induce the crown to recur to the old method of fummons; till the expedient of representing them by knights of the shires, in the same manner as other freeholders in the feveral counties had before been represented, and thus exempting them from the obligation

V. Spelman of Parlialigation of attending in person, was agreed to and BOOK II. fettled, about the middle, or towards the latter end of the reign of King Henry the Third, according to the best lights I can obtain in this matter. Yet all the inferior tenants in chief of the king were not comprehended in that reprefentation: for we find by the close rolls, that, in the thirty fourth year of VRot. Clauf. Edward the First, the tenants in ancient demessne 34Edw. I.m. came to parliament, and acted therein distinctly cedula, from the knights of the shire. It likewife appears & Brady of by a record, that, in the fifth year of King Edward Boroughs, the Second, they were exempted from contri- & Tyrell's buting to the wages of fuch knights. (V. Cot-Appendix to ton's Abridgement of the Records in the Tower, Hift. of Eng. p. 1.)

I cannot quit this subject without observing, that, although in the abovementioned clause of King John's charter mention is made of the greater barons, who were to be summoned to parliament by particular writs, yet the other tenants of the king, who were to be fummoned generally, are not there called leffer barons; nor do I find that title ever given to the inferior tenants in chief of the king, in any charter or record. But there are some very ancient, which give the name of barons to the principal tenants under the king's barons. For instance, V. Monastithe barons of Robert Fitzhaimon earl of Glocester con, tom. i. are mentioned in a charter of King Henry the First p. 106. are mentioned in a charter of King Fichty the Hit Hody's Hift. to the abbey of Abingdon. "Sicut defignatum fuit of Convocat. " per barones ipsius Roberti." And Henry de p. 289. Novoburgo earl of Warwick gave certain lands in V. Dugdale, that county to the same abbey in the presence of his Warwick-barons (says the grant); one of which barons, viz. Hody, ut su-Thurstan de Montfort, is recorded to have held ten pra, knight's-fees under the grandfon of that earl.

In one of the laws of the Norman kings of Sicily age these words: " Post mortem baronis vel mili-

land, p. 174, 175, 176.

Aux O. co A

en leveli

Brady of

or sibnos will it all

styr d . ikani

BOOK II. " tes, qui à comite vel barone alio baroniam aliquam

" velf udum tenuerit." L. i. c. 8.

Bracton likewise thus describes the vavassors, or great subvassals: "Sunt et alii, qui dicuntur vavaffores, viri magnæ dignitatis:" and he ranks them next to the king's barons. These had therefore a better right to the title of leffer barons than those who held of the king in capite by focage, or by feefarm, or by a fingle knight's fee, or half a knight'sfee; as many did among those who by the abovecited clause in the charter of King John were to have only a general summons to parliament. Nor was there any impropriety in fuch persons representing the community of the county, in which they were fome of the principal gentlemen, having curiam de fuis hominibus, courts of their own, as lords of manors, which to this day are called court barons.

Britannia. Selden's Titles of Honor, fect. 21. p. 740.

V. Camden's It is faid in an ancient manuscript, cited by Camden, that King Henry the Third, " post " magnas perturbationes et enormes vexationes, " inter ipsum regem, Simonem de Monteforti, et " alios barones motas et sopitas, statuit et ordina-" vit, quod omnes illi comites et barones regni Anglia, " quibus ipse rex dignatus est brevia summonitionis

" dirigere, venirent ad parliamentum suum, et non

" alii, nisi forte dominus rex alia similia brevia eis

" dirigere voluisset."

Upon this I would observe, that here is no mention of leffer barons, who might be called to parliament by the king's writs; but the fense of the passage is, that, at the end of the troubles between Henry the Third and his barons, (viz. after the battle of Evesham) he acquired a power, by act of parliament, to call to his parliaments fuch earls and barons of the realm, as he should vouchfafe to send write to, exclusevely of all others, who were not to come,

unles

unless he afterwards should send to them the like writs. BOOK II. Which power was exercised by some of his succesfors in the persons of the most ancient and greatest, barons of the realm. For instance, William de Vesci, the brother and heir of John de Vesci, who had been fummoned in the forty ninth of Henry the Third, inter majores barones, was not fummoned till the twenty third of Edward the First, though he was forty years old at John's decease; and, from that year till the fixth of Edward the Second, was never fummoned again. Many other instances of the like nature occur in looking over the lifts of fummons to parliament. And some who had been fummoned were totally omitted, and came no more to parliament. This was certainly a very great and extraordinary change of the ancient constitution, which supposed that the right of advising the king in his great council was inherent in his barons, and not to be taken from them withwithout forfeiture of their baronies. Nothing indeed could have induced them to confent to fuch a law, but the great diforder into which the whole flate had been thrown by a long civil war, in the end of which Henry the Third and his fon Prince Edward were victorious, and able to do what they pleased. It is very surprising, that in times, when the strength of the peerage was less awed by that of the crown, the established feudal notions should not have prevailed to the abolition of this law. I must however observe, that with relation to earls the power never was exercised; it not appearing that any of these were at any time omitted. And as for those, who, without having any baronies in them, were called to parliament by writs from the crown, the learned author of the Iniquiry into the manner of creating Peers, has faid much to prove, that

e

BOOK II. fuch writs gave them no peerage. He observes, " that, from the forty ninth of Henry the Third " to the twenty third of Edward the Fourth (from which times the fummons have been more re-" gular) not fewer than ninety eight laymen have " been summoned to parliament at one fingle time,

" by the very fame writs by which the earls and other undoubted barons were fummoned, and vet neither themselves, nor any of their name or

posterity, were ever afterwards summoned to

P. 35 to 45.

" any parliament or great council." From whence, and from the filence of the house of lords and of the heirs of their feveral persons, with regard to this omiffion, and from there being no words in their writs that express any creation of a peerage or barony, he takes it for granted, that they could only be fummoned as affiftants to the house of lords, and as de confilio regis in parliamento. This hypothefis would undoubtedly folve many difficulties in this dark part of the History of our ancient Constitution, if it could be fully made out. But, in the case of the greater barons, the separating from their peerages the right of voting in parliament, and subjecting it to the arbitrary will of the king, whether it was done by an act of parliament (as the words statuit et ordinavit, in the words cited by Camden, feem to imply) or by a mere extenfion of prerogative, was an innovation very hurtful to the dignity of the peers and to the balance of the government. Some have doubted the authority of the manuscript cited by Camden; and I should give no credit to it, if it were not confirmed by the indisputable practice of all our kings from Edward the First to Richard the Third. It must however be noted, that fuch omissions in many cases, though not in, all, may be well acounted for,

for, from the frequent and necessary absence of BOOK II, many of the peers on the king's fervice abroad, while the crown had great dominions and almost perpetual wars on the continent. On fuch occafions the omitting to fummon them to parliament was no encroachment on their rights, but a proper exemption from a duty they could not perform. It may also have been done not improperly, according to the notions of those times, when the lands that constituted a barony were seized by the crown for any fault or defect of fervice, during the life of the baron, or for any number of years: whereas an arbitrary omiffion of fummoning those to parliament, who were willing and able to do their duty there, if it did not extinguish the peerage, deprived it of one of its most valuable privileges: and, if it did extinguish it, rendered the highest property of the kingdom quite precarious and dependant on the will of the crown. But this belongs not to my subject; no such thing having been thought of in the times of Henry the Second, or ever before. What I meant to confider here was only the notion, that the leffer barons, or the the barones fecundæ dignitatis, were not the great Subvassals who held manors under earls or other eminent nobles, but the inferior tenants in capite of the crown; a notion espoused by some writers of no fmall authority, and on which more than one hypothefis has been founded, with no warrant from antiquity, so far as I can discover.

P. 221. A writ of summons directed to the sheriffs of Bedfordshire and Buckinghamshire, and requiring two knights to be sent for each of those counties, is extant in the close roll of the thirty eighth year of Henry the Third. BOOK II.

The words of the writ are these: " Tibi districte præcipimus, quod præter omnes prædictos venire facias coram concilio nostro apud Westm. in quindena Paschæ prox. fut. 4 legales et discretos milites de comitatibus prædictis, quos idem comitatus ad hoc elegerint, vice omnium et fingulorum eorundem; viz. 2 de uno comitatu, et 2 de alio, ad providendum una cum militibus " aliorum comitatuum, quos ad eundem diem vocari " fecimus, quale auxilium nobis in tantà necessitate " impendere voluerint. Et tu militibus et aliis de comitatu prædicto necessitatem nostram, et tam " urgens negotium nostrum, diligenter exponas et " ad competens auxilium nobis ad præsens impen-" dendum efficaciter inducas. Ita quod præfati " 4 milites præfato concilio nostro ad prædictum · terminum Paschæ respondere possint super præ-" dicto auxilio pro fingulis comitatibus prædictorum" Introduction &c. Dr. Brady supposes that this was not a summons to a general council; but Dr. Hody calls it a parliament, and I think with good reason. For M. Paris fays, congregati funt iterum Anglia mag-V. M. Paris, ceding council, held the same year, sexto Calend. p. 595. & ad- Februarii, which the fame author calls parliamenditam.p.123. tum. But in reality this point is not worth dif-P. 492. ibi- puting. For if, at this time, the knights of the thires were fummoned to the leffer councils, they cer-Hody, p. 338. tainly were to the greater. It is observed by Dr. Brady, that the date of the writ was foon after the breaking up of a general council. And so it well might, because that general council had denied the king aid, and therefore it was necessary to fummon another as foon as possible. He also objects, that there are two other parts of this writ, the last of which is a command to the sheriff to levy all debts that were due to the king, &c. A clause not

See Brady's to his Hift. vol. 1. from p.21210 215. See Hody,

dem.

See alfo

OF KING HENRY II.

to be found in parliament-writs. But this, at most, BOOK II. proves only, what I shall not dispute, that the form of these writs was not then so precisely determined, as not to admit of occasional variations. Nor does the coupling of other things with a fummons to parliament make it no fummons.

Ibid. And there is a clause in the great charter of the ninth of the same king, whereby it is declared, that, together with the spiritual and temporal lords, other inferior freeholders, et omnes de regno, by which words I understand the whole commonalty of the realm, granted to the king the fifteenth part of all their moveable goods, in return for the liberties accorded to them in that charter.

The words in the original are these: " Pro " hac autem concessione et donatione libertatum " istarum et aliarum libertatum contentarum in " cartâ nostrâ de libertatibus Forestæ, archiepis-" copi, episcopi, abbates, priores, comites, barones,

" milites, libere tenentes, ET OMNES DE REGNO " NOSTRO dederunt nobis quintamdecimam par-" tem omnium mobilium fuorum." The words milites, libere tenentes, et omnes de regno nostro dederunt nobis &c. coming after barones &c. feem to declare very plainly, that the whole commonality of the kingdom had concurred with the nobility in granting this tax to the king, as a return for the charter. Dr. Brady, to elude the force of the proof against his hypothesis, is obliged to contend, that dederunt in this place fignifies paid, and not granted. But Dr. Hody observes rightly, that this conjec- See Hody's ture appears to be false, from this confideration, Hist.of Conthat the charters were drawn up in the parliament it- voc. p. 303. felf, wherein the subsidy was granted, and sealed long before the money could be paid. He adds, " it can-" not be supposed that omnes de regno were present " in

VOL. III.

NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II, " in that parliament, so as to grant the subsidy in their own persons; but they did it either per se " or by their representatives." How these words were understood by Henry de Knighton, who wrote his History little more than a century afterwards, will appear from this passage, in his account of the reign of Henry the Third: " Post " hæc Rex Henricus concessit magnatibus terra " duas chartas, unam de Foresta, et aliam de libertatibus, propter quam causam communes reg-" ni concesserunt quintam decimam partem om-" nium bonorum suorum mobilium et immobilium." The two last words are a mistake, being not agreeable to the charter: but the words communes regni are a clear explanation of the omnes de regno in the charter. As for the expression rex concessit magnatibus terra duas chartas, it must not be understood

vol, v,

See Tyrrel's as excluding the commons out of that grant ; for App. p. 21, the contrary appears by the charter itself: but magnatibus is here a general term that comprehends the whole parliament; as it certainly does in many other passages of our ancient historians. And we have in the Cotton library a manuscript chronicle of Walter de Coventry, who lived and wrote under the reign of Henry the Third, which, in giving an account of this parliament, fays, " Ibidem concessa est D. regi a comitibus, et baroni-" bus, et clero et populo, quinta decima omnium " bonorum." But what will better determine the true fense of this clause, is the following passage in a record of the thirty feventh of that king: " No-" verint universi, quod D. H. rex Angliæ illustris, " R. Comes Norff. et Mareschallus Angliae, H.

" Comes Hereford et Effex. J. Comes de War-

" wico, P. de Sabaudia, caterique magnates An-

See Petyt, Right of the Comm. App. p. 164. Hody, Hift. of Convoc. P. 335, 336.

" gliz consenserunt in fententiam excommunica-" bonis generaliter latam apud West, tertio deci-" mo " mo die Maii ann. regis prædicti 37, in forma BOOK II. " scil. quod vinculo præfatæ sententiæ ligentur " omnes venientes contra libertates contentas in car-" tis communium libertatem Angliæ, et de Foresta " &c. Sciendum autem quod fi in scriptis super " eadem sententia à quibuscunque confectis, seu " conficiendis, aliud vel aliter oppositum vel ad-" jectum fuerit, aut articuli aliqui alii in eis con-" tenti inveniantur, D. rex, et prædicti magnates " omnes, et communitas populi, protestantur pub-" lice in præfentia venerabilium patrum B. Dei " gratia Cant. arch. totius Angliæ primatis, nec " non et episcoporum omnium in eodem collequio " existentium, quod in ea numquam consenserunt " nec confentiunt, sed de plano eis contradicunt." This colloquium is called, by Matthew of Westminster, magnum parliamentum. And furely the communitas populi being thus named in addition to, V.Rot. par. and distinct from, the magnates omnes, is a very 37 H. III. strong evidence, added to the others before men- m. 12. dorso. tioned, that the commons were present, and acted together with the nobles in this parliament, two years before the time affigned by Brady for their first coming to those assemblies. That difference indeed would be small, if it did not affect the whole foundation upon which his hypothesis stands, viz. that this Innovation was the consequence of Simon de Montfort's victory at the battle of Lewis, and the captivily of the king.

Ibid. Nor can I discover, in the history of those times, any reason sufficient to render it probable, that fo great an alteration should then have been made in the constitution of England.

It by no means appears, that, under the government of King Henry the Third, either the CC2

1-

1-

feudal

BOOK II. feudal powers of the nobility over the commons were more relaxed than they had been during the reigns of Henry the Second or Henry the First; or that the condition of citizens and burgeffes had been mended by any increase of trade and commerce; or that the freeholders in the counties had been raifed any higher, by an augmentation of wealth, or extension of privileges, which could open the way to fuch a change. Nor was trade or commerce esteemed more honorable in the age of Henry the Third than in that of his grandfather, or during the government of the Saxons. On the contrary, the disposition and temper of the times, as well as the genius of the government, were more unfavorable to trade in the Norman times than the Saxon; and the state of England, during the period from the death of Henry the Second till that of Henry the Third, was more turbulent, more distempered, and more unfriendly to the encrease of the national industry, or any enlargement

P. 223. We know indeed that some boroughs, which, from their poverty, were unable to bear the expence of sending members to parliament, declined the use of that privilege.

of our commerce, than under the moderate and prudent administrations of the two former

See Brown Willis, vol. 1. p. 149.

Henries.

There are some instances of boroughs that petitioned to be restored to the use of that privilege after a very long interruption. Thus, in the reign of James the First, Agmondesham, Wendover, and Great Marlow alledged, by petition to the house of commons, that the interruption in their sending burgesses, for four hundred years past, was not owing to their own neglect, but to the fault of the sheriss; or, if it was owing

in any measure to the burghs themselves, it BOOK II. was because their predecessors were poor and unable to maintain their members; whereas now they were

content to undergo that charge.

On this I would observe, that, as they had not complained before, in fo long a period of time, it is probable, that it was not the neglect of the sheriffs, but their poverty and inability to bear the charge of fending members to parliament, which had occasioned fo long an interruption of their right. Their petition was allowed, by the commons and the king, as it appeared that they were parliament burgs by prescription, not by charter.

P. 224. Among the close rolls of the twenty fourth year of that king, there is a writ of summons to parliament, in which it is afferted, not as an innovation introduced by the earl of Leicester, but a maxim grounded on a most equitable law, established by the foresight and wisdom of sacred princes, that what concerned all should be done with the approbation of all; and that dangers to the whole community should be obviated by remedies provided by the whole community.

Rot. Clauf. The words are these: " Sicut lex justissima, pro- 24 Edw. I.

" vida circumspectione sacrorum principum stabilita, m. dorso:

" hortatur, ut quod omnes tangit ab omnibus approbe-" tur, sic et innuit evidenter, ut communibus periculis per remedia provisa communiter obvietur." If the earl of Leicester had been the first who applied this maxim to the constitution of English parliaments or great councils, it would have been impossible for Edward the First to have grounded it on a law provida circumspectione sacrorum principum stabilita. Nor could he have used that expression, if he himfelf, or his father had introduced the practice of fummoning the commons to those affemblies. Ibid.

BOOK II. Ibid. Some very eminent writers have supposed, that none but the king's inferior tenants in chief were at first represented by the knights of the Thires: but there is no sufficient evidence to support that opinion.

See Spelman of Parliaments, p. 64.

Sir H. Spelman and other writers have dated the original of knights of the shires from one of the clauses in king John's Magna Charta, which directs that the inferior tenants in chief of the crown should be summoned to parliament in general. But that those words do not import any representation, I have endeavoured to shew in a former note to this volume: nor does it appear by other evidence, either of records or of history, that, in consequence of that clause, such tenants in shief were at any time the sole electors of knights of the Shires, or that only such tenants in chief could be elected. Sir H. Spelman indeed adds, " that other freeholders, because they " could not always be diffinguished from them that " held in capite (which encreased daily) grew by " little and little to have voices in the election of " the knights of the shires, and to be at last con-" firmed therein by the statute 7 Hen. IV. and 8 " Hen. VI." But how does he shew that there ever was a time, when knights of the shires were elected by the tenants in capite, without the voices of other freeholders? He supposes the point which should be proved. For, that under the reigns of King John and Henry the Third, when he thinks that fuch elections began to be made, other freeholders came to the county-court is most certain.

See Keble's Statutes, 7 Hen. IV. c. 15.

John

If we confider the statute of the seventh of Henry the Fourth, which is the oldest in our books that regulates or directs the form of county e'ections, we shall find it was made (as the preamble

preamble declares) at the grievous complaint of the BOOK II. commons in parliament of the undue election of the knights of counties for the parliament, which he fometimes made of affection of sheriffs, and otherwise, against the form of the writs directed to the sheriff, to the great flander of the counties, and hindrance of the business of the commonality in the said counties, &c. All the regulations laid down in it appear defigned to prevent abuses arising from the partiality of the sheriffs, or other undue influence used in elections; not to make or to confirm any change in the qualifications or rights of the electors. The enacting part of it fays, "Our fovereign " Lord the King, willing therein to provide remedy, " by the affent of the lords spiritual and temporal, " and the commons in this prefent parliament " affembled, hath ordained and established, that " from henceforth the elections of fuch knights " fhall be made in the form that followeth: (that " is to fay) at the next county to be holden after " the delivery of the writ of the parliament, pro-" clamation shall be made in the full county of the day " and the place of the parliament, and that all they " that be there present, as well suitors duly summoned " for the same cause, as others, shall attend to the " election of the knights for the parliament, and then " in the full county they shall proceed to the elec-"tion freely and indifferently, notwithstanding any " request or commandment to the contrary," &c. What follows concerns only the return of the writ, and neither in the part above-recited, nor in any fubsequent clause, is there a word that denotes any intention in the legislature to encrease the number of electors of knights of the shires, in prejudice to the right of the king's tenants in chief, or that gives the least intimation of any such right having been ever in those tenants; exclusive of others, CCA

BOOK II. who were fuitors to the county-court and prefent therein. All the freeholders were fo from the earliest times: and that the substance of this law was no more than an affirmance of an old right and. custom, appears from the preamble of the subsequent act made in the eleventh of the same king, which fays, that " whereas in the parliament " holden at Westminster, the seventh year of the " reign of our faid Lord the king, there was or-" dained and established by a statute for the pre-" servation of the liberties and franchises of the elec-" tion of the knights of the shire used through the " realm, a certain form and manner of the election " of fuch knights, as in the faid flatute more fully " is contained," &c. There can be nothing more different than the preservation of liberties and franchises used through the realm in elections, from' the communicating of a liberty and franchife to persons not entitled to it before. The act of the eighth of Henry the Sixth, instead of enlarging, restrains the number of electors. It enacts, that those knights shall be chosen in every county by people dwelling and resident in the same counties, whereof every one of them shall have land or tenement, to the value of forty shillings by the year at the least, above all charges: which is explained in a fublequent act, of the tenth of the fame king, to mean' freeholds of that value within the county for which the election is to be made. And the reason why this was done is fet forth in the preamble: Whereas the elections of knights of Shires to come to the parliaments of our Lord the King, in many counties of the realm of England have now of late been made by very great outrageous and excessive numbers of people, dwelling within the same counties of the realm of England, of which most part was of people of small substance and of no value, whereof every of them pretended

tended a voice equivalent, as to fuch elections to be BOOK II.

made, with the most worthy knights and esquires dwelling within the same counties; whereby man-slaughter,

riots, batteries, and divisions among the gentlemen,

and other people of the same counties, shall very likely

rise and be, unless convenient and due remedy be pro
vided in this behalf: our Lord the King, considering

the premisses, hath provided, ordained, and esta
blished by authority of this present parliament, &c.

It is amazing that any person, who had ever read this statute, or that of the seventh of Henry the Fourth, should fay, as St. Amand does in his Historical Essay on the Legislative Power of England, p. 187. that none but the immediate tenants of the crown (the leffer barons) came to the county court, and none other had votes till, by the 8 H. VI. c. 7. all freebolders of 40s. per annum had that right given them. The very reverse of all this appears by the statute he refers to: it gives no right to freeholders of 40s. per ann. which they had not before; but excludes all those who had freeholds under that value. The words, whereas the elections of knights of shires inmany counties of England have now OF LATE been made by very great, outrageous, and excessive numbers of people, and whereof every of them PRETENDED a voice equivalent with the most worthy knights and esquires dwelling within the same counties, shew beyond contradiction, that the intention of the legiflature in enacting this statute was not to alter the constitution and usage of the kingdom, with respect to elections for the shires, but to remedy a recent abuse and innovation, grounded (as they thought) on unjustifiable pretentions. It feems pretty evident, that the practice of parcelling out land in small portions, and thereby multiplying freeholds inferior in value to what they had usually been in former times, produced this complaint of very great, outrageous.

That none but the immediate tenants of the crown

BOOK II. rageous, and excessive numbers of people, who were of fmall substance and of no value, coming to these elections. But it is certain, that this law and that of the tenth of the same king were restrictive, instead of giving a right of voting to any freeholders who

did not before enjoy that franchife.

to the first solume.

(the leffer barons) came to the county-court before the eighth of Henry the Sixth (as the same author afferts), is a most false and unwarranted proposition. I V. Appendix have given, in the Appendix to the first volume of this History, a charter of Henry the First, relating to the mander of holding county-courts in which it is faid by that king, "Et volo et præcipio, ut om-" nes decomitatu eant ad comitatus et bundrida, ficut " fecerint tempore regis Edwardi." And in another clause he says, "Et si modo exurgat placitum de " divisione, terrarum, si est inter barones meos do-" minicos, tractetur placitum in curia mea: Et " si est inter vavassores duorum dominorum, tractetur " in comitatu." The vavaffors therefore were fuitors to the county-court, and all the freeholders of the county, omnes de comitatu, were required to go thither, as they had done in the time of Edward the Confessor. Nor does it appear that any alteration was made in this point by any subsequent law. In the above recited statute of the seventh of Henry the Fourth, all that are prefent in the county-court, as well fuitors duly fummoned for the same cause, as others, are commanded to attend to the election of the knights for the parliament. And that, before the making of this law, the vavasfors, or mesne tenants, who did not hold their lands directly of the king, might not only concur in the elections of knights of the shires, but be themselves elected, seems evident from the words of Chaucer, in the description of his Franklin.

" At fessions was he lord and fire,

" Full oftimes was he knight of the shire;

" A sheriff had he been and a coronour,

"Was never such a worthy vavassour."
Yet it must be observed, that, to qualify any perfon for being elected, the mere possession of a knight's-fee was not sufficient; but it was necessary that he should be miles gladio cinetus, that is, knighted according to the forms then in use. By See Cotton's

an act of the first of Richard the Second, all who Abridgement had lands to the value of twenty pounds yearly in of the records fee, or for term of life, were obliged to receive the in the Tower order of knighthood. And this law seems to have Edw. III. been founded on a more ancient custom. For there p. 18, 19, are writs of Henry the Third, commanding the V.Rot. Claus. sheriffs to summon to the county-courts all who held hen. III. one knight's-fee, or less than a whole knight's-fee, 26 Hen. III. dum tamen det enementa suo, tam militari quam socagio, m. 6.

possint sustentari, that they might be there made knights. There is also an act of 23 Hen. VI. c. 15. which says, "the knights of the shires shall "be notable knights of the same counties for the "which they shall be chosen, or otherwise such "notable esquires, or gentlemen born, of the same

" counties as shall be able to be knights: and no man
" to be such knight, which standeth in the degree of a

" yeoman, or under."

In this law the ability of being made a knight, that is, the having freeholds sufficient to qualify them for it, is admitted instead of the actual order of knighthood required by the old writs: and yeomen, with all under that degree, are excluded, agreeably, I presume, to ancient usage: but in no statute relating to the knights of the shires is there the least intimation of it's being required that they should be tenants in capite of the king, or of the usage having been altered with regard to that point.

The

396 BOOK II.

See the records in the Tower.

The next circumstance I shall consider belonging to this matter is the wages paid to the knights. must be granted, that, if by virtue of the abovementioned clause in King John's Magna Charta, or by any subsequent state or custom introduced in the time of Henry the Third or Edward the First, fuch knights were elected by tenants in capite of the king, exclusively of all others, and represented them alone, no others could be charged with the payment of their wages. But in one of the parliament rolls of the fifty first year of Edward the Third, n. 45. the commons petition the king, that the faid expences be levied of all the commons of the counties, as well within franchises as without; except the franchises of cities and boroughs, and except those who come to parliament by writs of summons, and their tenants who hold in bondage. The words in the record, which I have examined, run thus: Que plaise au roy notre seigneur que soit ordeine a ceste present parlement, que les dites despenses soient levez de toutz les communes des dites comtées, si bien deinz franchises come debors; forspris de la franchise des citées et burghs, et forspris de ceux qui viegnent icy par brief à parlement, par summonce, et de leurs tenants qui tiegnent en bondage. Of the preamble to this petition, which is very remarkable, I shall have occasion to take notice in a subsequent note. It will be enough to add here, that the answer of the king is, soit fait come devant a esté usé en ce cas. Which was the proper answer, because no exception was made in this petition to tenants in ancient demesne, or in gavelkind, who appear by other records to have been intitled to an exemption from these expences; and therefore the king would neither wholly reject, nor wholly grant the demand. But it was impossible that the commons could have made it so general, if the right of election for counties had in those days been

been confined to tenants in chief of the king. And it BOOK II. is not pretended by Spelman, or any other writer, that it was extended or enlarged in the reign of any king between Henry the Third and Edward the Third inclusively. It seems to me therefore, that this roll contains a strong evidence against the notion that the electors of knights of the shires were anciently none but the tenants in capite, called by

tome modern authors leffer barons.

One of the most striking arguments used by Dr. Brady to support that notion, is the manner of electing the commissioners for the shires in the kingdom of Scotland, where, by an act made in the year 1427, (the twenty third of James the First) the small barons and free tenants, who held of the crown in capite, were discharged from coming to parliaments, and allowed to chuse commissioners to ferve in their stead. This law was confirmed in the year 1587, by an act of James the Sixth, wherein it is declared, that none shall have votes but such as have forty shillings land in free tenendrie holden of the king. The permitting of the inferior tenants in chief to come to parliament by reprefentatives, instead of a personal attendance, I believe to have been done (as many other things were in Scotland) after the example of England; but the excluding of all not holding of the king from such elections feems to have arisen from a policy, which had before rendered the government and constitution of Scotland very different from ours in many respects. For (whatever conformity there was between them in the times of which I write) it is certain, that, from the reign of Alexander the Third to that of James the First, the aristocratical power in the state of that kingdom had continually encreased, and prevailed over the popular far more than in England. No just or conclusive inference

those laws, to prove by analogy, that no freeholders, buttenants in chief of the crown, had anciently a right to elect the representatives of English counties.

P. 225. There is not in any of those writs, nor in the oldest we have for sending up representatives from cities or boroughs, the least intimation, that such elections were a novelty then introduced.

The words of the writ directed to the sheriff of Bedfordshire and Buckinghamshire, in the thirty eighth of Henry the Third, which is the oldest now remaining, have been recited in a former note to this book. The next for knights of the shires, which is of the forty ninth of the same king, runs thus: " Item mandatum est singulis vicecomitibus e per Angliam, quod venire faciant duos milites " de legalioribus et discretioribus militibus fingu-" lorum comitatuum ad regem London in Octabis " prædictis in forma supradicta." The writ, which contains the form here referred to, is lost. To the cities and boroughs we have one in these words: " Item in forma prædicta scribitur civibus Ebor. " civibus Lincoln, et cæteris burgis Angliæ; " quod mittant in forma prædicta duos de discre-" tioribus et legalioribus et probioribus tam civibus quam burgenfibus fuis." The form here referred to may probably have been that of a writ of fummons fent to the city of London, and now loft. For that was the most proper to be enrolled as a pattern for all the other writs to the cities and boroughs, mutatis mutandis. And it must be obferved, that, in this abridgement or minute, York and Lincoln are particularly named, but London is not; which feems an evident proof, that the writ directed to that city was kept on the rolls, and therefore

therefore it was not thought necessary to enter the BOOK II. others, which were in the same form. The words et cateris burgis Anglia seem to shew, that the boroughs which fent members to parliament were well known: but, if none had ever fent any before this time, so general a mention of them would hardly have been made. It appears, that the Cinque Ports were summoned distinct from the others; and the writ to Sandwich only was entered on the rolls with a similiter mandatum est singulis portubus pro fe. Probably, that to London was in much the fame form. The cause of their summons is there declared to be tam pro negotio libarationis Edwardi primogenti nostri quam pro aliis communitatem regni nofiri tangen'ibus. It is directed thus: Rex baronibus et ballivis portis sui de Sandwico salutem. And the precept is, Vobis mandamus, in fide et dilectione quibus nobis tenementi, firmi er injungentes, (ut) omnibus aliis prætermissis mittatis ad nos ibidem quatuor de legalioribus et discretioribus portus vestri. Ita quod fint ibid. in Octab. prædictis nobifcum, et cum præfatis magnatibus regni noftri tractatum et super præmissis consilium impensuri. Et hic, sicut honorem nostrum et vestrum et communem utilitatem regni nostri diligitis, nullatenus omittatis. But fur- VRot. Clauf. ther we find, that in the writs for the expences of 28 Edw. I. knights of the shires, in the twenty eighth year of m. 12. dorfo. Edward the First, which are the first of that reign pendix to his remaining on the rolls, it is faid, that "the coun-History, " ty should pay them, prout alias in casu consimili p. 60, 61. " ty should pay them, prout and in edga tought. & Bibliothec, " fieri consuevit;" words which imply a custom. Polit. Dial. It must indeed be observed that the writ of the for-viii. ty ninth of Henry the Third has not these words. VRot. Claus. Mr. Tyrrel supposes, that they were left out by 49 Hen. III. the negligence of the clerks: but a better reason See also Bramay be affigned from the writ itself. For there dy's Answ. to it Petyt,p. 140,

NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. it is faid, that the expences of the knights had been very great, because their attendance had been longer than they had expected; but that the counties having before contributed largely to other public expences for the defence of the kingdom, they should not be charged to this too highly. "Cum " nuper vocari fecimus duos de discretioribus militi-" bus fingulorum com. &c. ac iidem milites moram " diuturniorem quam credebant traxerint ibidem, prop-" ter quod non modicas fecerint expensas; cumque " communitates comitatuum dictor; varias boc anno fe-" cerint præstationes ad defensionem regni nostri &c. " per quod aliquantulum se nimium sentiunt gravari, " tibi præcipimus quod duobus militibus, qui " pro communitate dicti comitatus præfato parliamen-" to interfuerunt, de confilio quatuor legalium mili-" tum ejusdem comitatis, rationabiles expensas suas " in veniendo ad dictum parliamentum, ibidem " morando, et inde ad partes fuas redeundo pro-" videri facias, et eas de eadem communitate le-" vari facias, proviso quod ipsa communitas occasione " præstationis istius supra modum non gravetur." It is very probable therefore, that, as the expences of the knights of the shire had been greater than usual, and the king was unwilling to load the counties, which had been fo much burthened before, with too heavy a charge, the words prout alias in cafu consimili fieri consuevit were on purpose left out, as no proper rule to proceed by in this cafe.

Dr. Brady has given us a writ of expences al-Answ. to Pe- lowed to four knights of each county in the kingdom, for attending upon a parliament in the forty fecond year of Henry the Third, not as members thereof, but on an extraordinary commission of inquest into all excesses, transgressions, and injuries done and committed by justices, sheriffs, bailiffs,

See Brady's tyt, p. 141. from the Clauf. Rot. 42 H. III. m. I. dorso.

of winAs 60日 电动物

400

or any other persons within the said counties. No BOOK II. fum is fixed; but they are to have rationabiles expensas suas in eundo, redeundo, et in præfato parliamento pro dictis negotiis morando. This is a very extraordinary writ, and, as far as I can discover, the fingle one of the kind that ever was granted. Yet from hence Dr. Brady supposes, that the words prout alias in casu consimili fieri consuevit got into ancient writs for the expences of knights, citizens, and burgeffes: a strange supposition! it being far more likely, that the form of this writ, fent on a particular occasion, was taken from those, than that this was the precedent upon which they were grounded.

As for the two clauses in King John's charter concerning the manner of levying aids and scutage, I cannot think they conclude any thing with re- See the Clauregard to this matter; the first of them saying only, ses in Blackthat no scutage or aid should for the future be im- tion of the posed, but by the common council of the king-charters. dom; and the other declaring in what manner the Magn. Carta greater and leffer tenants in chief of the king should Regis Johan. be summoned on such occasions. How does it ap- p. 13. Clause pear, that the latter was intended, as fome have Claufe 14. supposed to enumerate all the members of parliament, all entitled to fit there? If there was no dispute at that time about the method of fummoning the representatives of counties, cities, and boroughs, there was no need of mentioning any of them in that clause, which is not the description of a parliament or common council of the kingdom, but a declaration in what manner, and by what kind of fummons, certain members thereof, viz. those who held of the king, should be called to the parliament, for the imposing of scutage or other aids.

In the roll of the 51 Edw. III. n. 45. part of See the rewhich I have cited in a former note to this book, I cords in the ower of VOL. III. Dd r ndon.

BOOK II, find these words: "Pourceque, de commune droit " du royalme, de chascun comté d'Engleterre sont " et seront eleus deux personnes d'estre à parle-" ment por le commune de dits comtés." Now, if, as this record testifies two persons were to be elected to parliament for the commonalty of every county by the common law of the realm, de commune droit du royalme, we must look for the beginning of this law, or usage, in much more ancient times than the reign of King Henry the Third, even in the earliest institutions of the Anglo-Saxon government. This expression seems to me of very decifive importance, as to the question before us.

Ibid. But some writs are taken notice of by Mr. Tyrrel, a diligent fearcher into records on this subject, which fet forth a claim of certain tenants in ancient demesne, before the fifteenth year of Edward the *11.2's 3dost Second, that they ought not to be charged with wages to knights of the shire; for a smuch as they and their ancestors, tenants of the same manor, had, simulantiko from time beyond memory, been always exempted, 2016 2 . 37 . 3 by custom, from the expences of knights, fent by the community of their county to the parliaments of the king, and of his royal progenitors.

V. Appendix to the second " part of his third volume of the Hift. of England, p. 60, 61.

ower of

enden.

.42 . 3 . 4

. ta Same

The words are, as cited by Mr. Tyrell: "Quod licet ipsi et eorum antecessores tenentes de eodem " manerio de F. (quod est de antiquo dominio " coronæ Angliæ) a tempore quo non extat memoria s semper hactenus quieti esse consueverunt de expensis " militum ad parliamenta nostra, et progenitorum " nostrorum regum Anglia, pro communitate dicti of comitatus venientium," &c. Mr. Tyrrel gives in English the rest of the writ, which goes on thus: "That whereas the sheriff distrains the said tenants to contribute to the expences of the knights that came to the last parliament, to their great " damage,

damage, otherwise than had been accustomed in BOOK II. " all times past (omnibus temporibus retroactis fieri " consuevit), therefore the king commands him " that he defist from his faid distress, and do not " compel the faid tenants to contribute otherwise quam omnibus temporibus retroactis" &c. After which he adds, " Now, though this writ be entered without any king's name, or date, yet it " appears at the bottom, that it was iffued out by "G. L'Escrope then chancellor, and William de "Herlston, clerk of the chancery; and this must " have been before the fifteenth year of Edward " the Second, because it appears by the close rolls " of that year (Rot. Clauf. 15 Edw. II. m. 37. " dorf. in scedula), that in December the great " feal was delivered to William D. Ayremyn, un-" der the seals of William de Clysse, and the said "William de Herlston, clerks of Chancery, who " are often mentioned in our records to have been " keepers of it pro tempore, till the fecond year of " Edward the Third, when the faid William de " Herlston had the sole custody thereof committed " to him. There are other writs of this kind upon the close rolls, as particularly one directed to Sir Clauf. 50 " John de Cobham, and four other knights there- Edw. III. in named, reciting, "That whereas Simon, par. ii. m. 19. archbishop of Canterbury, claims as well for " himself as his predecessors, and their tenants " hitherto, a tempore quo non extat memoria, cer-" tain lands held in gavelkind in the county of "Kent, which ought to be free from the expences " of knights coming to the parliaments of that "king, as well as those of his progenitors; and "then it concludes with a *supersedeas* to the faid theriff, not to molest the said tenants, until such " time as the king be further informed, and that D d 2

OK II. " he, by the advice of his council, had ordained, " what is to be done in the premisses." From both " these writs we may draw these conclusions, first, " that there was at the time of the granting these " writs a claim by prescription time out of mind, " allowed for all tenants in ancient demesne, as " also for those who held of the archbishop in gavel-" kind, to be exempted from contributing to the " wages of knights of the shire, or else these peti-"tions and the writs upon them had been to no " purpose; for you must note, that all tenants in ancient demessee and in gavelkind were made so " before the conquest, and therefore might well of plead a prescription: and were it not that I should be tedious, I could cite several other writs of this kind, as also pleas of certain towns to this purpose, and that not long after the time Dr. Brady supposes the commons were again sum-" moned to parliament."

P. 226. With regard to cities and boroughs, there are likewise extant two claims, made in the reigns of Edward the Second and Edward the Third, the proceedings upon which seem decisive of the sense of that age, concerning the antiquity of the custom of citizens and burgesses coming to parliament, and from towns that were held under subjects, not immediately of the crown; I mean the claims of the towns of St. Albans and Barnstaple; &c.

The claim of the town of St. Albans, here mentioned, is transcribed at length by Mr. Madox, in his History of the Exchequer, from the parliament rolls of the eighth year of King Edward the Second, and it will be proper to give a transcript of it here.

"Ad petitionem burgensium villæ de S. Albano,
"fuggerentium

V. Madox's Hift. of the Excheq. c. 17. p. 521.

fuggerentium regi, quod licet ipfi teneant vil-BOOK II. " lam prædictam de rege in capite, et ipfi, ficut " cæteri burgenses regni, ad parliamenta regis, " cum ea fummoniri contigerit, per duos combur-" genses suos venire debeant, prout totis retroa lis " temporibus venire consueverunt, pro omnimodis ser-" vitiis regi faciendis; quæ quidem servitia iidem " burgenses et antecessores sui burgenses villæ præ-" dictæ, tam tempore domini Edwardi nuper re-"gis Angliæ, patris regis, et progenitorum suorum,
quam tempore regis nunc, semper ante instans
parliamentum, ut præmittitur, præstiterunt. parliamentum, ut præmittitur, præstiterunt. "Nomina quorum burgenfium fic pro dictà villà " ad parliamenta regis venientium in rotulis cancel-" lariæ semper irretulata fuerunt. Nihilominus " vicecomes regis comitatûs Hertfordiæ, ad pro-" curationem et favorem abbatis de Sancto Albano et ejus confilium, burgenses prædictos præmu-" nire, seu nomina eorum, prout ad ipsum per-" tinuit, retornare, ut ipfi servitium suum prædic-" tum facere possent, penitus recusavit, in con-" temptum regis et burgenfium prædictorum præ-" judicium, et exhæredationis periculum mani-" festum, super quo petunt remedium oppor-" tunum. " R. est per confil. " Scrutentur rotuli &c. de canc. fi temporibus " progenitorum regis burgenses prædicti solebant ve-" nire, vel non; et tunc fiat eis super hoc justitia,

" vocatis evocandis fi necesse fuerit." A great deal has been faid by feveral learned wri- See Petyt,' ters about this record; and indeed the matter of it Brady, Tyris extremely important, with regard to many points others. in the disputes that have arisen about our ancient constitution. Dr. Brady being desirous to elude V. Brady's the force of it against the hypothesis which he had Answ. to Pelaid down (viz. that no burgeffes were fummoned tyt, p. 38. to parliament before the forty ninth of Henry the Dd 3

BOOK II. Third) fays that those of St. Albans, as appears by the

35 Edw. I.

words of their petition to the council, claimed not, nor prescribed to come to parliaments merely as from a borough, but as from a town that held in chief of the king; and this fervice was incident to their tenure, and was fuch as the king's progenitors had accepted in heu of all services due by reason thereof. Now, tho we should admit all this to be true, it still proves against him, that fome burgestes came to parliament before the forty-ninth of Henry the Third, viz. all who held in chief of the king: for the progenitors of the Father of Edward the Second must at least mean his grandfather and great grandfather King John and King Henry the Second: and a long usage is implied in the words prout totis retroactis temporibus venire consueverunt. Yet it seems to make good another part of this fystem, viz. that none but tenants in chief were members of parliament. Let us then confider how far that inference from it can be maintained. To render it conclusive we must suppose, that, if in fact the burgeffes of this town were not tenants in chief, they could not have been admitted to come to parliament, either at any time before. VRot. Clauf, or foon after this time, But it appears from the close rolls, that expences were allowed to burgeffes m, 14, dorso, of St. Albans for coming to parliament in the thirty fifth year of Edward the First, and with the clause prout alias in casu consimili fieri consuevit, which implies an old custom. We find, indeed, by a writ to the sheriff of Hertfordshire in the fifth year of Edward the Second, that a dispute then arose, whether the town was a borough, or not, and that the abbot and bailiffs were fummoned to Chancery upon that question; but it was not determined till the eighth year of that king, in consequence of the petition recited above. And though no other answer appears in that record, than that the rolls

fhould

should be examined, to see whether the burgesses BOOK II. used to come to parliament in the times of the king's progenitors, it may be concluded, that, upon the examination, judgment was given for them; Rot. Clauf. because there is a writ on the close roll of the 8Edw. II. m. eighth year of Edward the Second, for paying 13. dorfo. wages at the rate of two shillings a day to two bur- 2 Edw. III. gesses of St Albans; and another of the same nature m. 16. dorso. on the close roll of the second of Edward the Pro. expens. Third. And in the first year of the same reign burgensium. Rot. Pat. there are letters patent confirming a certain inden- 1 Edw. III. ture, between the abbot of St. Albans and the bur- m. 28. p. 2. geffes of that town, wherein there is contained a final agreement of all quarrels and disputes between the faid abbot and burgeffes; and the abbot does there acknowledge, that the faid burgeffes, whose names are there particularly set down. might chuse from among themselves two burgesses to go to every parliament; " Puissent d'eux-memes " elire deux burgois d'aler au chacun parlement." All these records I have carefully examined myself in the originals at the Tower.

It is therefore very evident, that the right of the town of St. Albans to fend representatives to parliament, according to their petition, was allowed by the council of King Edward the Second, and by that of his fon; nay, by the abbot himself, who had first disputed that privilege. And yet it is certain, that the burgesses held of the abbot and not in chief of the king. We are informed by Mr. Madox, See Madox's that, in Domesday-book, the town of St. Albans Hist. of the is placed among other towns and lands of the ab-Exchequer, bey, in these words terra eccleste S. Albani: He p. 522, c. 17. further fays, " I cannot find by any of the most " ancient Revenue-rolls (though I have fearched " many of them purposely upon this matter) that " it was a demesne-town belonging to the king,

BOOK II. " or rendered to the king (when the feder abbatialis " was full) any farm, aid, tallage, or other duty, " as all the rest of the king's towns did, from time " to time. A fure token that the townsmen did not " hold it immediately of the king." What then becomes of Dr. Brady's Hypothefisthat none but tenants in chief came to parliament, and that the burgeffes of St. Albans might be entitled to that privilege as tenants in chief, but could not have enjoyed it upon any other claim? Mr. Madox has shewn, they were not tenants in chief; and yet it is proved, by the records above-cited, that their right of coming to parliament was allowed as a privilege, which they had possessed from time immemorial. Mr. Ma-

V. Hift. of the Excheq. p. 523. c.17.

SILV. WIST

dox indeed supposes, " that the town of St. Albans " was granted to the abbey by one of the ancient kings of England: the abbot and the convent held the " town in capite, or immediately of the king (in bur-" gage) as parcel of the endowment of the abbey, " and they held the town or burgesses in demesne, or " (if you please) the burgesses were demesne-men of the " Abbey." But admitting this supposition, which is only a mere conjecture (for he had faid before, that he found no proof in any of the most ancient Revenue-rolls that it was a demesne-town belonging to the king) it still appears, that although the abbot held immediately of the king, and might have a right to fit in parliament on that account, as a tenant in chief, yet the town held of him, and not immediately of the king: the townsmen were his demesne-men, not tenants in chief, or demesne-men of the crown. And therefore this transaction, not only disproves the affertion of Dr. Brady, that none but tenants in chief had a right of fitting in parliament before the forty ninth of Henry the Third, but also shews he is wrong in the account that he gives of the foundation of the right of cities and boroughs to fend reprerepresentatives to that affembly in and after the BOOK II. twenty third of Edward the First, before which time he denies that they ever had been fummoned in a regular manner. His words are these: " Having thus proved the time when, and the " cause why, citizens, and burgeffes, and the " tenants of the king's demesnes, were at first sum-" moned to parliament, we shall proceed to disco-" ver, what cities and burghs fent their represen-" tatives, or citizens and burgeffes, upon fuch fum-" mons. The answer to this is very short, That " they were only the dominica civitates and burgi " regis, the king's demesne cities and burghs, such as " had charters from the king, and paid a fee-farm " rent in lieu of the customs, and other advantages and " royalties that belonged to the crown," &c.

Now it plainly appears, that the borough of St. Albans does not answer this description in any respect. It was not a demessive city or burgh of the king in the twenty third year of Edward the Second. It had no charter from the crown, nor did it pay a fee-farm rent in lieu of the customs, &c. that belonged to the crown. The Hypothesis of the Doctor is therefore evidently as false with regard to the right as to the time of citizens and burgeffes coming to parliament. And it cannot be supposed, that the burgesses of this town were summoned to parliament without any right, by the favor of the sheriff, after the eighth of Edward the Second; because it appears by the records abovementioned, that their claim had been disputed, and judicially determined: so that here was no room for partiality in the sheriff, as in other cases, where no difpute had arisen. The king's council, before which the cause had been tried, would have refused to admit the return of such burgesses to subsequent parliaments, if their right to be summoned

BOOK II. had not been clearly made out : but, if their claim had depended on their being tenants in chief of the crown, it is evident, they could not have had any fuch right, as they were not fuch tenants. It is true, that, in their petition, they fay, they held their town in chief of the king, and speak of their coming to parliament as a service that was incident to their tenure : but, though this was a falle fuggestion, yet their right to come to parliament by ancient usage and prescription, sicut cateri burgenses regni, prout totis retroactis temporibus venire consueverunt, might be very good. And therefore the answer was, Scrutentur rotuli, &c. de cancellaria fi temporibus progenitorum regis burgenses prædicti solebant venire, vel non. Et runc fiat eis super boc justitia, vocatis evocandis, si necesse fuerit. This was putting the cause entirely on prescription, not on their being proved to be tenants in chief of the crozen. Domesday-book and the Exchequer records should have been searched, instead of the Chancery rolls, if that had been the question. In fact it appears, that they continued to hold immediately of the abbot, not of the crown, and yet came to parliament, with other burgeffes, after this time; the abbot himself being obliged to allow their right to that privilege, which in all probability he would not have disputed, if they had not involved it with another unwarrantable pretenfion, which he could not admit. Mr. Madox fays, be bad not yet found, that any town, city, or burgh in England, did in ancient time hold of the king, or of any other lord, upon these terms, viz. to be quit of all prestations and services by sending burgesses to parliament. He might likewise have added, that no tenant in capite held on fuch terms. And therefore the claim of these burgesses to hold in capite of the king by the fervice of coming to parliament pro omnimodis servitiis regi faciendis, was undoubtedly faise. cordingly

See Hiftory of the Exchequer, p. 522, note.

cordingly it was rejected; but yet their right to BOOK II. come to parliament was allowed and established. I would also observe, that their complaint to the council against the sheriff of Hertfordshire, for having refused to return them, though the names of the burgeffes fent by them to parliament had always been enrolled in the Chancery rolls, overturns the position advanced by Dr. Brady, and sup-ported by many others, that it was left to the she-Boroughs, riff of every county to name and direct which were bo-p. 52. roughs, which not, by those indefinite and general words in the writ, " de qualibet civitate duos cives, et de " quolibet burgo duos burgenses, &c. eligi facias." For if sheriffs had really a right to do this, and no rule to proceed by, but their own arbitrary difcretion, the townsmen of St. Albans had no reason to complain, that the sheriff of Hertfordshire had refused to return any burgesses from their town, even though it had been true that they held it of the king. But they speak of his refusal as a grievous offence, in contemptum regis, et burgensium prædictorum præjudicum, et exhæredationis periculum manifestum, super quo petunt remedium opportunum. Indeed to suppose such a power in that officer is repugnant to reason, and expresly contradicts the words of a statute made in the fifth of Richard the Second, which declare, that if any sheriff shall from thenceforth be negligent in making his returns. of writs of the parliament, or leave out of the faid returns any cities or boroughs, which be bound, and of old time were wont to come to the parliament, he shall be punished in the manner as was accustomed to be done in the said case in the said time past. The sherists, in fact, did frequently neglect to return any burgeffes for the poorer and meaner boroughs, which could not eafily bear the charge of their wages; and this was connived at, when it was done on good

A 64 . . .

BOOK II, good reasons: but still it evidently appears, by the statute here cited, that they had no right to do fo, and that when they ventured to do it improperly or injuriously, they had been often complained of and punished for it, before the making of that statute. They must therefore have had some rule to direct them therein: and as they certainly had no power to create a new borough, so they could not be justified in not making a return from any of those, which were bound, and of old time were wont to come to the parliament, unless by loss of trade, or from any other cause, they were so much decayed, as not to be able any longer to support the expence of maintaining their members. In that case alone it might be equitably judged, that they were not bound to come to parliament propter debilitatem eorum et paupertatem. And the sheriff might be allowed to de-

but at his own peril. Mr. Tyrrel has well observed See Tyrrel's on the words of the statute above-cited, that if the Appendix to first constant appearance of citizens and burgesses in parhis History of liament were but in the twenty third year of Edward England,
p. 193. the First, from that time to the fifth of Richard the

Second, was not eighty five years; and THAT, being within the memory of many men then alive, could not be called OF OLD or ANCIENT TIME, in the French, D'ANCIENTÉ. Nevertheless there is reason to believe, that the same form of summons had not always been used; because it appears, that, in the forty ninth of Henry the Third, the writs were not sent to the sheriffs, but directly to the cities and

termine of this exemption, upon his own knowledge,

boroughs.

Having now considered the claim of the town of St. Albans, I shall transcribe some records in the Tower of London concerning a petition in parliament to King Edward the Third, from the town of Barnstable in Devonshire, wherein they set forth,

that,

Dated ar

that, among other priveleges granted to them by a BOOK II. charter of King Athelstan, they had from that time enjoyed the right of sending two burgesses to serve for them in parliament. And first I shall give an extract from the writ of inquisition founded on that petition, which is recited therein. "Sciatis, quod, See Escheat Bundle 18" cum nuper ad prosecutionem burgensium villæ Edw. III. dated June 23. " sum coram nobis et consilio nostro exhibitam nobis Regn. 14. n.

" fupplicantium, ut cum villa prædicta, d tempore 100.

" cujus contrarii memoria non existit, liber burgus fu-" erit, iidemque burgenses, et eorum antecessores, bur-" genses villa pradicta diversis libertatibus et liberis " consuetudinibus, per cartam celebris memoriæ D. " Athelstani, dudum regis Angliæ, progenitoris nostri, " quæ ad liberum burgum pertinent, a tempore con-" fectionis dictæ cartæ usi fuerunt et gavisi, in hoc, " viz. quod tenementa fua in eodem burgo in te-" stamento suo in ultima voluntate sua quibuscun-" que voluerint legare, et majorem de se ipsis, co-" ram quo omnia placita dictum burghum et " suberbium ejusdem tangentia placitari et ter-" minari debeant, eligere, ac ad fingula parliamenta " nostra, et dictorum antecessorum nostrorum, duos " burgenses pro communitate ejusdem burgi mittere, " nec non in fingulis taxationibus &c. consueve-" runt:" therefore the king ordered an inquest to be made into the truth of the facts therein alledged, and particularly si carta illa fuerit amissa, as the petitioners had fet forth, and whether it would be proper to grant them another, confirming to them the same liberties, as they had defired.

In the return to this the jurors fay, " Burgenses Ibidem.

" dicta villa ad fingula parliamenta duos burgenses pro communitate dicti burgi mittere solebant;

" Item dicunt, quod nihil eis constabat de carta D.

"Athelstani, dudum regis Angliæ, prædictis bur-

BOOK II. " gensibus, seu consuetudinibus prædictis, ut afferunt, Dated 18 May.

ee Efchear

lated June 24.

Regnitz. a.

concessis." This not satisfying the burgesses, they obtained a writ ad quod damnum, to enquire 17 Edw. III. si prædieti burgenses dictas libertates eis per cartam prædicti Athelftani ut prædicitur, concessas, a tempore prædicio usi fuerunt et gavisi, et si carta illa in forma prædicta fuerit amissa; nec non ad quod dammum sen præjudicium nostrum aut alterius cujuscunque cederet, si nos dictas libertates eisdem burgensibus per cartam prædicti Athelstani, ut asserunt, concessas, nec non prædictas libertates per eos de novo petitas, prout superius continentur, per cartam nostram concedamus sibi et hæredebus et successoribus suis in perpetuum possidendas; et ideo vobis mandamus, quod ad certes dies et locos quos vos tres, vel duo vestrum, ad hoc provideritis, inquisitionem illam super præmissis et ea tangentibus in forma prædicia faciatis, &c. The return of this writ is as follows: " Inquisi-

Dated 17 Edw. Ill. " tio capta apud Barnstable coram Hamone de Der-" worthy et Joan. de Baumfield, justiciariis D. regis " ad inquistionem illam capiendam unà cum Johanne de Stonford et Ricard. de Hankeston, in præsent. " Walteri de Horton, Vicecomitis Devon. die Sab-" bat. &c. an. regni Edw. regis Angliæ tertii 17, " &c. per facramentum R. de Wolfe &c. qui " dicunt super sacramentum suum, quod villa de " Barnstable est liber burghus, et fuit a tempore quo non extat memoria. Item dicunt quod burgen-" Jes villa pradicta, et corum antecessores diversis libertatibus et liberis consuetudinibus per cartam " celebris memoria D. Athelftani, dudum Anglia, " progenitoris D. regis nunc, quæ ad dictum burgum

" pertinent, a tempore confectionis carta pradicla " semper hactenus ust fuerunt et gavist, et adhuc gaudent et utuntur, videlicet quod tenementa

lua, &c. &c. ac ad singula parliamenta D regis

· duos

" duos burgenses pro communitate ejusdem burgi mit- BOOK II.
" tere, nec non in fingulis taxationibus &c. con-

" fueverunt. Dicunt etiam, quod prædicta carta,

" de prædictis libertatibus et consuetudinibus, eisdem burgensibus per prædictum D. Athelstanum sacta,

" casualiter fuerit amissa. Item dicunt quod non est ad damnum seu præjudicium D. regis aut al-

" terius cujuscunque, licet D. rex per cartam su-" am omnes libertates prædictas eisdem burgen-

"fibus et hæredibus &c. per cartam prædict.

" Athelstani prius concessas concederet in perpe-

" tuum poffidendas."

m

7-

d

-

0

n

Nevertheless another writ of the following year, after reciting the proceedings had upon the two former writs, says, that, upon complaint having been made, that the latter return had been artfully and unduly obtained, "Nos advertentes, quod hujus" modi libertates absque gravi præjudicio nostro et damno et præjudicio aliorum non possunt concedi hominibus superadictis, præsertim cum dicta "villa de nobis teneatur in capite, ut accepimus, et custodia ejusdem, nomine custodiæ, ad nos et hæredes nostros devolvi, sirmaque comitatus prædicti in parte diminui, et alia incommoda tam nobis, quam aliis, evenire possent, volentes que eo prætextu super hiis plenius informari, "assignavimus, &c.

The return of this inquisition finds, that Joannes de Audley held this borough of the king in capite per baroniam, contradicts the finding of the former returns in many points, and particularly says, "Quod nichil eis constat nec constabat de carta D. "Athelstani dudum R. Anglie, per quam prædicti

"Athelstani dudum R. Angliæ, per quam prædicti "burgenses prætendunt sibi et eorum prædecessoribus "quasdam fuisse libertates concessas." But with regard to their right of sending burgesses to serve for them in parliament from time immemorial, which firmed, it fays nothing. And we find that they continued to fend them uninterruptedly after this time, without any new charter or franchise granted

to them, but purely by prescription.

Now, granting that the pretended charter of Athelstan, the existence of which is admitted by the fecond of these returns, but left doubtful by the first and last, did never exist, yet still these records are of great importance to the question of which I am treating. For, if no burgeffes had been fent to parliament before the forty-ninth year of King Henry the Third, how is it possible that the Concilium Regis, within fourfcore years after that remarkable epocha, viz. in the feventeenth of Edward the Third, should have suffered that prince to order an inquisition to be made into the truth of an allegation fo apparently false, as that the burgeffes of Barnstaple had enjoyed a right of fending two members to ferve for them in all the parliaments of his royal predecessors from the time of King Athelstan? or what evidence could induce jurors, upon the fecond inquisition before two of the king's justices and the sheriff of Devon, to find a fact which the whole county, and indeed the whole kingdom, must have been able to contradict from publick notoriety? The abfurdity would be still greater, if the practice of summoning burgesfes to parliamentary meetings be dated from the twenty third of Edward the First, as it is by many writers. But the clear inference from these proceedings appears to be, that the custom of fending members from cities and towns to parliaments, or great councils, was then known to be ancient; and the question was whether Barnstaple was entitled to that privilege, either by charter or prescription. In the final iffue we find, that, with regard to the charter,

charter, which the burgesses of that town pre-BOOK I tended to have lost, sufficient proof was not given; and therefore the other privileges which they claimed in virtue thereof, were not confirmed or renewed: but this of sending representatives to serve for them in parliament was admitted to be good, from long usage and prescription, though it was determined that they did not hold immediately of the king, but were the tenants of John de Audley, one of his barons, who held the borough of him in capite by baronial service. From whence it is evident, that the right of sending members to parliament was not confined to a tenancy in chief of the crown, but might belong to subvassals.

I shall conclude this note with a passage from

the great antiquary, Mr. Lambard, in his Archaionom. "Now as these written authorities" (viz. the Saxon laws he had quoted) " do undoubtedly " confirm our affertion of the continuance of this " manner of parliament, fo is there also unwrit-" ten law, or prescription, which does no less " uphold the fame: for it is well known, that in " every quarter of the realm a great many bo-" roughs do yet fend burgesses to the parliament, " which are nevertheless so ancient, and so long " fince decayed and gone to ruin, that it cannot be " shewed they have been of any reputation fince " the conquest, and much less that they have ob-" tained this privilege by the grant of any " fucceeding king: Jo that the interest which they " have in parliament groweth from an ancient usage " before the conquest, whereof they cannot shew any " beginning."

Second it is enacted, "that all and fingular"
"persons and commonalties, which from henceVol. III. E e forth

410

BOOK H.

NOTES TO THE LIFE

" forth shall have the summons of the parliament, " shall come from henceforth to the parliaments

" in the manner as they are bound to do, and have

" been accustomed, within the realm of England,

" of old times, &c."

Befides this remarkable testimony of the whole legislature in the reign of Richard the Second, to the antiquity of the custom of the commons coming to the parliament, we have a petition of the commons, in the fecond parliament of the reign of Henry the Fifth, which fets forth to that prince, "that as it hath ever been their libertie and frees "dom, that there should no statute nor law be feum, class II. " made, unless they past thereto their affent, con-" fidering that the commune of your land, the " which is and ever bath been a member of your " parliament, be as well affenters as petitio-" ners," &c.

See Harley's MSS. in the British Mu-B.

Ibid. " And if any person of the same realm, which

55 from henceforth shall have the said summons, be " he archbishop, bishop, abbot, prior, &c. do ab-

" fent himself, and come not at the said summons

45 (except he may reasonably and honestly excuse " himself to our lord the king) he shall be amerced

and otherwise punished, according as of old

times hath been used to be done within the faid

" realm in the faid cafe."

In the twelfth of Richard the Second, the abbot of St. James's, Northampton, being fummoned to parliament, petitioned to be discharged, because he was not a tenant by barony, nor in chief of the king. The words are these: " Non tenet per baroniam, nec " de rege in capite; sed tantum in puram et perpetuam eleemofynam; et nec ipfe abbas, nec predese cefforos fui, fuerunt ad parliamentum citati huc " ufque. Unde petit remedium et habuit." Again, in

in the twenty fixth year of Edward the Third, the BOOK II. abbot of Leicester was discharged from all attendance in parliament on the like fuggestion, because he held not of the king per baroniam seu alio modo, V. Rot. Par. per quod ad parliamenta seu concilia nostra venire te-p. 2. m. 22. neatur.

I would observe on these records, that, as before the reign of William the Conqueror all ecclefiaftical dignities, as well those which held of the king as others, were ad puram et perpetuam elee ofynam; and as the alterations made by William affected only those which held immediately of the crown, it is no wonder that men who were possest of such dignities not holden of the crown, and who much-defired an exemption from all fecular fervices, should not acquiesce in an extension of that alteration : and therefore these abbots very properly petitioned against being summoned to parliament contrary to the privilege of their tenure; and the king anfwered very juftly to the petition of the latter, that nolentes illum indebite vexari he discharged him from that fervice. But nothing can be inferred from hence to prove the politions, which fome writers have laid down, that no freeholders had anciently a fhare in the legislature, except tenants in chief, and that all service in parliament was then confidered no otherwise than as a trouble and burthen, which every member of parliament defired to be exempt from, and endeavoured to avoid.

The statute itself, which is the subject of this note, has been alledged as an argument to shew how unwillingly our parliaments were attended, when it was necessary to enforce the performance of that duty by a particular law: but the disturbed and tumultuous state of those times, when it was dangerous to pass from one part of the realm to another, may better account for this statute; and that

Ee 2

BOOK II. the chief object of it was to enforce the attendance of the spiritual barons, I think very probable; as we know that their defire of a total separation from the laity in all acts of government, made them re-

miss in the performance of their duty.

Indeed, some time before, namely in the eighteenth year of Edward the Third, notice is taken in the record of parliament, that fundry of all estates were absent from the parliament and convocation then affembled: " at which (fays the record) the king did no less muse than he was thereat offended: where-" fore he charged the archbishop, for his part, to " punish the defaults of the clergy, and he would " do the like touching the parliament." (See Cotton's abridgement of the Records, p. 43.) But the king's furprife on this occasion, as well as the offence that it gave him, shews, that such absence was unufual, and must certainly have had some extraordinary cause. It was customary in those times. if the parliament was not quite full at the first meeting, to adjourn for a day or two, till the absent members came in; and by the records it appears, that the lords fometimes, and the commons frequently, were called by name the first day of the parliament's fitting, and fuch of them as were absent without just cause were both blamed and fined. Even in our days it is necessary to enforce the attendance of members by calls of the house, and sometimes by the punishment of those who disobey them.

V, Prynne's Preface to Cotton's Abridgement of the Records in the Tower.

> P. 227. But besides these authorities, drawn from featutes and records, very evident indications of the presence of the people in the national councils, and of their being constituent parts thereof, though, indeed, in a confused, disorderly manner, are to be found in some ancient histories, and contemporary accounts

accounts of transactions in parliament, during the BOOK II. times which I write of; viz. from the death of Edward the Confessor, to that of Henry the Second.

Gervale of Canterbury, speaking of the promotion of Lanfranc to that metropolitan fee, in the reign of William the Conqueror, fays it was done, "Eligentibus eum senioribus ejusdem ecclesiæ, " cum episcopis et principibus, clero et populo Anglia, " in curia regis." Here the clerus et populus are mentioned separate and distinct from the episcopis et principibus, and therefore cannot, by any natural construction, be understood to mean the same perfons. Moreover, in the account of the fame transaction, published at the end of Taylor's Gavelkind, it is faid, that the king committed to Lanfranc the church of Canterbury, " consensu et auxilio omnium "baronum fuorum, omniumque episcoporum et " abbatuum, totiusque populi Anglicani." These words feem to afford a very evident testimony, that the people were present in these parliaments, and acted therein, conjointly with all the spiritual and temporal barons. But in the Chronicle of Litchfield, and likewise in Roger de Hoveden, mention is made of one that was very particular. The words of the Chronicle of Litchfield are these: " Anno "Gulielmus regni fui quarto, apud Londonias, WilkinsLeg.

" confilio baronum suorum fecit summoneri per univer- Anglo-Sax. " sos Angliæ comitatus omnes nobiles, sapientes, et sua p. 216.

lege eruditos, ut eorum leges et consuetudines au- & Seldeni " diret &c. Unde per præceptum regis Willielmi Eadm.p.171.

" electi funt de singulis totius Angliæ comitatibus XII " viri sapientiores, quibus jurejurando injunctum

" fuit, coram rege Willielmo, ut quoad possent " recto tramite, neque ad dextram neque ad fini-

" ftrain partem divertentes, legum fuarum confue-

" tudinem et fancita patefacerent, nil prætermit-Ee 3

BOOKH. V. Hoveden, Ann. f. 343.

" tentes, vel prævaricando mutantes." The account in Roger de Hovedon is to the same effect, with very little difference in the expressions. The Lord Chief Justice Hale fays, in his History of the P. 104. 107. Law, This appears to be as sufficient and effectual a

parliament as ever was held in England. Nevertheless it must be noted, that the election of twelve men from every county does not appear to have been ufual in other parliaments, or great counsels; at least we have no account, in any record or history. of just that number having been fent upon any other occasion.

VEadm. hift. nov. !. i. p. 26, 27.

Eadmer, who lived in the reign of William Rufus, has given an account of a parliament held under that prince, on an extraordinary oceasion. viz. for the determining of a dispute between the crown and archbishop Anselm, on a question of great importance to the church and the state. calls it placitum, quod totias regni adunatione apud Rockingham habitum eft. He was present in it himfelf, and has fet down all the particulars of what was done there. The general description of the affembly is in much the same terms, as other Historians of that age were accustomed to use in describing our parliaments : Episcopis, abbatibus, cunctifque regni principibus una cocuntibus, &c. But befides these he takes notice of a great multitude of monks, clergymen, and laymen, who were prefent, and affiliant, and to whom, as well as those of a superior rank, the archbishop addressed his difcourse. " Anselmus autem episcopis, abbatibus, " et principibus ad fe à regio fecreto vocatis, " cos et affistentem monachorum, clericorum, laicorum, " numerosum multitudinem hae voce alloquitur." From what follows it is evident, that he submitted the question, for the discussion of which the parliament had been called, to the determination of the whole affembly.

affembly. "Omnes, dico, qui hic congregati eftis in BOOK II. " nomine domini precor intendite, et cause, propter " qu'm ventilandam adunati estis, pro veribus opem " vestri consilii ferte." He applied indeed more of particularly to his brethren, the bifhops; "Om-" nes itaque, sed vos pracipue, fratres et coepiscopi " mei, precor et moneo, quatenus istis difigenter " inspectis, studiosius, sicut vos decet, que inniti " queam mihi consilium detis." But this no more excluded the rest of the assembly from giving their opinion on the question in dispute, than it did the femporal barons. On the contrary, the beginning of this very paragraph fays, that all were defined to hear and consider it, in order to form their advice to Anselm upon it. It appears, that, at first, all the spiritual and temporal lords, and perhaps other chief men, comprehended under the word principes, here used by Eadmer, were with the king in an inher room: from whence they were called out into the church of the caftle, which was the place of the general affembly, to hear the question proposed to them by Anselm. " Anselmus autem episcopis, abbatibus, " et principibus ad fe d regio secreto vocatis, eos et affiftentem monarchorum, clericorum, laicorum, " multitudinem, hac voce alloquitur." And they occasionally went backwards and forwards, several times, in the course of the deliberation. "Omnes " igitur assidentes oppido turbati, cum magno tumultu surrexerunt, &c. Quibus dictis ad res e gem reverse funt." And afterwards, " Facta " itaque longa mora redeunt episcopi cum nonullis " principibus a rege dicentes," &c. And again, e reversi ad regem persuaserunt inducias nulla ra-" rione dandas, &c. Cum igitur (episcopus d Dunelmenfis) regi persuasisser quaesitas inducias Anfelmo non effe dandas, comitatus quam pluris Ee4

" bus, qui verba sua suo fulcirent testimonio, ad BOOK II. virum ingrediens ait, Audi querimoniam regis " contra te, &c." And upon hearing Anselm's answer, they again returned to the king, "Qui-" bus auditis, aspicientes se invicem, nec inve-" nientes quidad istare ferrent, ad dominum suum re-" versi funt." While they were with the king, they, at first, consulted together with some regularity; but afterwards talked to one another, without order, and in fmall, separate parties. " rex vehementer iratus cum episcopis atque principi-" bus intentissime quarere capit quid dictis ejus obji-" cere posset, nec invenit. Scandalizati ergo inter se " abinvicem funt in partes divisi, et hic duo, ibi tres, " illic quatuor in unum confiliabantur," &c. These Eadmer calls afterwards conciliabula, and certainly they were not agreeable to the regular forms either of a council or a parliament. But when, in confequence of what had been thus irregularly determined, the bishop of Durham, with all his brethren, and many of the temporal nobles, had spoken very strongly, before the whole assembly, against Anselm, and after hearing his answer had returned back to the king, a murmur arose, from all the multitude in the church, upon the wrong done to that prelate. Yet they only complained of it, in a low voice to each other, none of them daring to speak openly for him, out of fear of the king, whom Eadmer calls the Tyrant. But at last, a knight, or military tenant, miles unus, coming forth from the multitude, which feems to have been thronged promiscuously together, knelt down before Anselm, and in the name of them all entreated him not to be diffurbed at what had been faid to him, &c. whereupon (fays the Historian) Anselm understood, that the opinion of the people was with him;

him; at which he and those who belonged to him BOOK II. were much rejoiced and encouraged, trufting, according to the Scripture, that the voice of the people was the voice of God. " Ortum interea murmur " est totius multitudinis pro injurià tanti viri summissa " inter se voce querentis. Nemo quippe palam pro eo " loqui audebat, ob metum tyranni. Veruntamen " miles unus, de multitudine prodiens, viro aftitit, " flexis coram eo genibus dicens Domine pater, ro-" gant te per me supplices filii tui ne turbetur cor tuum " ex iis quæ audisti, &c. Quæ verba dum pater " comi vultu accepisset, intellexit animum populi in " sua sententia secum esse. Gavisi ergo exinde sumus, " et æquanimiores effecti, confidentes juxta scriptu-" ram, vocem populi vocem effe Die." Whether, in the multitude, from which this miles came forth, and in whose name he spoke to Anselm, any or all the representatives of counties, cities, and boroughs were comprehended; or whether any fuch were included in the general denomination of proceres et principes regni; is not sufficiently clear from this passage. It appears, that Anselm sat in the midst of the proceres and of the multitude thronged together. " Anselmus in medio procerum et conglo-" batæ multitudinis sedens ita orsus est," &c. Eadmer, who was chaplain to Anfelm, feems to have had a feat near him, " Mane autem reversi fedimus " in folito loco expectantes mandatum regis:" but it is possible, that this feat might be granted to him, rather for the convenience of that prelate, than in his own right. However this may have been, it is evident from the passages recited above, that many regular and fecular clergymen, and many laymen of a degree inferior to the proceres et principes regni, were present and affistant therein. I need not observe that even now, when the two houses

BOOK II. houses are together in the fame place, the peers fit,

and the commons fland.

The same contemporary author gives us also an account of a parliament held in the year 1100, by King Henry the First, wherein " tota regm nobi-" litas cum populi numerositate Angelmum inter se et " manu in manum porrecta, promitteret justis et " fanctis legibus fe totum regnum, quod viveret, " in cunctis administraturum." This promise, which may be called a confirmation of his charter under the guarantee of Anselm, being solemnly given in parliament at the defire of the whote nobility of the kingdom and of a numerous affembly of the people, who are spoken of as present and concurring with the former, is another strong evidence, not only of the attendance of the commons in the parfiaments held during those times, but of their taking part in the business transacted in them, and being confidered as members of the great council of the nation. It appears, that this meeting was in solexiwrate Pentecoffes, when the nobility met of course; but I have observed before, that it was frequently the practice of those times to convert those lefter affemblies into full and compleat parliaments, by furnmening the commons to attend them.

In the year eleven hundred and fourteen a great council was convened by Henry the First, in which Radulph bishop of Rochester was elected archbishop of Canterbury. The monks of Canterbury, in their epiftle to the pope on that subject, which the beforementioned Historian has given us, wrote thus: Adunato conventu totius Anglici regni in hist.nov l.v. o præsentia gloriosi regis nostri, Henrici, electus a d nobis, et clero, et populo est ad regimen ipsius " ecclefia Rodulphus, &c. Fluic electioni affuerunt

" episcopi,

V. Eadm.

" episcopi, abbates, et principes regni, et ingens BOOK IL. " populi multitudo, consentiente domino nostro " rege, et eandem electionem laudante, fuaque " auctoritate corroborante." The mention here made of a multitude of people affifting together with the bishops, abbots, and nobles, or chief men of the kingdom, in the election of a primate, which appears to have been made in full parliament, of (to use the words of the letter) in an assembly of the whole kingdom, is an authority which much corroborates the passages above-cited. I will add, that we have a letter from King Henry the First to the pope, on another occasion, in which he says, "Notumque habeat fanctitas vestra, quod, me " vivente, Deo auxiliante, dignitates et usus regni " Anglie non minuentur. Et si ego quod absit in " tanta me dejectione ponerem, optimates mei, im-" mo totius Angliæ populus id nullo modo pateretur." From these words it appears, that this king himself was of opinion, and made no difficulty of declaring to the pope, that he could not give up the dignities and customs of his kingdom without the confent of the nobility and people of England: a very frong proof, both that our monarchy was not then absolute, but limited by the parliament; and that the limiting power was veffed in the people, as well as in the nobles.

I come now to confider the reign of King Stephen with relation to this point. Of a great council, or parliament, held in the first year of that king, Henry of Huntingdon, a contemporary historian, writes thus: "Rediens autem inde rex "Stephanus in Quadragesima tenuit curiam suam "apud Lundoniam in solemnitate Passchali, qua "nunquam suit splendidior in Anglia multitudine, "magnitudine, auro, argento, gemmis, vestitu, "omnimoda dapsilitate." By these words it appears,

428

BOOK II. pears, that this was a council held, as usual, at Easter, and one of the fullest that had ever been feen in England. The contemporary author of the Acts of King Stephen speaks also of the same affembly in the following words: "Omnibus

phan. regis apud Du-Chefne, p. 932, 933.

V. Gest. Ste- " igitur summatibus regni side et jurejurando cum rege constricts, edicto per Angliam promulgato, fummos ecclesiarum ductores cum primis populi " ad conciliium Londonias confeivit. Illis quoque quafi in unam fentinam illuc confluentibus, " Ecclesiarumque columnis sedendi ordine dispositis, " vulgo etiam confuse et permixtim, ut folet, ubique " se ingerente, plura regno et ecelesiæ profu-" tura, fuerunt et utiliter oftensa, et salubriter " pertractata. De ecclesia siquidem statu in me-" lius componendo, de ejusdem libertate multi-" plicius restauranda splendide nonnullo in ipsa " regis prasentia perorarunt," &c. This is a very remarkable passage. It appears by it, that the king had fummoned to this council the prelates or governors of the church, with the chief of the people; ecclefiarum ductores cum primis populi. But there was in the affembly a lower order of people called, by this writer, vulgus, who did not fit, as the prelates and nobility did, in an orderly manner, but crouded in confusedly and promiscuously, according to custom. " Ecclefiarumque columnis "- sedendi ordine dispositis, vulgo etiam confuse et " permixtim, ut solet ubique se ingerente," &c. Now this perfectly well agrees with the expressions of populi numerofitas, conglobatæ multitudinis, et assiftentem monachorum, clericorum, et laicorum numerosam multitudinem. But I suspect there is an error, either of the press or of the manuscript from whence it was printed, in the first part of the sentence. Instead of illis quoque, quasi in unam sentinam, illuc confluentibus, I think it should be aliis. And then

OF KING HENRY II.

it will run thus: " Edicto per Angliam promul-BOOK IL " gato, fummos ecclefiarum ductores cum primis " populi ad concilium Lundonias conscivit. Aliis " quoque, quafi in unam fentinam, illuc conflu-" entibus, ecclefiarumque columnis fedendi ordine "dispositis," &c. This is much better sense; and then it will appear from the whole paffage fo corrected, that the chief of the clergy and laity having been fummoned to attend this council, by the king's edict, or mandate, published over all England, others of an inferior degree came in also, confusedly and promiscuously, as they had been used to do on fuch occasions. This historian indeed has mentioned only the prelates as fitting in the affembly; but it must be understood that the lay nobility, of all ranks and degrees, enjoyed the fame distinction. We also find, that in this parliament the king was personally present at all the debates, and not in secreto regio, with the nobles alone, as in that described by Eadmer, but together with the vulgus. " Vulgo " etiam confuse et permixtim, ut solet, ubique se inge-" rente, plura regno et ecclesiæ profutura fuerunt et " utiliter oftensa, et salubriter pertractata. De ec-" clesiæ siquidem statu in melius componendo, de " ejusdem libertate multiplicius restauranda splen-" dide nonnulla in ipsa regis præsentia perorarunt." Nor is any distinction made or intimated, as if the decision or even the discussion of the matters agitated before him, in this mixed affembly, was confined to the nobles. Yet they were points of the highest nature; and, if the constitution in those times admitted the vulgus to participate in fuch confultations, we may confidently affert, that a popular power was mixed with the aristocratical in the great councils of the nation. But we must not suppose that this vulgus, or the populi numerositas before mentioned, included any persons who were not

BOOK In free members of the community; fuch as originally had a right to affift in great councils. Our parliaments under the government of the Normans were contracted images of the general affemblies held in open plains by the first founders and lawgivers of the English nation. And they still retained a great deal of the confusion and irregularity natural to those assemblies.

V. Gerv. Chron, fub ann. 1157.

In the year 1157, a parliament was called to meet at Northampton by King Henry the Second, of which Gervale of Canterbury, a contemporary writer gives this description. "Convocati funt ad " eum præfules et principes regni, aliæque inferioris " ordinis persona apud Northamtoniam. Post va-" rios autem fermones, et regni negotia, actum est " etiam de proffessione Silvestri abbatis archiepiscopo Cantuariensi faciendà." The aliaque inferioris ordinis persona, mentioned here after the prafules et principes regni, as convened by the king, were, I prefume, the inferior tenants in chief of the crown, and fuch representatives of the commons as were fummoned to parliament, but were not of a rank and dignity to be reckoned among the nobility.

Matthew Paris mentions a controverly between the bishop of Lincoln and the church of St. Albans, which was decided in a great council held at Westminster under King Henry the Second, in the year 1162, at which were present the king himself, the two archbishops, nine bishops, the earl of Leicester grand justiciary, with earls, barons, abbots, arch-

deacons, et innumera turba regni.

Soon after this was held the famous council of Clarendon, which Mr. Selden calls, the great par-V. Stephanid-liament. It is termed by Firstephen, a contemporary writer, generale concilium. Matthew Paris, in reckoning up the feveral persons in this council, who fwore to observe the laws there enacted, fays,

in vita S. T.

P. 83.

Archi-

" Archiepiscopi, episcopi, abbates, priores, clerus, BOOK IL

"cum comitibus, baronibus, et proceribus cune"tis juraverunt," &c. Now clerus, being thus named after archbishops, bishops, abbots, and priors, shews that other clergymen of a rank inferior to these were present in that council. The same historian says it was held in prasentia regis Henrici, prasidente Johanne de Oxonia. This is the only mention I meet with of the person who presided in any parliament of those times. John of Oxford was then of no higher dignity than king's chaplain.

In the preamble or preface to the Constitutions of Clarendon, it is faid, "Facta est ista recognitio V. Constitut. "coram archiepiscopis et episcopis, et clera, et co- in Append.

" mitibus et baronibus, et proceribus regni. Et eaf-

dem consuetudines recognitas per archiepiscopos, et episcopos, et comites, et barones, et per nobili-

" ores et antiquiores regni, Thomas Cantuarienfis,

" &c. concesserunt," &c. And at the end of them,

" Facta est autem prædictarum consuctudinum et

" dignitatum recordațio regiarum, à præfatis ar-

" chiepiscopis, et episcopis, et comitibus et baro-

" nibus, et nobilioribus et antiquioribus regni apud "Clarendonam," &c. In these descriptions, which are short and general, like all the others of our ancient laws and statutes, the words of the most uncertain fignification are the process and the nobiliores et antiquiores regni, mentioned after earls and barons. I am inclined to believe, from the authorities before-cited, and others that will hereafter be given on this subject, that these process et nabiliores regni were the tenants in chief of the king helow the rank of barons, the knights of shires, and the representatives of the principal cities. But whom are we to understand by antiquiores regni? The Saxon word ealdormen, of which these Latin words appear to be a translation, fignified the fenior or superior. magistrates

BOOK II. magistrates in any community. Sir H. Spelman, in his Gloffary explains it thus: "Multipliciter " autem occurrit apud Anglo-Saxones, utpote pro " seniore vel superiore in quavis præfectura." Of which he gives many proofs. It may then be thought, that, by the antiquiores regni here mentioned, some such are denoted; and that, as they are named after barons, they probably were not of high rank. But other testimonies shew, that they have a particular reference to those old men, of different orders and degrees, who were ordered to recollect, and fet down in writing, the ancient customs of the realm. For, in the account that is given of this council by Gervase of Canterbury, we find these words: " Crastino autem, cum in unum " convenissent, et de hesternæ diei serie pauca retu-" liffent, sciens rex quod qui major ætate major est et " iniquitate, Ite, ait, ætate et sapientia provectiores, " et avi mei consuetudines disquirite, ut in scrip-" tum redactæ deducantur in medium," &c. The bishop of London also says, in a letter to Becket concerning this transaction, that the ancient customs of the kingdom were fet forth and put into writing antiquorum memoria. And in another epistle, from all the clergy of the province of Canterbury to the pope, we find these words: "Adjuratis itaque per " fidem, et per eam quæ in Deum spes est, majori-" bos natu episcopis, aliisque regni majoribus, retroacti " temporis infinuato statu, dignitates requisitæ palam " prolatæ sunt, et summorum in regno virorum testi-" moniis propalata." It is not improbable, that " fome old magistrates, well acquainted with the " ancient customs in judicial proceedings, were " fummoned to parliament with a particular view to this enquiry, namely the seniores in quavis prafectura: and very possibly the oldest magistrates in towns and boroughs may have been their repre-

See it in the Appendix to Vol. IV. Epist. 126. eCod.Cottoniano. V. Epift. 128. lib. i. e Cod.

Vatiean.

fentatives in the times of Henry the Second. IBOOK II. would here observe, that if the omission of naming representatives of counties, cities, or boroughs, in the descriptions of this council, be taken as a proof that none were in it, that proof will hold as ftrong to shew, that no tenants in capite of the king inferior to barons were present therein: but, that all who held of the king in capite had a right to be present in generali concilio, which this is said to have been, has before been proved from all Records. Among these tenants there were many, who, in See the note King Henry the First's charter, are distinguished onthe Record from barons. " Siquis baronum meorum, comi-of the 15th of "tum, vel aliorum qui de me tenent, mortuus fue King John, " rit." &c. And again, in another clause, " Si-Brady. " quis baronum vel alibrum hominum meorum filium " fuum nuptum tradere voluerit." &c. And a contemporary author fays, that to the parliament of Northampton, where Becket was arraigned, all' the tenants in chief were fummoned, " In caftro v. Stephan. " Northamtoniæ solemne statuens (rex) celebrare in vita S. T. " concilium, omnes qui de rege tenebant in capite Selden's Ti-"mandari fecit." Whereas many other writers in the of Ho-describing that parliament, mention only prelates, p. 733. earls, and barons. Indeed the historians of this See also Rot. and the following century feldom take notice of Clauf. 19 H. any but the greater nobility in parliamentary coun- Brady's Ancils. And from this filence a negative argument fwer to Petyt, has been drawn against the existence of any others p. 69. in fuch affemblies. But this proof is overturned by positive testimonies from other contemporary

Thus Matthew Paris, in the description he gives V. M. Paris. of a parliament which he terms a most general one, sub. ann. in the thirtieth year of King Henry the Third, 1246. mentions none of the laity, but earls and barons and none of the clergy, but bishops, abbots, and Vol. III. F f

historians, and fometimes from themselves.

BOOK 11. Priors. "Medio vero Quadrageffima, edicto regio convocata, convenit ad parliamentum generai lishmum totius regni Anglicani totalis nobilitas Londini, videlicet, pralatorum, tam abbatum et prio-" rum, quam episcoporum; comitum quoque et baro-" num, ut de statu regni &c. contrectarent," A stronger passage can scarce be found in any writer. to countenance the opinion, that so late, as in the thirtieth year of King Henry the Third, our most general parliaments confifted only of the nobility of the Kingdom, and that by the nobility none were meant but prelates, earls, and barons. Yet this very author will himself furnish an evident proof, that in this fame parliament, not only all the tenants in chief, but the whole clergy and people were present, and participant in the acts done therein. For he tells us, that when the king had laid before his parliament feveral aritcles, "fuper gravimini-" bus et oppressionibus ecclesiæ et regni sui, &c. "Hæc attendentes universi ac singuli unanimiter " consenserunt, ut adhuc, ob reverentiam sedis apostolicæ, D. Papæ humiliter ac devote, tam per epistolas, quam per solemnes nuncios, sup-" plicarent, ut tam intolerabilia gravamina et ju-" gum subtraheret importabile. Scripserunt igitur " D. Papæ in hæc verba." He then recites the letters they wrote to the pope, of which the first is from the bishops of the province of Canterbury; the fecond from all the abbots and priors of England in the name of themselves and their convents; and the third runs in these words: "Sanctissimo " &c. Devoti filii fui, comes Cornubiæ Richar-" dus, Simon de Monteforti, comes Legrecestriæ, " de Boun comes Hertfordiæ et Effexiæ, R. le Bigod, comes Norfolkiæ, R. comes Gloverniæ "et Herefordize, R. comes Wintonize, W. comes

" Albermarlise

P. 469.

Albermarlia, H. comes Oxonienfis, et alli totius BOOK H.

" regni Angliæ barones, proceres, et magnates, ac " nobiles portuum maris habitatores, nec non et clerus " at populus universus, salutem," &c Here the inhabitants of the Cinque Ports (of whom no mention was made in the description above given) are added to the barons and other nobles and chief men of the kingdom, as concurring in this epiftle; and over and above all these, the subole clergy and peoole. Many other instances may be given, how little ftress ought to be laid on the filence of writers in the fhort and inaccurate accounts of great councils or parliaments which we commonly meet with, during this period of our history, for the determining of this question; but I will only produce one more, from the Annals of Burton, which speaking of a parliament held in the thirty ninth year of Henry the Third describe it thus: " Post festum S. V. Ann. Bur-

" Michælis autem tenuit rex parliamentum fuum ton, sub ann. 4 apud Westmonasterium, convocatis ibidem epif- 1255. p. 355.

copis, abbatibus, et prionibus, comitibus, et baroni-vocat. p.345.

" bus, et totius regni majoribus," 4 From whence " (fays Dr. Hody) one would at first fight con-

" clude that the inferior clergy were not prefent, "But from hence it appears, that we ought not to

" rely on fuch kind of innumerations. For imme-

" diately it follows, " In quo petebat à clero de " laicis feudis suis, Ghi suffragium exhiberi &c.

disponens, de suo constio iniquo, hoc prius à

" clera, et postmodum à populo majori et minori

" extorquere, Episcopi vero, abbates, priores,

et procuratores qui ibidem pro universitate affue-

s runt, nolentes hujusmodi exactioni adquief-

" cere," &cc.

If these proctors, who were there for the whole body of the clergy, had not been thus expressly

BOOK II. named in the following paragraphs, together with the populus minor, or commons, the foregoing description might have been brought to confirm Dr. Brady's affertion, that none but tenants in chief were to be found in our parliaments before the forty ninth of Henry the Third: whereas now we are affured by the testimony of these annals, that even this mode of representation had taken place before that time. Indeed the learned Dr. Wake, in his book against Atterbury, on the flate of the Church and Clergy of England (p. 202.) supposes, that the proctors here mentioned were not present in parliament, but only in a legatine council held at the same time. This is fetting afide the authority of those Annals, because they disagree with his Hypothesis. how could the writer of them who lived bimfelf at that time, have made fuch a mistake, if no proctors for the clergy had ever come to parliament before the twenty third year of Edward the First?

> Having thus shewn the insufficiency of the argument drawn by many eminent writers, against the presence of the commons in our ancient legislature, from the general filence of the historians, who lived in those times, I shall proceed to lay before the reader fuch descriptions of parliaments in the reign of Henry the Second; as feem to contain a degree of positive evidence which may give light to this question. Gilbert Foliot, bishop of London, in the letter to becket abovementioned, where he is speaking of a parliament convened at Northampton, for the trial of that prelate and other business of the kingdom, says, & Convenit populus " ut vir unus:" It would be a great force on the natural meaning of the word, to construe populus here as comprehending only the nobility and tenants

V. Append. to vol. iv. Epist. 126.

was Thomæ.

tenants in chief of the crown. At the same time it BOOK II. is evident, that the whole body of the people (which is the proper and obvious fense of the term) could not have affembled in that town or caftle, otherwise than by some mode or representation. Such indeed of the inferior clergy, or lay-freeholders, as refided in or near Northampton, might be personally there, confuse et permixtim; but they whose abode was far diftant, and large communities of men, could only come thither by procurators, or reprefentatives. And in this way the expression, convenit populus ut vir unus, is intelligible and just. The bishop of London, after having mentioned this great attendance at Northampton, in consequence of the king's fummons, goes on in these words: Et assidentibus sibi quorum it dignitati congruebat et ordini, quod dictum est super exspreto mandato " fuo, in querelam adversus vos, usus quâ decuit " modestia et venustate, proposuit." A distinction is here made between the different ranks and orders of men comprehended before under the general word populus. It is faid that those, to whose dignity and rank it belonged, fitting near to the king, he modeftly laid before them his complaint against Becket: which implies that others were prefent, who were not of a rank and dignity to be feated in this affembly. Of the course of the proceedings I shall fay more in another part of this work. It V. Brompt. will be fufficient here to observe, that the business Chron. sub. of this affembly, which Brompton expresly calls ann. 1165. parliamentum, and which certainly was more than the ordinary curia regis, appears not to have been confined to the trial of Becket: for a contemporary author informs us, that a scutage was settled in it, for raising foot foldiers to be employed against the Welsh. And it is probable, that other V. Stephanid matters were also confidered in it, as the meeting in vita S.

Ff2

BOOK II. was fo general; though the historians, being monks, are so taken up with the affair of Becket. that they have ommitted to mention them. In the author last cited we find these words: "Confulend tibus episcopis, comitibus, et baronibus Anglia " onenibus, Normannia pheribus." I prefume that these Norman barons, who are mentioned here as confulting with those of England in this parliament, had lands and honors in the kingdom; for the writer takes no notice of it as an irregularity. Roger de Hoveden, in his account of the transactions in this council relating to Becket, has these words: " et cum venisset ad aulam regis descendit et ipse, " crucem fuam bajulans, et intravit domum regis. " Deinde intravit exteriorem cameram folus, por-" tans crucem fuam. Nullus enim fuorum fettue-66 batur eum. Et cum intraffet, invenit plebem " multam in ed. seditque inter illos: rex autem erat " in secretiori thalamo cum suis familiaribus." This is exactly agreeable to Eadmer's account of the parliament at Rockingham caftle, where the king was in secreto regio cum principibus, and the multitudo populi was in the body of the church belonging to the caftle. Hoveden afterwards calls this outward room curia. And in the paffage abovecited he fays the archbishop fat down among the commons, invenit plebem multam in ea, seditque inter illes: which he furely would not have done if they had been a mere mob. Another author of that age (Alanus in Quadrilogo) fays, that the king expected him in this outward chamber, where Roger de Hoveden tells us the multa plebs was affembled; but that, upon his coming in armed with the crofs, the king retired into an inner room. " Intraturus cameram regis, ubi eum rex oraftolabatur, ad oftium ipfum à crucis bajulo " crucem acceptit, et palam, cunctis videntibus,

ipse eam bajulavit, &c. Audiens autem rex BOOK II.

archiepiscopum armatum venire, &c. citius re
cessit in conclave interius." It is of no importance
to the point I am considering now, which of these
authors is right in this particular: but from both
accounts it appears, that the chamber in which
Hoveden says the plebs was assembled, was the
great chamber of the parliament. And the words
of Gervase of Canterbury are much the same with
those above cited.

In the year 1188, a great council, or parliament, was held by Henry the Second at Gaintington near Northampton, in which a very heavy tax was laid upon the whole nation for the Crusade against Saladin. Hoveden says, "Rex magnum convocativity of the concilium episcoporum, abbatum, comitum, et aliorum multorum, tam clericorum quam laicorum." From these words compared with, and explained by other passages, which I have eited before, we may suppose that this parliament, the last of Henry the Second, had in it all the inferior orders of freemen both clergy and laity; that is some of each order.

The Reader may enquire, in what manner the opinion of the people was taken, when they were affembled in the confused and irregular multitudes before described. Of this I find no account that is absolutely certain; but a very probable conjecture may be drawn from a passage in the Continuation of the History of Florence of Worcester by another ancient writer. Describing a synod held at Westminster, in the year eleven hundred and twenty five, he says, "that all the prelates were there "cum innumera cleri et populi multitudine." He then recites the several canons, or decrees, which they made, and concludes them all with this form

Ff4

See Parlia-

mentary Hi-

BOOK II. of words thrice repeated, "Placet vobis? Placet?" which undoubtedly was the manner of asking and giving votes made use of in that synod; from which we may reasonably infer, that the same was used in our parliaments, the resemblance between those and fynods being then very great. So late as in the twenty eighth year of King Edward the ftory, p. 301. Third, we find, that the commons were told by the lord chamberlain, in the presence of the lords, that there were great hopes of bringing about a peace between England and France, by means of certain commissioners appointed, on both parts, for that purpose; but yet the king would not conclude any thing without the confent of his lords and commons, wherefore he demanded of them, in the king's name, whether they would affent and agree to a peace, if it might be had by treaty? to which the commons answered with one accord, that what should be agreeable to the king and his council in making of this treaty would be so to them: but being asked again, whether they consented to a perpetual peace, if it might be had, they all unanimoufly cried out, Ouy, ouy, Ay, ay. Mr. Tyrrel observes upon this, that parliamentary proceedings were not then reduced to that form and regularity which they have been brought to fince that time; the commons here giving their opinion viva voce, and in the presence of the lords, to what the king

> P. 228. But the affembly of the nobles was convened with more ease, and appears to have afted, not only as a council of state, and supreme court of judicature, but, as being authorised, by permission and common consent, to exercise some degree of parliamentary power, the limitations of which were not accurately defined.

demanded.

The

The words of Sir H. Spelman on this subject BOOK II.

are remarkable: Magnum concilium plerumque

"intelligitur de summo illo concilio rotius regni Gloss. Mag
"(quod parliamentum vocant) é tribus ordinibus Num Conci-

" constitutum. Sub hoc autem nomine contine- LIUM. " tur alias aristocraticum illud, quod ad ardua etiam " regni negotia cogebant aliquando reges veteres con-66 simili brevi quo et ipsum parliamentum, sed præter-" missa plebe, et minori solennitate. In magno enim " concilio, quod tenuit Edwardus III, anno regni " fui 15. circa festum translationis Thomæ Mar-" tyris (quod erat 7 die Julii), nec 40 dierum ratio " in ejusdem summonitione habebatur, nec baro-" num omninm convocatio: fed cum in parlamento "Westmonasterii immediate jam tum præcedente, " 54 enumerati effent (præter episcopos) seculares "proceres, hinc Londini evocabantur 22 tantum-" modo. De magno concilio fit fæpe mentio in " annalibus nostris; sed de quo prædictorum genere " intelligendum fuerit sæpe etiam dubitatur. Archiva

The uncertainty how to diftinguish these different councils in the accounts of ancient authors, which Sir H. Spelman here mentions, has occasioned much of the perplexity and variety of opinions among learned men about the share that the commons had in the parliaments of this kingdom before the forty ninth of Henry III. For a long time we can have no affishance from our archives, to which he refers us: nor, when they can be consulted, do they always afford so clear a light as might be desired.

P. 229. The same magnificence in feasting was continued by his successor, but dropt by Henry the First.

BOOK II. The words of William of Malmsbury, from whence I take this account, are as follows: "Convivid in præcipuis festivitatibus sumptuosa et mag"nifica inibat. Natale Domini apud Glocestriam,

" Pascha apud Wintoniam, Pentecosten apud Westmonasterium agens quotannis quibus in Anglia

"morari liceret. Omnes eo cujuscunque profesfionis magnates regium edictum accersebat, ut

" exterarum gentium legati speciem multitudinis, " apparatumque deliciarum mirarentur. Nec ullo

tempore comior aut indulgendi facilior erat, ut

quadrare ubique gentium jactitarent. Quem mo-

" cundus omifit."

Henry the First laid aside the usual meetings of the nobility for the dispatch of publick business at Chrismas, Easter, and Whitsuntide; but only that he omitted the custom of feasting with them in the liberal manner that had been practised by his father and brother, or, at most, that he did not constantly summon those assemblies as they had done.

P. 23t. although, in the twenty first year of Richard the Second, the commons had shewn in a petition to the king, how that before those times many judgements and ordinances, made in the times of the progenitors of our lord the king in parliament, had been repealed and disannulled, because the state of the clergy were not present in parliament at the making of the said judgements and ordinances,

In this petition the judgements may be understood to refer more partcularly to the prelates, who had absented themselves from trials in the house of lords; but in the making of ordinances, the clergy of the lower house were concerned, as well as those of the upper. Accordingly it appears, that, in BOOK II. consequence of this petition, a proctor was appointed to act for them, as well as for the prelates. And Bishop Burnet takes notice, that in the second act of the same parliament (viz. in the twenty first of Richard II.) it is faid, " That it was first prayed by the commons, and that the lords spiritual, and " the proctors of the clergy, did affent to it; upon " which the king, by the affent of all the lords and " commons, did enact it." He adds, " That the " twelfth act of that parliament was a repeal of " the whole parliament, that was held in the ele-" venth year of that reign, and concerning it, it is " exprest, that the lords spiritual and temporal, the " proctors of the clergy, and the commons, being " feverally examined, did all agree to it. From " hence it appears, that these proctors were not only " a part of the parliament, but were a distinct body of " men, that did severally from all the rest deliver their " opinions."

P. 233. The presence of the people in the Saxon councils, and their having had a share in the highest acts of legislature and government, even till the entrance of the Normans, seems to be proved very strongly, from the preambles of laws and other proceedings of those counsels; and from the words of the best historians, who lived near to those times.

The preface to Ina's Laws is thus translated by Wilkins, "Ego Ina occiduorum Saxonum rex, "cum confilio et cum doctrina Cenredæ patris mei, et Heddæ episcopi mei, et Erkenwoldæ episcopi mei, et cum omnibus meis senatoribus, et senioribus fapientibus populi mei, et multa etiam societate mini"strorum Dei, cousultabam de salute animæ nostræ, "et de sundamento regni nostri, et justæ leges et

" justa

BOOK II. " justa statuta per ditionem nostram stabilita et

By these words it appears, that the Saxon legislature was composed of the king, cum omnibus suis senatoribus which senators I take to have been the mobility of the kingdom, such as afterwards formed the ordinary council of lords under our kings of Norman race; et cum senioribus sapientibus populi sui, by whom I understand the deputies or representatives of the people, either by election or magisentatives of the people of the peo

V. Spelman's Couoils fub ann. 855.

In the year 855, Ethelwolph, king of the West-Saxons, gave to the church the tythe of his kingdom, " cum consilio episcoporum et principum, præsen-" tibus et subscribentibus archiepiscopis et episco-" pis Anglize univerfis, nec non et Beorredo R. " Merciæ, et Edmundo Estanglorum R. abbatum, " et abbatissarum, ducum, comitum, procerumque " totius terræ, aliorumque fidelium infinita multitu-" dine, qui omnes regium chirographum laudaverunt, " dignitates vero subscripserunt." By this last paragraph it appears, that the act or decree of the council was approved by all present, though subscribed by none but the nobility, or dignified persons. on constitution therefore required not only the presence, but the approbation of the people, to the enacting of a law: yet to mark the distinction between these, and the higher orders of the state, the nobility alone set their hands to the act. The proceses totius terræ here mentioned will take in all the Thanes. and perhaps the seniores sapientes regni. By the words aliorumque fidelium infinita multitudine, I understand an unlimited number of freeholders, the fame as the populi numerofitas, et assistentem monacho-

rum, clericorun, laicorum numerofam multiudinem, BOOK II. mentioned by Eadmer, and the Vulgus spoken of by the author of the acts of King Stephen. The late Sir John Fortescue Aland, one of the justices of the King's Bench, who was very learned in the Saxon language and legal antiquities, fays, in his Preface to the Book of Chancellor Fortescue on the difference between an absolute and limited monarchy, "that whoever carefully and skilfully reads the " Saxon laws, and the prefaces or preambles to them, " will find, that the commons of England always in the

"Saxon times made part of that august assembly."

There is a remarkable paffage in Henry of Hun- V. H. Hunttington, concerning the deposition of Sigebert, king Hist, lib. iv. of the West-Saxons. "Sigebertus rex, in prin-" cipio fecundi anni regni fui, cum incorrigibilis " fuperbiæ et nequitiæ esset, congregati sunt pro-" ceres et populus totius regni, et provida delibera-"tione, et unanimi consensu omnium, expulsus est a " regno. Kinewelf vero, juvenis egregius, de regià " stirpe oriundus, electus est in regem." Here we fee that the Saxon people concurred with the nobility, both in deposing and electing a king, and that it was not done in a tumultuous manner, but provida deliberatione et unanimi consensu, words which express a parliamentary deliberation and consent. Henry of Huntinton wrote early in the reign of Heary the Second, when the memory of the Saxon customs could not be worn out in England, and certainly took this part of his history from some more ancient chronicle. The words are also transcribed by Roger de Hoveden, in his

Annals without any correction Sir H. Spelman fays, "it feemeth by those fy-" nods that were holden in the times of the Saxon " kings, and by some after the conqest, that great if num ers of the common people flockt thither." For

NOTES TO THE LIFE

446

" militibus, ac cum populi multitudine copiosa." And ann. 1126, "innumeraque cleri et populi multitudine:" and so likewise in ann. 1138, and other synods and councils. By what order or limitation this innumero populi multitudino came to these assemblies appeareth

not. (See Spelm. of Parliaments, p. 64.)

Lib. iii. f. 56 William of Malmfbury, in a paffage I have cited before, relates an answer sent by Harold to the duke of Normandy's charge of his having broken the oath, by which he had promifed to aid that prince in his pretentions to England. The words are these: "De regno addebat przesumptuosum " fuisse, quod absque generali senatus et populi con-" ventu et edicto alienam illi hæriditatem juraverit." This is a plain declaration, that, by the Saxon constitution established in England, the people, as well as the nobles, had a right to be called to the general affembly, upon affairs of great moment, and to join in the edicts made there; fo that, without their confent, the fuccession to the crown could not be disposed of. It is observable, that the historian uses the word fenatus in the same sense as we find it in the before-cited preamble to the laws of King Ina, for the ordinary affembly of the nobles, which he distinguishes from the people, but supposes that the latter ought to be joined to the former, in order to compose the entire legislature and great council of the nation, upon extraordinary occasions. This was agreeable to the custom ascribed by Tacitus to the Germans, from whom they forung: " De mi-" noribus rebus principes consultant, de majoribus om-" nes; ita tamen, ut ea quoque, quorum apud ple-" bem arbitrium eft, apud principes pertractentur." And I think it apparent, that the same form of government continued in England, during the reigns of all the kings treated of in this work? fome clear traces as the feudal system was more favourable to the aristocratical than to the popular power, the lesser senate by degrees usurped much of the authority that belonged to the greater; and even in the general assemblies the nobles were so predominant, as to leave the people little power, till the relaxation of that system, the encrease of wealth among the commons, and other changes in the political state of the kingdom, which it would take up too much time to enumerate here, gave more regularity and better balance to the whole legislature.

We are told by the Book of Ely, that, in Ed-V. Histor. ward the Confesser's reign, the brother of an ab-Eliens. lib.ii. bot, though nobly born, could not be reckoned Gale's Hist. among the nobility of the kingdom, because he Brit. Script. had not an estate of forty hides of lands. "Quoniam vol.i. p. 513.

" ille quadraginta bidarum dominium minime obtinerit, " inter proceses tunc numerari non potuit:" and therefore he was refused by a lady, whom he fought in marriage, till his estate was encreased to that magnitude by grants of land from his brother. This passage is remarkable, because it shews that a certain portion, and that a very large one, of landed property in dominio was a necessary qualification, under the Anglo-Saxon government, to admit any person to the rank and degree of nobility. Whether this continued under the Normans I find no proof; but it must be observed, that no argument can be juftly drawn from hence, that, to be qualified for a place in the Saxon great council, or witenagemot, it was requifite to be lord of forty hides of land. Nothing like it is faid here, nor does fuch a notion agree with any accounts that are given us of that affembly in the writings or re301111

it, Script.

BOOK II. cords of those times. But if the lesser senate was composed of none but the process, as there is reason to believe, this passage will be a proof, that, without such an estate or lordship, no person could fit there,

P. 234. For the property of the commons was so unequal to that of the nobles, and the feudal obligations of the inferior landholders to the lords they held under created such adependance of the former on the latter, that, although in the idea and scheme of the government a popular power was mixed with the regal and aristocratical, yet, in reality, the scale of the people was not weighty enough to make a proper counterpoise to either of the other.

secretal afferships the nobles was to

All this must be very evident to any person who reads the history of this kingdom, or looks into its laws. But another reason may be added to account for what appears in many rolls of parliament, long after the period contained in this work, that the commons declined to give their opinion or advice to the crown, in certain matters of state, and submitted their judgement thereupon to the king and his council, or to them and the lords. While the representatives of cities, towns, and boroughs, were chosen only out of persons residing therein, they were, for the most part, people of low degree and condition, whose education and way of life rendered them very unfit to judge of arduous questions concerning foreign affairs, and treaties with foreign states. Accordingly we find, that, in the seventh of King Richard the Second, the commons being much prest to give their opinions on a treaty of peace with France, before the conclusion thereof, dechared, they knew not what to fay; because in the articles

ticles were contained many terms of the civil law which BOOK II. they understood not; and in the seventeenth of the fame king, they pleaded want of capacity to give their judgement or advice on the articles of a peace, in which were contained the law-terms of homage lige, fouverainte, et ressort, for which they referred themselves to what the lords, knights, and judges had before agreed upon. Here we see that the knights of shines were not supposed to be under the fame incapacity as the rest of the commons. It was therefore an alteration very beneficial to the importance of the commons in parliament, when gentlemen of liberal education were admitted to ferve for cities, towns, and boroughs. The revival of learning in the fixteenth century, and diffufion of it among the gentry, during the next hundred years, contributed also to fill the house of commons with able and knowing men, who had no need to have recourse to the other house of parliament, or to the king's council, for the explaining of terms of law, or the articles of a treaty with any foreign power. But it will be proper to obferve, that even in the earliest times, so far back as we have any rolls of the parliament, all the commons appear to have given their advice with great freedom in matters concerning the internal government and order of the kingdom. What they declined to advise in, upon some occasions, were questions that related to the making of peace or war, as, for instance, in the twenty first and twen- See the Rolls ty eighth years of King Edward the Third, and ofthoseyears. fixth, feventh and feventeenth of Richard the Second. In one of these, namely, the seventh of Richard the Second, they were told by the chancellor, "that he was to shew them certain articles, " wherein, although the king himself might well con-" clude, yet, for good will, he would not, without Vol. III.

NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. " their knowledge and confent." To this they answered, not imprudently, that it beseemed not them to intermeddle with their council therein, and referred it to the king and council. Certainly there was a great difference between exercifing the proper parliamentary power of judging of the articles of a peace concluded, and of calling the ministers to account if they had advised the king ill in the exercise of his prerogative, or authorising them to conclude particular articles by a previous advice. But in all these instances it is remarkable, how great a regard was fliewn by thefe kings to their parliaments, even in points which belonged to the royal prerogative! Such a conduct in such a prince as Edward the Third, crowned with victory and with glory above all other monarchs, is an evident indication, that to govern by parliaments has been the policy of this kingdom under the wifest and best kings, from the earliest times.

See Hume's Hift. of Eng land. p. 91. vol. ii. note.

450

A late author has cited Sir Robert Cotton's Abridgement of the Records in the Tower, to shew that the commons, in those times, were much below the rank of legislators. He fays, " the king told " the commons, that they were only petitioners, that is, they had not any proper legislative authority." But I will give the whole paffage as it flands in the book itself, that the reader may judge on what au-See Cotton's thority this confirmation is founded. The words

Abridgement are thefe: " The duke of York, and earl of Nor-

i Hen. IV.

of the records " thumberland, and others of the blood of the archin the Tower, " bishop of Canterbury, pray the king, that the " fame archbishop might have his recovery against

" Roger Walden, for fundry waftes and spoils " Hone by the faid Roger, in the archbishoprick

" aforefaid, whereto the king granted, and " thanked them for their motion. The commons

on the 30th of November pray, that for asmuch

as they were not made privy to the judgement afore-BOOK II.

" faid, no record be made to charge or to make them

parties thereunto; whereupon the archbishop of

"Canterbury, by the king's commandment, answered, that the commons were only peti-

tioners, and that all judgements appertain to the king and to the lords: unless it were in statutes, grants,

" fubfidies, and fuch like, the which the king would from that time cause to be observed." It is evident.

that the legislative power of the commons, instead of being denied, is expresly confirmed by this answer. They are truly told, that the power of judicature appertains not to them, but to the king and the lords; and this was the question to which their prayer related: but in statutes they were to judge, as well as in grants, subsidies, &c. Certainly their being petitioners was no argument of their not being legislators: since the course of proceedings then was, that their petitions, if affented to by the lords and the king, should be turned into statutes, as all the old records of parliament unquestionably

P. 235. The execution of all laws was entrufted to the king, and none could be made, repealed, or al-

tered, without his affent.

This has been always the royal prerogative in this kingdom: but the dispensing with laws began no earlier than the reign of Henry the Third, by an infertion of the clause of non obstante into grants and patents, after the example of the papal power. Matthew Paris calls this detestabilis adjectio, and what the king's judges at that time thought of it appears from this passage in the same contemporary historian. "Quod cum comperisse quidam vir discretus, tune justiciarius, Rogerus de Thurke-

Gg 2 "by,

p. 46.

V. Parliam. Hift. vol. i.

BOOK II. " by, ab alto ducens suspiria de prædictæ adjec-" tionis appositione, Heu heu, hos ut quid dies " expectavimus? Ecce jam civilis curia exemplo " ecclesiastica coinquinatur, et à sulphureo fonte in-" toxicatur." The parliament likewise complained, that the church and kingdom suffered infinitely by reason of the clause of non obstante, which weakened and enervated all oaths, ancient customs, written laws, grants, statutes, and privileges. When King Richard the Second faid (as he is charged to have done in one of the articles exhibited against him in parliament), that his laws were in his mouth, or in his breaft; and that he himself alone could make and change the laws of his kingdom, he totally departed from all the notions of the ancient constitution, and by acting upon fuch principles, infused into him by fareigners, he dissolved the bonds of allegiance, and

deposed himself.

During the violence of the civil wars between the two houses of York and Lancaster, and the frequent revolutions that happened in the government, the whole frame of the constitution was shaken and injured: but how strongly the fundamental notions of liberty were still prevalent in the breasts of honest and knowing men, appears from the admirable treatife of Fortescue on absolute and limited monarchy. He, who had been raised to the highest offices of the law under Henry the Sixth, was not afraid to affirm under Edward the Fourth, with the fame freedom as in another part of his writings he had spoken to his royal pupil, the son of Henry the SeeFortescue Sixth, that " rex datur propter regnum, et non reg-" num propter regem. Wherefore all that he doth " ought to be referred to his kingdom. For though

" his estate be the highest estate temporal upon earth,

" yet it is an office in the which he ministreth in his

" realm

on absolute and limited monarchy. c. viii.

" realm defence and justice. And therefore he may BOOK II.
" say of himself, as the pope saith of himself and of

" the church, in that he writeth fervus fervorum "Dei." This author also founds the original of our government on a number of people incorporating and uniting themselves into a realm under one head, or king, fays, " they ordained the same realm to be " ruled by fuch laws as they would all affent to:" which he affirms to be the only political government, and absolute monarchy to be mere tyranny. Such were the notions of our ancestors, in the reign of Edward the Fourth. Nor does Bracton, or Glanville, speak a different language. But, on the other hand, they had no idea of degrading our kings into mere doges of Venice, divested of all royal power. And fome of our over-zealous whigs would do well to confider, that, by thus lowering the monarchial part of our government, they would as much destroy the symmetry and strength of the whole, as those who seek to raise it higher than the just proportions of a mixed and limited monarchy will admit. Even allowing, what I think can never be allowed, that a republick in itself is a better form of government for a great and opulent country, than a mixed and limited monarchy, it does not follow from thence (as these gentlemen seem to believe), that by bringing the latter nearer to the former they shall make the latter more perfect. For the perfection of it confifts in the equal poife of the three constituent parts. Whether that poise be destroyed by throwing too much weight on the fide of the king, or of the nobility, or of the people, the mischief is the same,

P. 237. The wealth of the crow, in the times of which I write, was a great support of its power.

Gg3

Ordericus

BOOK II. Ordericus Vitalis, a contemporary historian, - fays, that out of the settled revenues of England, one thousand and fixty pounds of sterling money, thirty shillings, and three farthings, were reported to be paid every day to William the First, besides. the gifts, fines, or amercements, and many other articles, which continually encreased the royal

treasure. " Ipsi vero regi (ut fertur) mille et sexa-L. iv. p.523. " ginta libra sterilensis mometa, solidique triginta, apud Duches. is et tres oboli ex justis redditibus Anglia per singulos " dies redduntur, exceptis maneribus regiis, et rea-" traum redemptionibus, aliifque multiplicibus negociis " que regis errarium quoticie adaugent." It is obfervable, that the historian does not give us this account upon his own knowledge, but upon report (ut fertur). Yet one would suppose, that his information was very particular, as he mentions even the farthings. And the way in which he counts, viz. one thousand and fixty pounds and thirty shillings, inflead of one thousand and fixty one pounds. ten shillings, is still used in the Exchequer. Yet the fum is fo great as justly to occasion a doubt: for, the pounds here mentioned being pounds in weight, which contained in them as much filver as three of our present pounds sterling; and the shillings not being coins of that denomination, but fignifying the twentieth part of a pound weight of filver, which makes one of them equivalent to three of our shillings; this receipt amounts to three thousand, one hundred, and eighty four pounds, ten shillings of our money, not reckoning the farthings. Multiply this by 365, the produce will be 1,073,085 of annual income; which being also multiplied by five (the lowest computation of the value of filver in those days beyond the present) the sum produced by it will be 5,369,925, exclusive of all the casual profits of the crown, which in those days were very high. Though

Though William Rufus was very lavish in his BOOK II. gifts and expences, it does not appear that he' alienated any part of the ancient inheritance of the crown. For no refumption was made of his grants by his fucceffor, nor an complaint of the crown's being deprived of its patrimony: but, on the contrary, that prince's opulence is noted by all the contemporary historians. A modern historian affirms indeed, that William Rufus, about the lat- Daniel, p.44. ter end of his reign, refumed bis own grants; but no proof of this is found in the contemporary writers. William of Malmibury fays, that when he had L. iv. p. 69. spent all the treasures of his father, he made up his de W. II. losses by rapines. Itaque, quum defecisset quod daret, inaps et exhaustus, ad rapinas convertit animum. Upon the whole there appears no good reason to believe, that the estate of the crown was much diminished before the reign of king Stephen, all whose grants, excepting those he had made to the church, were refumed by Henry the Second. It appears from a V. M. Paris letter to the pope from the English parliament, in W. M. Paris the reign of Henry the Third, that the clear re- p. 666. feet. yenue of the kingdom was then below fixty thou- 30. fub ann. fand marks per am. The words are these: " Ita- 1245.1. edit. " lici percipientes in Anglià sexaginta millia marca-

" rum, et eo amplius annuatim (aliis perceptioni-" bus diversis exceptis) plus emolumenti meri reddi-

" tus de regno reportant quam ipse rex, qui est tutor

" ecclesia et regni gubernacula moderatur."

" historian says in another place, " Et inventa est 50.

" fumma reddituum eorum annuatim fexaginta " millia marcarum; ad quam jummam non attingit

" redditus amuus totius regni Anglia." But in both these places is meant not the king's private patrimony, or landed estate, but the public revenue of the kingdom, which is often diftinguished from the other in our ancient records. aI

Gg4

V. Cotton. Librar Julius B. xiii.

In a manuscript treatise of Giraldus Cambrensis, De institutione regis, it is said, that the annual siscal revenues amounted, in the time of Edward the Confessor, to just the same sum. "Anglize reg-

" num Anglorum tempore, et penultimi Edwardi "Westmonasteriensis diebus annui siscales redditus, " sicut Rotulo Wintoniensi reperiuntur, ad sexaginta " millia marcarum summam implebant." By the annual siscal revenues I understand the ancient inheritance of the crown? for Bracton says, "Est

L. ii. c. 5.

annual fiscal revenues I understand the ancient inheritance of the crown? for Bracton fays, " Est " res quafi sacra res fiscalis, quæ dari non potest. " nec vendi, nec alium transferri à principe vel à " rege regnante." But little regard is due to this evidence of Giraldus: for immediately afterwards he fays, that in Henry the Second's time, by the continual grants made to foldiers, both from that prince and from Stephen, and by the wars between them, and afterwards with France, these fiscal revenues were brought down to twelve thousand marks: but he forgets that all the grants made by Stephen, or Matilda, except those to the clergy, were refumed by Henry the Second at the beginning of his reign; and no other author has faid, that this prince, for the maintenance of his wars against France, ever alienated any part of the patrimony of the crown.

Ibid. It is therefore evident, that a vast share of the lands of England was possessed by Henry the Second, which was a constant support to the royal dignity, independent of all taxes or impositious on his subjects, and which was considered as a sacred and inalienable patrimony, transmitted to him from his ancestors, the ancient kings of England; &c.

In one of the articles exhibited in parliament against King Richard the Second it is said, "that whereas "the

the king of England, by the revenue of his king-BOOK II. " dom and the patrimony belonging to his crown, is " able to live honorably (honnetement) without " the oppression of his people, as long as the king-" dom is not burdened with the charge of wars; yet " the faid king, in a manner for his whole time, " during the truces between the kingdom of Eng-" land and its adversaries, hath not only given " away a great, yea indeed the greatest part of his " faid patrimony, and this to unworthy persons, but " also hath further imposed on his subjects so many bur-" dens of monies granted, as it were every year of his " reign, that thereby he hath extreamly, and too " exceffively oppressed his people to the impove-" rishment of his kingdom, not converting the sums " so levied to the advantage and profit of the realm of

"England, but prodigally squandering it away for the ostentation of his name, and in pomp and vain-glory, whilst great sums of money are

" owing in his kingdom for the victuals of his house" hold, and other things bought, though he hath

" abounded with riches and treasures more than

" any of his progenitors."

In this remarkable article is very clearly fet forth the whole policy of our ancestors, with regard to the different provisions they made for the crown. Its support in time of peace was the patrimony belonging to it and the revenue of the kingdom. But in war they supposed it necessary, that the extraordinary charges should be supplied by grants from parliament. The burthening the people with such grants, or other impositions, in time of peace, the misapplying them to vain-glory, while the charges of the household were unpaid, and the giving away the ancient patrimony, intended for the maintenance of those charges and of the honor and dig-

NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. nity of the crown, are confidered by them as of-

Chief Justice

There is also a passage in the treatise of Lord Fortescue on absolute and limited monarchy, which is very pertinent to this subject. For the greater ease of the reader, I shall give it in modern English and spelling, "The king, our sovereign Lord, " had, at times, fince he reigned over us, provision " in lordships, lands, tenements, and rents, near " the value of the fifth part of his realm, exclusive of the possessions of the church; by which provision, " if it had confrantly abided in his hands, he had been " more mighty in good revenues than either of the said " two kings (viz. the Soldan of Egypt and the " king of France), or any king that now reigns in 56 Christendom. But this was not possible : for to ss some part thereof the heirs of them that sometime owned it are reflored; some by reason of entails; " fome by reason of other titles, which the king " has considered, and thought them good and reason-" able. And fome part of the same provision his " good grace has given to fuch as have ferved him " so fignally, that, as their renown will be eternal, " so it befitted the king's magnificence to make their rese wards everlasting to their heirs; for his honor " and their perpetual memory. And also the king " has given part of the faid provision to his most " honorable brethren, who not only have served him " in the manner aforefaid, but are so near in blood to " his highness, that it befitted not his magnificence to to have done otherwise.

Edward the Fourth, when this treatife was written, the wealth arifing to the crown from its landed estate and casual profits, exclusive of subsidies and grants by parliament, was sometimes equal to one fifth

fifth of the lay property of the kingdom, but that many BOOK IL. of these profits were only temporary, and could not. from the nature of them, be retained by the king. On this I would observe, that, in some periods of the reign of Edward the Fourth, the estate of the crown must have been greatly encreased by the forfeitures of the Lancastrian nobles, and by the escheats that must have fallen into the hands of the king upon the extinction of heirs male in many noble families of his own party, during the bloody civil wars, with which the realm was then infested. Of the forfeitures a great part, before the writing of this treatife, had been granted away by the king to those of his own adherents who had done him good fervice. And we fee that Fortescue thought fuch grants not illegal or improper. But of that great lawyer's opinion and advice on the fubject of the royal revenue I shall have occasion to say more in a subsequent note. I will only add here, that in another chapter he fays, " it was undoubted that " the king had a sufficient provision for his ordinary C. viii. " charges."

P. 239. These inquisitions, or verdicts, were first methodised in the country, and afterwards sent up

to the king's Exchequer.

In the archives of the church of Exeter are contained the returns for the counties of Wilts, Dorfet, Somerfet, Devon, and Cornwall, compiled from the original verdicts given in by the juries to the commissioners appointed for the making of that survey. I have been favored with the fight of some very curious observations made on these manuscripts, and on the lesser and greater Domesday-books, by the learned and ingenious Dr. Milles, dean of Exeter, which, when published, will give more light and instruction on this subject, than

BOOK II. than the world has yet received from any other writer.

> The book of Ely, from which I have taken the account of the manner in which the inquifitions were made, confifts of two parts, the first containing the possessions of the church of Elv extracted from the original verdicts, which verdicts for fifteen out of the feventeen hundreds of Cambridgeshire are contained in the fecond part.

> P. 242. The greater escheats were let at farm, or committed to the cuftody of persons appointed by the king, to whom they accounted for the profits.

Hift. of the Mr. Madox fays, of these escheats, " that, after Excheq. c. x. " they had been long vefted in the crown, they " were hardly to be diftinguished from the king's an-" cient demesne." But in this there seems to be fome inaccuracy. For it must always have been eafy to diffinguish escheated baronies, and estates held by knight-fervice, from the king's ancient demesne; because all tenants in that demesne held by focage. And Mr. Madox himfelf fays, in another

V. Baroniam, part of his works, that a manor, which was part of I.i. c. i.p. 11. the ancient and original inheritance of the crown, could not be called an honor, because it never was a barony, or in the seisin of an earl or baron. This must therefore have prevented any confusion in the accounts of the ancient demesne lands, and of any honors or baronies escheated to the crown. But it was peceffary to diffinguish between honors or baronies held originally of the crown, and those devolved to it by escheat; because, in the latter case, the tenants, who before had held of the baron, became the tenants of the king, non ficut de corona, but in the fame manner as they had answered for their fervices to the baron. Which distinction may have been lost when they had been long escheated, and BOOK II. retained by the king, before the escheatry was formed, but, I think, not afterwards.

P. 247. And therefore when writers fay; that the lands of the crown were inalienable, it must be understood only of those in ancient demesne, not of these incidental or casual possessions.

This difference is well attended to and expressed V. Rot. Parl. by the commons in their petition to King Henry 6 Hen. IV.

the Fourth for a resumption of grants in the sixth n. 14. year of his reign. "Forasmuch as the crown of

"the realm of England has suffered great disparagement and diminution (est grantement emble-

"missée et aneantissée) by great and excessive grants

" made to diverse persons as well spiritual as

temporal, of lands tenements, fee farms, fran-

"chifes, liberties, and other possessions, be it enacted in this present parliament, for the profit

" of the king and of the realm, and for the sup-

" port of the commons, that all castles, manors,

" lordships, lands, tenements, fees, and advow-

"fons, fee farms, annuities, franchises, liberties, has and we

" and customs, which were members and parcels of the ancient inheritance of the crown in the fortieth

" year of the reign of King Edward grand-father

" of our Lord the present King, and from that

" time, whether given for life or for a term of

" years, in fee simple or in fee tail, or conditionally,

" or to the spiritual lords for themselves and their successors; except wardships, marriages, and es-

" cheats, and what has been affigned to the queen

" in dower, should be intirely resumed, recovered

" and seized into the hands of our Lord the king,

" and rejoined to the crown, to remain perpetually an-

" nexed to it, without being ever for the future, by

" any means or device what soever, separated from it,

" faving

BOOK II." faving the grants made at, or after, the term beforementioned, of any parcels of the faid an-

" cient inheritance of the crown, by special charter

" confirmed by the authority of parliament."

Here the diffinction between the ancient inheritance of the crown, and escheats or casual profits, is evidently marked out: and as for the confirmation given to grants out of the ancient inheritance made in or before the fortieth of Edward the Third, it was necessary for the avoiding of the inconvenience and injustice that must always attend the looking back too far into acts of refumption. Nevertheless the defire of giving ease to the people induced the parliament to advile, that during the continuance of heavy wars, or at times when the crown was greatly opprefied with debts, all fuch cafual profits might remain in the hands of the king for his own use and benefit. Thus in the fifth V. Rot. Ord. year of Edward the Second, an ordinance was

made, that, to pay the debts of the king, and raise 5 Edw. II. n. 3. up his flate, and maintain it more honorably, no grant

V. Rot. Parl.

of land, or rent, or franchife, or escheat, or of V. Rot. Parl. wardfrip or marriage, or of bailiwick, should be R. II. n. 48 made &c. till bis fate should be raised up &c. And thus, in the first year of Richard the Second, the commons prayed for an inquiry into the grants of castles, towns, lands, tenements, bailiwicks, ward-Thips, marriages, escheats, and reliefs, made by Edward the Third, to see whether they had been worthily or unworthily bestowed; that where they had been properly given, they might be confirmed, but where the king had been deceived, they might be refumed, and not granted again to the fame persons, or any others, till his debts were paid, V.Rot. Parl. In the fifth of the fame king the commons again

gR.II. p. 1. petitioned, that no grant should be made of any B. 74.

land,

land, rent, marriage, or any kind of escheat, to BOOK II. any person whatsoever, till the king should be out of debt, and out of such charges of war as lay upon him at that time.

But the king only promising, that he would make no new grants without the confent of the lords and others of his counfel, they renewed their petition the next year, that all kinds of wardships, marriages, reliefs, escheats, forfeitures, and all other pro- V. Rot. Parl. fits, might be kept for the kings wars and the defence 6R.II. n. 42. of his kingdom, in support and aid of his poor commons, and not otherwise bestowed. To which he also returned no other answer, than that he was willing and defirous to proceed in this matter by the advice of the lords of his kingdom, as it should feem to him most conducive to his bonor and profit. Yet in his ninth year he consented to the defire of V. Rot. Parl. his parliament, that all his revenues should be laid up 9 R.II. n.42. for one whole year without any diminution thereof by gift or grant. And in his eleventh year the commons prayed, that all forfeitures lately incurred of lordships, lands, &c. and all escheats and other profits, which had fallen, or should fall, into the hands of the king, by any means whatfoever, might remain in them during the wars, to discharge his debts, and in aid of the maintenance of his state, and also for the ease and relief of the poor commons of his realm: which he granted with some restrictions. So likewife, in the eleventh of King Henry the v. Rot. Parl. Fourth, the commons prayed, that no grant be it Hen. IV. made of any hereditaments or other profits of the n. 23. crown, except offices and bailiwicks till all the debts then due from the king to his subjects should be entirely discharged, and with enough remaining in the bands of the king and his royal fuccessors for the reasonable support and maintenance of his household, chamber.

BOOK II. chamber, and wardrobe: which the king affented to with fome refervations.

It would be tedious to give more instances of the fame nature; but I will add, that when any feignory had been annexed to the crown by act of parliament, the parliament confidered the lands belonging thereunto as being equally inalienable with the ancient inheritance of the crown: and, no doubt, with good reason. It must also be noted, that on the ancient demelnes of the crown were built many royal castles and fortresses held by knight-fervice or caftle-guard, which, when alienated by our kings, were very properly and justly refumed; as we find to have been done, with the advice and confent of parliament, by Henry the Second. But, if all escheats, forfeitures, and other cafual profits, had been likewise deemed inalienable, the crown, perpetually receiving, and rendering nothing back, would foon have drawn to itself, by means of these incidents, all the lands See Fortescue and wealth of the kingdom. Neverthesels, in on Absolute the treatise of Lord Chief Justice Fortesque, cited before in these notes, King Edward the Fourth is advised, that, in order to answer extraordinary and fudden charges, for which he could not have the ready affiftance of his parliament, a perpetual provision should be made, by a general refumption of all the lands he had granted away (except those given to his brothers, and some others who had figually ferved him); which refumption flould be authorised by act of parliament, and at the same time a great fubfidy should be granted to the king for the rewarding in money those grantees, who, by the opinion of a council, to be established for that purpose, should be found to have deserved it. All the lands thus redeemed he proposed to annex for ever to the

crown, so as not to be alienated without consent

and limited monarchy.

Rot. Parl.

Ibid. c. xi. p. 84. c. xiv. c. XIX.

of parliament: to support which advice he gives BOOK II. many weighty arguments, fuch as the mischiefs that must ensue to a realm from the poverty of a C. v. king, which he most judiciously sets forth; and the evils that would follow his relieving his necesfity by impoverishing and oppressing the commons. He likewise displays very wisely the great peril to C. iii, iv. x. the state, if any nobleman has more to spend than the king; for which reason he intimates, that the king, in disposing of his noble wards in marriage, should prudently take care, that too great additions C. x. p. 76, might not be made to their wealth; and that he 77. should encrease his own by the casualties of escheats and forfeitures, and also by purchasing land from fuch of his nobles as were defirous to fell, which none could do without his licence. On all this I would observe, that every good purpose proposed by this able lawyer and wife statesman is much better answered by our present method of providing for the maintenance of the honor and dignity of the crown, in fettling on the king, for his life, a clear annuity, of fuch value, as may be fufficient for all charges both ordinary and extraordinary, except those which, from the nature of them, ought, in good policy, to be referved for the confideration of parliament. Thus no danger to the state can be likely to arise from the poverty of the king, nor, on the other fide, from the balance of power being hurt by too great a weight of landed property in the scale of the crown, a danger to which it does not feem that our ancestors were fufficiently attentive. And the alterations of our law with regard to the power of alienating lands, with other changes that have happened in the state of our nobility fince Fortescue wrote, have taken away that apprehension he so justly conceived of any subjects being made, by the greatness of their VOL. III. Hh eftates.

danger now appears to lie the other way, namely, that the poverty of some of our nobles may, at certain times, make them too dependent on the king; which, while baronies were territorial, could not possibly happen; as there was always, under that constitution of the peerage, a sufficient estate annexed to every bonor, for the maintenance of the baron, according to his rank, without his having recourse to the bounty of the crown.

P. 248. 249. But the highest payments of this nature which I meet with in the rolls, till after the thirty first year of Henry the Third, were made to that king, by John earl of Lincoln, and by Simon de Montfort; the former of these having given three thousand marks, to have the marriage of Richard de Clare, for the benefit of Matilda, his eldest daughter, and the latter ten thousand to have the custody of the lands and heir of Gilbert de Unfranville until the heir's full age, with the heir's marriage, and with advowsons of churches, knight's-fees, and other pertinencies and escheats.

One still greater payment, being the double of the highest abovementioned, had escaped my observation in another part of Mr. Madox's History of the Exchequer, p. 322. He shews from the great roll of the second of Henry the Third, that Geoffry de Mandeville gave twenty thousand marks, that he might have to wife Isabel countess of Glocester, with all her lands and knight's-fees; a most enormous sum, considering the value of sil-

ver in those days!

P. 254. I find no account of what was taken by Henry the Second for another feudal due, viz. on the making his eldest son a knight. Mr. Selden takes notice, in his Book on Titles BOOK II. of Honor, that with respect to our kings this aid continued arbitrary till the statute of the twenty see part i. See part i. cap. v. sect. like condition with ordinary lords, who by a former statute of the third of Edw. I. had been restrained from taking more on this account than twenty shillings from every tenant of a whole knight's-fee, and the same from every one who held lands in socage of the yearly value of twenty pounds, and so pro rata; but that none of it should be levied until the son were of the age of sisteen years, which is called by Britton, l'age pur ordre de chivaler prendre.

P. 257. And both these laws seem to refer to a preceding statute, now lost, by which the seudal policy of the Normans had been established in England.

The words of reference, in one of these statutes, namely, the fifty fifth, are as follows; " Prout Hatutem est eis, et illis a nobis datum et concessum " jure hæreditario in perpetuum per commune consi-" lium totius regni nostri prædicti;" and in the other (the fifty eighth), " ficut illius statuimus per " commune consilium totius regni nostri prædicti, et " illis dedimus et concessimus in feodo jure hæreditario." Sir H. Spelman, speaking of the latter of these laws, fays, " here the word statumus sheweth, that it was the Conqueror's institution, and concessimus " in feodo jure hæreditario implyeth, that feuds " were not hereditary before this grant." By this grant, I prefume he does not mean this statute, but the grant referred to therein. I cannot agree with SeeWright's the learned author of the Introduction to the Law of Tenures, Tenures, in thinking that both these laws refer to P. 65 to 74.

bruot aHh 2 iband

BOOK II. the fifty fecond of that king, which runs in these words: "Statuimus etiam ut omnes liberi homines fæ-" dere et sacramento affirment, quod intra et extra " universum regnum Angliæ (quod olim vocabatur 66 regnum Britanniæ) Willielmo regi domino suo " fideles esse volunt, terras et honores illius omni fide-" litate ubique servare cum eo, et contra inimicos " et alienigenas defendere." On this law I have before made fome observations. The purport of it feems to be, the imposing of an oath of confederacy, or affociation, for the defence of the king, and of all his territories and dignities both in and out of Great Britain. But it does not appear to give any thing; nor is there the least mention in it of the great alteration made in the tenures of lands and the policy of the realm by this king and his parliament, in rendering the estates of the English hereditary fiefs, to all perpetuity, which is expresly taken notice of in the two other statutes, and called a grant or concession. The words of it are likewise very different from those of the usual oath of fealty. I am therefore obliged to differ with the learned writer abovementioned in his opinion, that this law introduced into into England the Norman feudal tenures, and is referred to in the fifty fifth and fifty eighth laws before recited; nor can I agree with him, that the liberi homines mentioned in those laws were no others but the tenants in chief of the king.

Ibid. Whether this difference arose from any other statute made by William the First, after the two abovementioned, or from a narrow and unfavorable construction thereof, by a subsequent usage, I cannot say.

There is much obscurity in this matter: but by the Inquisitio Gheldi, which is found among the

the Exeter manuscripts mentioned in a former note BOOK IL. on Domesday-book, and no where else in the kingdom (being an account of the money levied for danegelt in the five western counties at the time when the furvey was made by William the Conqueror) it appears, that among the demesne lands exempted from that tax were the lands of all the fervientes regis, under which description are contained, not only those who held of him by knight's-fervice, but præpofiti, camerarii, hostiarii, marefcalli, coci, focarii, carpentarii, venatores, parcarii.

In four of the western counties the exempted demesne amounted to one third, and in the fifth, viz. Somersetshire, to one fourth of the whole land.

P. 259. However this may have been, it is declared most explicitly, by King Edward the First, in his confirmation of the charters, that the aids, free gifts, and other impositions, irregularly taken or levied by him or his ministers, before that time, for his wars or other necessities, should not be drawn into precedent because they might be found recorded on the rolls: and he therein grants to the nobility and commonality of the realm, that, for the future, he would not, for any necessity what soever, take any fuch aids or impositions, without the common affent of the whole kingdom, and to the common benefit thereof: with a reserve of the ancient aids and impositions due by custom.

Nothing can be more express than this declaration. Nevertheless, in the fifty first of Ed- See Cotton's ward the Third, upon the parliament's renewing Abridgement their claim to the king, that in time to come the of the Reprelates, earls, barons, commons, citizens, and bur-cords in the geffes of his realm of England may not henceforth be p. 152.

Hh 3

charged, 51 Edw. III.

BOOK II, charged, molefted, nor grieved to make any common aid, or histain any charge, unless it be by common affent of the prelates, dukes, lords, and barons, and other people of the commons of his realm of England, and that in full parliament; his answer was, "that " he is not at all willing to do it, without great ne-" ceffity, and for the defence of the realm, and where he may do it with reason." These favings, the last especially, set the whole loose. On this I would observe, that, although it was dangerous to allow any latitude of this nature in the raifing of money without confent of parliament, yet there was also a danger, which might attend the restraint in cases of real and urgent necessity, or even where advantages of importance might be loft by waiting till the time when the parliament should be fitting, and the supply could be granted there in the usual forms. This feems to have been one cause of the too frequent violations of this great and acknowledged right of parliament, as well as a specious pretence for them on many occasions; especially under the Tudors, and the two first kings of the Stewart family: but the difficulty has been obviated by the expedient recurred to in latter times of making provisions for such contingences by votes of credit in time of war, and even, on some occasions, in times of peace, when there appears to be cause for apprehending danger, or much inconvenience to the publick, from tying the government up by the usual restrictions. But great care ought to be taken, that this truft, which the parliament reposes in the government, should be limited as to the fum, and given under the obligation of being subject to ac-Nor ought fuch votes to be ever past in time of peace, without a most apparent reason, upon fome clear prospect of great benefit from enabling the government to make subfidiary treaties with foreign foreign powers during the recess of parliament, or BOOK II. other such weighty motive. Under these cautions, the use of votes of credit and confidence is a means of delivering that great fecurity of our property and freedom, the ancient claim of our parliaments that no aids or taxes should be levied without their assent, from these objections of inconvenience and danger to the state, which many writers on the side of ab- See Barclay folute monarchy have formerly brought against it and others. with some shew of reason.

I cannot better end this note on this very important subject, than by transcribing some passages from that excellent treatife of Lord Chief Justice Fortescue on Absolute and limited monarchy. which, next to the laws recited in the Bill of Rights, is one of the noblest monuments we have of the liberties enjoyed by our ancestors. In his third chapter he fays, that " on account of the " great wars which the English made in France the " three estates durst not assemble. And then, for " that cause, and for great necessity which the " French king had of goods for the defence of his "kingdom, he took upon him to fet tailles and " other impositions upon the commons without the " affent of the three estates; but yet he would not " fet any fuch charges, nor has fet, upon the " nobles, for fear of rebellion. And because the " commons, though they grudged, have not re-" belled, or to be hardy to rebel, the French " kings have yearly fince fet fuch charge upon " them, and so augmented the same charges, that " the faid commons be so impoverished and destroyed as " they can scarce live. They drink water, they " eat apples, with bread very brown made of " rye. They eat no flesh, but very rarely, a little " bacon, or of the entrails or heads of beafts flain Hh4

BOOK II. " for the nobles and merchants of the land. They wear no woollen, but a poor coat under their " outermost garment made of broad canvals, and call it a frock. Their hofe are of like canvals, " and reach not above their knee; wherefore they " be gartered, and their thighs bare. They can " live no otherwise: for some of them, that were wont to pay to their lords for their tenements, red ofber " which they take by the year, a crown of gold, " pay now to the king, over and above that crown, five crowns. From whence they are or prest by necessity so to watch, labour, and grub in the ground for their sustenance, that their na-" ture is much wasted, and the kind of them brought to nought. They go crooked, and are feeble, not able to fight or to defend the realm; nor have they money to buy them weapons withall: but verily they live in the most extreme poverty and misery; and yet they dwell in one of " the most fertile realms of the world : through " which it happens that the French king has not " men of his own realm able to defend it, except his nobles, who endure no fuch impositions, and " have therefore strong bodies. By which cause " the faid king is compelled to make his armies " and retainers for the defence of his land, of " ftrangers, as Scots, Spaniards, Arragonese, Ger-" mans, and other nations; or else all his ene-" mies might over-run him. For he hath no defence of his own, except his castles and fortres-" fes, Lo! this is the fruit of his Jus regale. If the " realm of England, which is an island, and there-" fore may not easily get succours of other lands, were " ruled under fuch a law, and under fuch a prince, it would be then a prey to all other nations, that " would conquer, rob, and devour it."

It will not be necessary to enter here into any BOOK II, disquisition, whether the time when, and the manner bow, the kings of France obtained an absolute monarchy, called here Jus regale, with a power of taxing their people without the affent of the three effates, be rightly fixed by this writer. It is fufficient to observe, that as he had lived many years in France, where he took refuge with the fon of King Henry the Sixth, his pupil, we cannot doubt the truth of the description he gives of the poverty and mifery of the people there from fuch arbitrary impositions. And with relation to the peasants and tillers of the foil much of it still continues. He thus proceeds in his comparison of the kingdoms of England and France, "But, bleffed be God, this " land is ruled under a better law, and therefore the people thereof be not in such penury, nor thereby burt in their persons; but they be wealthy and have all things necessary to the sustenance of nature. "Wherefore they be mighty, and able to refift the adversaries of the realm, and to teat other realms that do, or would do, them wrong. Lo! this is the " fruit of Jus politicum et regale, under which we " live. Somewhat now I have shewn you of the fruits of both laws, ut ex fructibus earum cognof-" catis eos." Let me now ask, is not this a most remarkable testimony of the freedom of the English government, and the easy state of the commonalty, under our ancient constitution, even after the great diffurbance which the utmost rage of civil war had occasioned in the kingdom? Will it be faid, against an evidence so clear and express, that, till within this last century, the charters granted by our kings were of no real value or benefit to the people? Our liberty has certainly been confirmed, improved, and strengthened, and a better form has been given to it, during that period and part of the

474

BOOK II the preceding century; but it stands on the old foundations; and a great portion of its vigour is drawn from that root, which made it flourish in the times that Fortescue here describes; a root fixed in the English soil, and carefully cultivated, many ages before. I will go on to recite some other remarkable passages from this admirable work, which I wish were read and got by heart by every young English gentleman, before he travels into France. The author fays in his 4th chapter, " Seeing that our king reigneth over us by laws " more favorable and good to us, than be the " laws by which the French king ruleth his peo-" ple, it is reason we be to him more good and more profitable than be the subjects of the French " king unto him, which it would feem that we " be not, considering that his subjects yield to bim " more in one year, than we do to our fovereign Lord " in two wars, although they do it against their wills.

I have observed in another place that this defect of revenue has been since supplied to the crown by the settlement of a proper and ample civil list on our kings for the maintenance of the honor and dignity of the crown; and by annual grants for publick services so bountifully given, according to the exigences of government, that no absolute monarchy was ever supplied by its subjects, in proportion to their numbers, with an equal liberality.

The same author goes on thus: "Nevertheless, "when it is considered, that a king's office consists in two things to defend his realm

" against its enemies without, another, to de-"fend his people against wrong-doers within,

" which the French doth not; fince be oppres-

neg bus being isdressing a street and and the

the zerong-doers of the realm, though they had no BOOK II.

" And fince it is a fin to give no meat, drink, cloathing, or other alms to them that have need. " as shall be declared in the day of doom, bozu " much a greater sin is it, to take from the poor man his meat, his drink, his cloathing, and all that be hath need of? Which verily doth the French ss king to many thousands of his subjects; as it is open-" ly before declared. Which thing, though it be " coloured per jus regale, yet it is tyranny: where-" fore, albeit that the French king's revenues be " by fuch means much greater than be the reve-" nues which the king our fovereign lord hath of " us, yet they be not righteously taken, and the " might of his realm is near destroyed thereby. " By which confideration I would not that the king's " revenues of this realm were made great by any such se means.

And in his tenth chapter he mentions the impofitions taken by the duke of Burgundy upon every ox, every sheep, and upon other things fold, and all upon every veffel of wine, every barrel of beer, and other victuals fold in his dominions; "which (fays "he) is no little revenue to him yearly; but yet he " doth it maugre the people; which God forbid that " the king our sovereign Lord should do upon his pea-" ple, without their grants and affents. Nevertheless, with their assents such manner of subsidy, if there " could not be found a better means of encreasing " the king's revenue, were not unreasonable. " therein, and in the gabel of falt, every man shall " bear an equal charge. But yet I would not that " fuch a new cuftom and charge were put upon the " people, in our fovereign Lord's days, with which bis progenitors charged them never, if a better and " more convenient way could be found."

I need

I need not observe that fuch taxes have in some degree been fince laid. But the chief inference I would draw from these citations is this. If the learned judge who wrote thus, concerning the laws and conflitution of England, under King Edward the Fourth, could have revived in the reign of Charles the First, what opinion would he have given upon the arbitrary impositions laid by that prince on his people without their grants or affents? Would he have faid, it was the ancient prerogative of the crown to raise money in that manner? Would he have thought it agreeable either to policy or to law. Would he have told that unhappy prince, as fome of his bishops told him, that it was the duty of bis people to submit to such impositions without resi-Stance; that his jus regale was inherent in him jure divino, and uncontroulable by any human power? Or would he have fatisfied his confcience, as Dr. Brady and others tried to fatisfy the consciences of his fons Charles and James, by perfuading him that the privileges, on which the commons infifted. had been extorted from King John by rebellious barons, and did not extend to the commons, who in those days were all flaves?

Lastly I ask, are we to take our ideas of the rights and liberties of our ancestors from the connected series of their laws, and from the writings of the greatest and most approved lawyers, especially such as treat of government and the constitution of England, or from irregular acts of power, and inconsiderate or corrupt proceedings in parliaments or courts of justice, under particular reigns, and at particular times?

P. 264. The religious notions of the times, and laws founded thereupon, forbidding usury to all Christians, and not distinguishing between that and a reasonable

reasonable interest for money upon loans; without BOOK II. which neither commerce could well be carried on. nor the sudden exigences of the government, or of particular persons, be supplied, the Tews were necessary as money-lenders: &c.

The Jews understand, that by the law of Moses they are forbidden to lend money to one another upon interest; but may practise usury to any height in their dealings with foreigners, without offence

against that law.

P. 276. Yet there were some of stone, and a handsome architecture, according to the taste of those

days, &c.

The abbot of Peterborough mentions a flone V. Benedict. house (domum lapideam) of a rich citizen in Lon-abbat. v. i.p. 197. subana. don. It can therefore hardly be doubted that the 1177. houses of the nobility, built in that city or its fuburbs, which Fitstephen speaks of as magnificent, were likewise of stone.

P. 282. We have a charter of King Henry the Third in the English of that time, which, as it is curious to see how near the language approached to that of the present century, I have given, with a translation of it into modern English, in the Apdix to this volume, &c.

Mr. Camden tells us, that in the time of King SeeCamden's Henry the Second he finds this rime fent from Remaines Rome by Pope Adrian, an Englishman, to be Britain,p.24.

taught to the people:

Ure fadyr in heaven rich Thy name be halved ever lich: Thou bring us thy michell bliffe Als his in heaven y doe Ever in Yearth been it also.

The

BOOK II.

NOTES TO THE LIFE

That holy bread that lasteth av Thou fend it ous this ilke day. Forgive ous all that we have don. As we forgivet uch other mon: Ne let ous fall into no founding Ac shield us fro the fowle thing.

Amen.

But this learned author does not fay on what authority he supposes that these verses were as ancient as the time of pope Adrian. They feem to me much more modern, and nearer to the present English than the charter of Henry the Third. which I have given as a specimen of the language in his time.

P. 283. Indeed those who in that age were best qualified to be authors all wrote in Latin.

V. J. Lelandi Comus de fcr. Britan. C. 217.

concerning

Among these are some poets, of whom by far the most eminent was Joseph of Exeter, called Jofephus Iscanus. In his youth, he wrote only some epigrams and love-verses, none of which are preferved: but in his riper age his genius took much higher flights, above the pitch of any contemporary poets. For he wrote two epic poems in Latin heroic verse; the first on the subject of the Trojan war, in five books, which he dedicated to Thomas SeeCamden Baldwin archbishop of Canterbury, and of which Leland and Camden have given us some specimens, that feeth indeed much more elegant, and nearer the spirit of true Latin poetry, than any other compositions of our countrymen in those times. I will transcribe a few lines, to give my reader a tafte of his style and manner. He says to the archbishop his patron, who was going to the holy war, odl

" Te

Te facræ affument acies, divinaque bella.

" Tunc dignum majore tuba, tunc pectore toto

" Nitar, et immensum mecum spargere per orbem. And afterwards.

Tendo fila lyræ; plectro majore canenda

" Antiochæ me bella vocant : nunc dicere votum est

" Christicolas acies, et nostræ signa Sibilla.

" Quæ virtus, quæ dona crucis: nec fundit anhela

" Hos mihi Cyrrha pedes; animi fidentis hiatum

" Celfior e cælo venit impleturus Apollo.

"Tu quoque, magne pater, nostri fiducia cœpti

" Altera, et in pelago pandens mihi vela fecundo, " Hoc tibi ludit opus: fuccedit ferior ætas,

" Seria fuccedunt aures meritura pudicas; " Si tuus in nostros candor consenserit ausus,

" Non metuam culicis stimulos, fucique susurrum.

The promise he makes in these verses of another v. Leland. ut poem on the subject of the crusade, he fulfilled, supra c. 197. and published that poem after the death of Baldwin, de T. Balduibut in what year is uncertain. Leland only faw a no. fragment of it, in which the writer takes occasion to praise his native city Exeter, " tam exquisite " (fays Leland) ut facile credas Musas ipsas, cum profluenti Helicone toto, vati ea concinenti præsen-" tissimas adfuisse." It must however be observed, that this author is too apt to be lavish of his praise, and writes always much more as a panegyrift than a critic. Joseph of Exeter lived to a very old age, and made a new edition of his poem de bello Trojano in the reign of Henry the Third, The matter of it is taken, not from Homer, but Dares Phrygius, whose fabulous history was much in vogue at that time. From thence Mr. Camden has cited the SeeCamden's following verses, to shew the talent of this writer Remains, for poetical description:

480 NOTES TO THE LIFE

BOOK II. " Haud procul incumbens intercurrentibus arvis

"Idæus confurgit apex, vetus incola montis

66 Sylva viret, vernat abies procera, cupressus

"Flebilis, interpres laurus, vaga pinus, oliva Concilians, cornus venatrix, fraxinus audax,

Stat comitis patiens ulmus, nunquamque fenescens

" Cantatrix buxus: paulo proclivius arvum

" Ebria vitis habet, non dedignata latere

" Cancricolam poscit Phœbum, vicinus aristas

Prægnantes fœcundat ager, non plura Fa-

" Vina bibit, non tot pascit Campania messes."

But in an edition I have seen of this poem printed at Basil, with Homer's Iliad and Odyssey, the first line runs thus:

"Haud procul incumbens urbi, mediantibus arvis,

" Idæus consurgit apex, &c."

To these specimens I will add the description of Ajax Telamon fighting against the Trojans.

" Parte furens alià cognatos impiger hostes

" Turbabat Telamone satus, septena coruscans

"Terga boum: hasta viro quercus jaculabilis uni

"Unam passa manum: non ferrea suta superbum

" Velavere latus; five has bellare proterva

" Non tulit ira moras, seu lato pectoris orbe

" Se totum latuisse ratus, sic sævit inermis,

we the talent of this writer deman

bonFl 33

" Sic premit armatos: maturat fata cadentum

"Terror, et ignavis mors est vidisse furentem."

Though the Latin in these and other parts of this BOOK It, work is not quite pure and grammatical, yet it is more so than in most other verses of that age; and in the spirit of poetry and harmony of numbers it far excells the Philippiad of Guillaume de Breton, a contemporary epic poem.

In a much lower strain, but with great vivacity SeeCamden's and facetious good-humour, did the jovial bard, Remaines.

Walter de Mapes archdeacon of Oxford, who may be called the Anacreon of the eleventh century, fing his Leonine rimes in praise of good drinking; a specimen of which I will here transcribe:

" Mihi est propositum in taberna mori:

" Vinum fit appofitum morientis ori :

" Ut dicant, cum venerint, angelorum chori:

" Deus fit propitius huic potatori:
" Poculis accenditur animi lucerna,

" Cor imbutum nectare volat ad fuperna,

Mihi sapit dulcius vinum in taberna,
Quam quod aqua miscuit præsulis pincerna.

"Suum cuique proprium dat natura mus nus;

" Ego nunquam potui scribere jejunus:

" Me jejunum vincere posset puer unus:

" Sitim et jejunium odi tanquam funus.
" Tales versus facio quale vinum bibo:

" Non possum scribere nisi sumpto cibo:

" Nihil valet penitus quod jejunus scribo:

" Nasonem post calices carmine præibo.

" Mihi nunquam spiritus prophetiæ datur,

Nisi cum fuerit venter bene satur:

Cum in arce cerebri Bacchus dominatur,

In me Phæbus irruit, ac miranda fatur."

Reitsauca

author, he added enough of his own, to give him a considerable rank, in the opinion of Sir Sir H. Saville and Mr. Selden, among the many historians who flourished in the eleventh and twelfth centuries.

Of these historians, besides those mentioned in the text, Gervase of Canterbury and Diceto are frequently referred to in the course of this work. They are large in their account of church affairs, and not useless to a compiler of the history of those times in their accounts of other matters. Brompton's chronicle is in many places a transcript from Gervase and Giraldus Cambrensis; but he has added copies of some records and ancient laws, which are not to be found in those authors, and make his work of some value.

P. 290. Some of his letters are animated with a spirit of liberty, which would have done honor to a Greek

or Roman republican : &c.

It may be worth while to give the reader a specimen of this spirit from one of his letters to the bishop of Worcester, who was son to the great earl of Glocester, often mentioned in this work. His words are these: "Filium alloquor illustris" comitis, qui in regum catalogo dignus fuerat nume"rari, nisi quia magnificae virtutis titulo meruit, ut,
"in libertatis culmine constitutus, reges viderit in or"dine secundo." The sense of which may be thus expressed in an English translation: "I speak to the son of that illustrious Earl, who would have been worthy to be reckoned in the catalogue of kings, if his virtue and magnanimity had not raised him so high, that, being placed at the head of a free people, and their leader in defending the

« cause

"cause of liberty, he saw kings in a rank below his BOOK II.

"own." V. epist. S. T. C. e cod. Vatican. lib. ii.

pist. 96.

P. 299. He fays, the houses, on such occasions, were strewed with flowers, and the jovial company drank

wine out of gilded horns, &c.

Agreeably to this description we are also told by Fitstephen, that Becket, when he was chancellor, ordered his hall to be strewed every day in the winter with fresh straw or hay, and in summer with rushes or green leaves fresh gathered, that the multitude of knights, which the benches could not contain, might fit on the floor, thus rendered clean and gay, without dirtying their fine garments! " Jusserat quaque die novo stramine vel fœno in " hieme, novis scirpis vel frondibus virentibus in " æstate, sterni hospitium suum; ut militum mul-" titudinem, quam scamna capere non poterant, " area munda et læta reciperet; ne vestes eorum " pretiofæ, vel pulchræ eorum camifiæ, ex areæ " forde maculam contraherent." It may be worth remarking, that still at the coronation of our kings, Westminster-hall is strewed with herbs.

But even in Becket's days this rustic simplicity was mixed with great magnificence in gold and silver plate; for the author above cited goes on to say, that, "vasis aureis et argenteis domus ejus reni"debat." I will add, that I have seen, in the treasury of St. Bertin's church at St. Omers, a silver vessel of that age, the form and work-manship of which are as elegant as any now

made.

P. 303. But it appears, that, in the times of Henry the Second, the whole gentry of England, having adopted

OTES. N

BOOK II.

adopted the fashions of the Normans, were as magnificent in their dress as their fortunes could

See Camden's 194.

Mr. Camden fays, that in this age the use of filk Remains, p. made by filk-worms was brought out of Greece into Sicily, and then into other parts of Christendom. He likewise mentions a costly stuff, called in Latin aurifrisum, which was worn in England at this time. With regard to the mode of dress the fame learned antiquary observes, that king Henry the Second brought in the fhort mantle, and was therefore furnamed Court-mantel. Yet by other proofs it appears, that long flowing gowns, after the fashion of the East, continued to be worn by the nobility and gentry in his time.



to complete and positions tennens semicina lov

APPENDIX

APPENDIX

TO THE

THIRD VOLUME

OFTHE

History of the Life of King HENRY the Second.

Nº I.

Judicia Civitatis Lundonia, p. 71. Wilkins Leges BOOK II.
Anglo-Saxonica.

This refers to mare per facultates proprias abeat, ille postea p. 74 of this jure Thani sit dignus.

Nº II.

Madox's History of the Exchequer, p. 174. ch. vii.

JOHANNES Dei gratia &c. Sciatis nos conces- This refers to fisse omnibus Judæis Angliæ et Normanniæ p. 101 of this libere et honorifice habere residentiam in terra nostra, volume.

I i 3

BOOK II, et omnia illa de nobis tenenda quæ tenuerunt de rege H. avo patris nostri, et omnia illa quæ modo rationabiliter tenent, in terris, feodis, et vadiis, et akatis suis, et quod habeant omnes libertates et confuetudines fuas, ficut eas habuerunt tempore prædicti regis H. avi patris nostri, melius et quietius et honorabilius. Et si querela orta fuerit inter Christianum et Judæum, ille qui alium appellaverit, ad querelam fuam dirationandam habeat testes. scilicet legitimum Christianum et legitimum Judæum. Et fi Judæus de querela fua breve habuerit, breve suum erit ei testis. Et si Christianus habuerit querelam adversus Judæum, sit judicata per pares Judæi. Et cum Judæus obierit, non de tineatur corpus fuum fuper terram, fed habeat hæres suus pecuniam suam et debita sua, ita quod inde non disturbetur, si habuerit hæredem qui pro ipso respondeat, et rectum faciat de debitis suis et de forisfacto fuo. Et liceat Judæis omnia quæ eis apportata fuerint fine occasione accipere et emere, exceptis illis quæ de ecclesia sunt et panno sanguinolento. Et fi Judæus ab aliquo appelatus fuerit fine teste, de illo apellatu erit quietus solo sacramento suo super librum suum. Et de appellatu illarum rerum quæ ad coronam nostram pertinent fimiliter quietus erit folo facramento fuo fuper rotulum suum. Et si inter Christianum et Judæum fuerit diffensio de accommodatione alicujus pecuniæ, Judæus probabit catallum suum et Chri-Rianus lucrum. Et liceat Judæo quiete vendere vadium suum, postquam certus erit eum illud unam annum integrum et unum diem tenuisse. Et Judæi non intrabunt in placitum nifi coram nobis, vel eoram illis qui turres nostras custodierint, in quorum ballivis Judæi manserint. Et ubicunque Judæi fuerint, liceat eis ire quocunque voluerint cum omnib us

nibus catallis eorum ficut res nostræ propriæ, etBOOK II. nulli liceat eos retinere neque hoc eis prohibere. Et præcipimus quod ipsi quieti sint per totam Angliam et Normanniam de omnibus confuetudinibus, et theloniis, et modiatione vini, ficut nostrum proprium catallum. Et mandamus vobis et præcipimus, quod eos custodiatis, et defendatis, et manuteneatis. Et prohibemus ne quis contra cartam istam de hiis supradictis eos in placitum ponat. fuper forisfacturam nostram, ficut carta regis H. patris nostri rationabiliter testatur. Testibus Gaufri-do filio Petri! Comite Essexiæ, Willielmo Marescallo Comite de Penbroc, Henrico de Bohun Comite de Hereford, Roberto de Turnham, Willelmo Briwer, &c. Datum per manum S. Wellensis Archidiaconi apud Merleberg, decimo die Aprilis, anno regni nostri secundo.

Rot. Cart. 2. Joh. n. 49. titulo Carta Judæorum Angliæ.

Nº III.

Charta Regis Willielmi Conquest. de legibus boni Regis Edwardi Conf. Stabiliendis; facta in vit. ante ann. ejus 4. Domini nostri 1070. precibus Willielmi London. Episcopi, qui disto anno obiit.

7 ILLIELMUS Rex falutat Willielmum Epif- This aud the copum, et Godfridum Portegrefium, et two followomnem Burghware, infra London. Franc. et of Hen. I. Angl. amicabiliter. Et vobis notum facio, quod ego and Hen. IL volo quod vos sitis omni lege illa digni qua fuistis Ed- are referred wardi diebus regis. Et volo quod omnis puer sit patris to p. 103. of

fui this volume,

BOOK II. sui hares post diem patris sui *. Etego nolo pati, quod aliquis homo aliquam injuriam vobis inferat. Deus vos salvet.

Nº IV.

Wilkins Leges Anglo-Saxon. p. 235.

TENRICUS Dei gratia rex Angliæ, Archiepifcopo Cantuariæ, et Episcopis, et Abbatibus,
et Comitibus, et Baronibus, et Justitiariis, et Vicecomitibus, et omnibus sidelibus suis Francis et
Anglicis totius Angliæ Salutem. Sciatis me concessisse civibus meis London tenend' Middlesex ad
sirmam pro ccc. libr' ad compotum ipsius et hæredibus suis, de me et hæredibus meis, ita quod ipsi
cives ponent vicecom' qualem voluerint de seipsis et
justitiar' qualem voluerint de seipsis ad custodiend'
placita coronæ meæ et eadem placitanda, et nullus
alius erit justitiarius super ipsos homines London, et
cives non placitabunt extra muros civitatis pro nullo
placito, et sint quieti de eschot et de danegildo et de
murdro, et nullus eorum faciat bellum . Et si quis

- By the clauses markt in Italicks I understand that the benefit of all the laws of King Edward, or those they enjoyed in this time, was confirmed to the magistrates and citizens of London, and the right of inheritance to their children, so as not to be forseited by any offences of the fathers. They are strangely translated by Dr. Brady.
- † This means exemption from the Norman trial by Duel.
- N. B. I have corrected some words of the transcript of this Charter as it is given by Wilkins in his Anglo-Saxon Laws, from the various readings in his notes; and in some places I have altered the stops which he has put to it, or inserted a copulative, in order to make a better and clearer sense. Some passages seem still to want a further correction.

civium

civium de placitis coronæ implacitatus fuerit per fa- BOOK II. cramentum quod judicatum fuerit in civitate, se difrationet homo London, et intra muros civitatis nullus hospitetur, neque de mea familia, neque de alia, vi alicui hospitium liberetur. Et omnes homines London fint quieti et liberi, et omnes res eorum per totam Angliam et per portus maris de thelonio et passagio et lestagio (lastagio), et omnibus aliis consuetudinibus; et ecclesiæ et barones, et cives teneant et habeant bene et in pace focnas fuas cum omnibus confuetudinibus, ita quod hospites, qui in soccis suis hospitantur, non dent confuetudines suas, nisi illi cujus socca fuerit vel ministro suo quem ibi sibi posuerit. Et homo Londoniarum non judicetur in misericordia pecuniæ, nisi in sua Were, scilicet ad c solid. Dico de placito quod ad pecuniam pertineat. Et amplius non fit miskenninga in hustenge, neque in folkesmote, neque in aliis placitis intra civitatem. Et husting sedeat femel in ebdomada, videlicet, die Lunæ: et terras suas, et wardemotum, et debita civibus meis habere saciam intra civitatem, et extra. Et de terris de quibus ad me clamaverint rectum eis tenebo lege civitatis. Et fi quis thelonium vel confuetudinem à civibus London ceperit, cives London capiant de burgo, vel de villa, ubi theloneum vel confuetudo capta fuit, quantum homo London pro thelonio dedit, et proinde de dampno ceperit. Et omnes debitores qui civibus debita debent, eis reddant, vel in London se difrationent quod non debent. Quod fi reddere noluerint, neque ad difrationandum venire, tunc, cives quibus debita fua debent capiant intra civitatem namia sua, vel de comitatu in quo manet qui debitum debet. Et cives habeant fugationes suas ad fugandum, sicut melius et plenius habuerunt antecessores eorum scilicet Ciltre, et Midle ex, et Sureie. Teste Episcopo Winton',

APPENDIX TO THE LIFE

Toneis et Wilielmo Albini, et Hugerto Regis Camerar' et Willielmo de Montfichet, et Hagulfo de Tani, et Joh' Delet, et Rob. fil. Siwa.

Dat. apud Westm'.

490

Nº V.

Ibid. p. 319.

Carta Libertatum Regis Henrici secundi, Londoniensibus concessarum.

HENRICUS Dei gratia &c. Archiepiscopis, Episcopis, Abbatibus, Baronibus, Justitiis, Vicecomitibus, Ministris, et omnibus sidelibus suis, Francis et Anglis, Salutem.

Sciatis, me confirmasse civibus meis London, quod nullus eorum placitet extra muros civitatis London. de ullo placito præter placita de tenuris exterioribus, exceptis meis monetariis, et ministris meis.

Concessi etiam eis quietantiam murdri infra urbem et portsocna: et quod nullus faciet bellum: et quod de placitis ad coronam se possiunt disrationare secundum antiquam consuetudinem civitatis: et quod intra muros nemo capiat hospitium per

vim, vel per liberationem marefcalli.

Hoc etiam eis concessi quod omnes cives Londoniarum sint quieti de thelonio et lastagio per totam Angliam, et per portum maris: et quod nullus de materia pecuniæ judicetur, nisi secundum
legem civitatis, quam habuerunt tempore Henrici
avi mei: et quod in civitate in nullo placito sit
miskeninga: et quod hustingus semel tantum in hebdomado teneatur: et quod terras suas, et tenuras,
et vadimonia, et debita omnia juste habeant, quicunque

cunque eis debeat; et de terris suis et tenuris, quæ BOOK II. infra urbem sunt, rectum eis teneatur secundum legem civitatis, et de omnibus debitis suis quæ accommodata suerint apud London. et de vadimoniis ibidem sactis, placita apud London. teneantur. Et si quis in tota Anglia theloneum vel consuetudinem ab London. ceperit, postquam ipse a recto desecrit, vicecomes London. namium inde apud London. capiat. Concedo etiam eis, quod habeant sugationes suas, ubicunque eas habuerunt tempore regis Henrici, avi mei.

Insuper etiam ad emendationem civitatis eis concessi, quod sint quieti de Brud toll, et de Childwyte, et de Aarasgive, et de Scotale; ita quod vicecomes meus London. vel aliquis alius Ballivus

Scotale non faciat.

Has prædictas consuetudines eis concedo, et omness alias libertates quas habuerunt tempore Henri-

ci regis, avi mei.

Quare volo et firmiter præcipio, quod ipfi et hæredes eorum hæc prædicta omnia hæreditarie habeant et teneant de me et hæredibus meis. Hiis testibus, Archiepiscopo Cantuariæ Episcopo London.

Nº VI.

Madox's History of the Exchequer, p. 276. ch. xi. note g.

JOHANNES De gratia &c. Sciatis nos con-This is refercessisse, et præsenti carta confirmasse, burgen-ted to in p. sibus nostris de Dunewichge, quod burgum de log. of this Dunewichge sit liberum burgum nostrum; et habeat soccam, et saccam, et toll, et theam, et infangenthes; et quod ipsi per totam terram nostram quieti sint de thelonio, et lestagio, et passagio, et pontagio BOOK II. pontagio, et stallagio, et de leue, et de danegeld. et de ewagio, de wrec et legan, et de omnibus aliis confuetudinibus; falva libertate civitatis Londoniæ; et quod ipfi rectam et folitam firmam fuam per manum fuam reddant ad scaccarium nostrum; et quod nullam sectam faciant comitatuum vel hundredorum, nisi coram justiciariis nostris; et cum sommoniti fuerint esle coram justiciariis, mittant pro se x11 legales homines de burgo suo, qui fint pro eis omnibus; et si forte amerciari debuerint, per sex probos homines de burgo suo et per fex probos homines extra burgum amercientur. Concessimus etiam eis, quod filios et filias suas posfunt libere ubi voluerint in terra nostra maritare, et viduas fimiliter per confilium amicorum fuorum; et perquifitiones suas de terris et edificiis in villa fua possint dare aut vendere, aut facere inde quod voluerint et quando voluerint. Concessimus etiam eis Hansam et Gildam mercatoriam, sicat habere consueverunt. Quare volumus et firmiter præcipimus, quod prædicti bnrgenses nostri prænominates libertates et liberas consuetudines habeant et teneant, libere pacifice et integre, fine omni impedimento.

T. E. Elyenfi Episcopo. Willielmo Marescallo &c. Data per manum H. Cantuariensis Archiepiscopi, Cancellarii nostri, apud Rupem Aurivallis xxix die Junii anno r. n. primo,

Rot. Cart, 1 Joh. p. 2. n. 164,

Nº VII.

Royal 4. l. xi. Mafter Wace writes thus concerning the Fleet of William the Conqueror. Fol. 17. b.

This is referred to in p. 281. of this Volume. Ne io ne men voil entremetre: Quels barons et quanz chevaliers

Quanz

OF KING HENRY II.

493 BOOK II.

Quanz vavasors et quanz soldeiers
Out li duc en sa compaignie,
Quant il out prist tout son navie.
Mais io oi dire à mon pere,
Bien men souvient, mais vaslet ere,
Que sept cenz nes, quatre moins, surent
Quant de Saint Valeri s'esmurent,
Que nes, que batels, que esqueis,
A porter armes et herneis.
Et io ai en escrit trové,
(Ne sais dire s'est verité:)
Que il y out treis mel nès
Qui porterent voiles et tres.
A tantes nès pout lon savoir:
Que mult i pout grant gent avoir.

Speaking of Taillefer, he fays,

Devant le duc aloit chantant De Karlemaigne, et de Rollant, D'Olivier, et del vassals Qui moururent et Roncevals.

Nº VIII.

A charter of King Henry the Third, in the old English of that Time, inforcing the late Provisions of Oxon.

Rot. Pat. 43 H. III. m. 15. nº 40.

HENRY thurg Godes fultome King on Engleneloande Lhoauerd on Yrloand Duk on red to in p. Normand. on Acquitain and Eorl on Anjou, fend 282. I, greting to alle hise holde ilærde and ilewede on volume. Huntindonnschiere; thæt witen ge wel, alle thæt BOOK II. we willen and unnen, that ure rædesmen alle other the moare del of heom, thæt beoth ichosen thurg us and thurg that Loandes Folk, on ure Kuneriche habbeth idon, and schullen don in the worthness of Gode, and ure treowthe for the freme of the Loande, thurg the befigte of than to foren iseide rædesmen beo stedefæst and ilestinde in alle thinge abutan ænde, and the heaten alle ure treowe in the treowthe thet heo us ogen, that heo stede-festliche healden and weren to healden and to fwerien the isetnesses thæt been makede and been to makien thurg than to foren iseide rædesmen, other thurg the moare dæl of heom alfwo; alse hit is beforen And thæt æhcother helpe thæt for to done bitham ilche other agenes alle men spaucula quadam bic deeffe videntur, bæc scilicet aut similia : in alle thinge thæt ogt for to done and foangen. And noan ne mine of Loande ne of egetewher thurg this befigte muge been ilet other iwerfed on oniewife. And gif oniether onie cumen her ongenes we willen and heaten, thæt alle ure treowe heom healden deadlichistan. And for thæt we willen thet this beo stedefæst and lestinde, we senden gew this Writ open iseigned with ure Seel to halden amanges geæ ine Hord. Witness us selven æt Lundænthane egterenth day on the Monthe of Octobr, in the two and fowertigthe geare of ure crunninge. And thir wes idon ætforen ure ifworen redefmen, Bonefac. Archebischop on Kanterbur. Walter of Cantelop, Bischop, of Wirechester, Sim. of Montfort Eorle of Leichestre, Rich. of Clare Eorl on Glochester and on Hartford; Rogor Bigod Earl of Northfolk and Marescal on Engleloand, Perres of Sauueye, Will on Fort Eorl on Aubem, John de Pleffe Eorl on Warwick, Joh. Gefferees-fune, Perres of Muntfort, Rich. of Grey, Rog. of Mortemer.

Mortemer, Iames of Aldithel, and ætforen othre BOOK II.

AND all on the ilche worden is isend in to aurichte othre Schire ouer al thare Kuneriche on Engleneloande and ek inter Irelonde.

The same in Modern English, translated by Mr. Somner.

LIENRY, by God's help, King of England, Lord of Ireland, Duke of Normandy, and of Aquitain, and Earl of Anjoy, Greeting to all his faithful Clerks and Laics of Huntingdonshire: This know ye all well, that we Will * and Grant that * Unnen which our Counfellors all, or the most part of them that be chosen by us, and the † People (or Com- + Loandefmons) of our Land, have done, and shall do, for the Common the Honour of God, and of their Allegiance to us, People, called for the I Benefit (or Amendment) of the Land, by by us Folk at the Advice or Consideration of our foresaid Coun-this day. Vid. sellors, be stedfast and performed in every thing Com. Hitt. for ever. And we Command all our Liege Peo-p. 68. ple in the Fealty that they owe us, that they fled- I Freme. fastly hold, and swear to hold for keep and to defend [or maintain] the Statutes [or Provisions] which be made, and shall be made, by those aforefaid Counsellors, or by the more part of them, alfo as it is beforefaid; and that they each other affift the same to perform, according to that same Oath, against all Men, both for to do, and cause to be done: And none neither of my Land, neither from elsewhere, may for this be hindered, or damnified in any wife: and if any man or woman oppose them against, we Will and Command that all our Liege People them hold for deadly Enemies; and because we will that this be stedfast and

lasting,

Hord'

OOK II. lasting, we fend you this Writ open, figned with our Seal to be kept amongst you in * Store; witness our self at London the 18th day of the Month of October, in the two and fortieth year of our Coronation; and this was done before our fworn Counsellors, Boniface Archbishop of Canterbury, Walter of Cantelow Bishop of Worcester, Simon Montfort Earl of Leicester, Richard of Clare Earl of Glocester and of Hartford, Roger Bigod Earl of Norfolk and Mareschal of England, Peter of Savoy, William of Aubemarle, John of Pleffeiz Earl of Warwick, John Gefferisson, Peter of Montfort, Richard of Grev. Roger of Mortimer, James of Aldithly, and before others more.

AND all in these same words is sent into every other Shire over the Kingdom of England, and also into Ireland.



END of the THIRD VOLUME:

h thony,